**Memo to:** Anyone with an interest in the PONL consent applications for a Wharf Expansion

From: Richard Karn, Submitter No.34

**Date:** 12 August 2018

.....

1) On 20 June 2018, I attended the first pre-hearing meeting with the Port, at the HBRC meeting room. This meeting left me with more questions than answers, especially about the segregation of the pre-hearing process, whereby the attendees of this pre-hearing were not allowed to attend the second pre-hearing with iwi, fishing and surfing submitters.

I specifically asked to attend the second pre-hearing, even in just a listening capacity, but I was denied that request as well. This bothered me considerably, because I wanted to learn about the concerns of Mana Whenua, the recreational and commercial fishermen, the surfers, and the residents who live near the Port.

Because I was denied this opportunity to meet with these other submitters, and share my concerns and aspirations about this project with them, I decided to communicate directly with these submitters, and ask if they would mind if I sent them a short essay about Westshore Beach, with the view to opening up dialogue with them about this matter.

Attached is the essay titled: Westshore Beach – Past, Present and Future (Attachment 1)

This essay is very relevant to this Consent Application, therefore it would be useful if all parties are familiar with the content, prior to the hearing.

**2)** Because the pre-hearing left me with more questions than answers, I asked to meet with the Port again. This request was accepted and a meeting was convened for 28 June at the Port. In attendance were Michel de Vos (PONL), Erin Harford-Wright (PONL), Grant Russell (Stantec), Sylvia Allan (Allan Planning & Research) and Reece O'Leary (HBRC).

I had prepared seven pages of hand written notes, which relate to 2 outstanding issues I had with the Advisian technical reports. These issues were (a) the un-readability of the validation plots for the Beacons ADCP site, and (b) the validity of a data table presented in Appendix F, Post-Disposal Fate of Dredged Sediments.

Attached are my notes: Discussion notes for Port Meeting – 28 June 2018 (Attachment 2)

**3)** The format of the meeting was that I read through these notes, while asking Michel to display the relevant pages on the large screen monitor, so that the attendees could all see and understand what I was talking about. Where I refer to "you" in the notes, this is referring to Michel, as he was driving the mouse that controlled the image on the screen.

Michel noted my concerns, and said he would take them up with Advisian, and get back to me. As time passed, I did not hear back from Michel about this, so I figured Advisian would respond to these issues in their Statements of Evidence that were published on the HBRC website on Tuesday, 7 August. Upon studying these documents there appears to be no response to the 2 issues I had raised.

**4)** These two issues are still outstanding, and it would be useful if Advisian addressed them prior to the hearing, because I will be referring to these topics in my presentation, in particular Table 3-1, which is shown below.

Table 3-1: Analysis of 1-minute wind speeds, averaged to hourly intervals.

Sector	N	NE	E	SE	S	SW	W	NW
Hourly- averaged	12.5	10.4	12.3	12.6	11.1	11.4	15.8	15.2
Speed (m/s)	12.3	20					20.0	-0.2
Year	Number of days per year wind speed is exceeded for 12 hours or more							
2004	0	6	0	0	0	5	11	4
2005	0	3	2	3	0	2	3	2
2006	0	2	1	1	0	5	11	7
2007	0	2	2	2	0	0	16	5
2008	0	6	2	1	0	1	5	6
2009	0	4	0	1	0	1	7	1
2010	0	5	0	1	1	3	3	3
2011	2	10	0	1	1	15	2	0
2012	4	2	4	4	0	11	12	3
2013	4	3	2	1	0	7	3	4
2014	2	5	6	1	0	18	10	5

**5)** On page 19 of Ben Williams' Statement of Evidence, Table 1 shows a zero value for both West and North West winds, greater than 13.9 m/s, occurring for 12 hours or more for the same years as shown above. Therefore, all the numbers in the two right hand columns in the above table (W & NW) are now confirmed as being 0.

Please can Ben Williams confirm that all the numbers in Table 3-1 are zero.

----end of memo----