

## HDC - RMA20190573 Omarunui Landfill

COMPLETE

#6

## CREATED



PUBLIC

Feb 21st 2021, 10:22:23 pm

## IP ADDRESS



103.130.78.12

**\* Person(s) making the submission**

Dave Kruger

**\* I am a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991**

No

**\* I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.**

Yes

**\* 1. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:**

All parts of the application to alter the designation to the Omarunui Landfill ( D123) to provide for the construction and operation of area B for waste disposal (RMA20190573)

**\* 2. My submission is: (include whether you support, oppose or are neutral to specific parts of the notice of requirement or wish to have them amended; and the reasons for your views.**

I oppose the development of Area B for waste disposal.

As a shareholder in the Breckenridge Water Company I am deeply concerned with the proximity of the bore we have recently had to install to the leachate modelling indicated. Our previous bore (which served 24 households) was contaminated with arsenic, and after investigation the source of the contamination was unclear (likely leachate contamination of the Upukohoho stream). Should area B be developed despite objections and the new bore become contaminated due to leachate, the council should be held responsible in securing the Breckenridge Water Company shareholders with a suitable alternative drinking water supply. With this in mind, 3 monthly water quality & testing should be carried out by the Council to ensure bores within the 2km radius are not contaminated.

Ground water, part 5.2.1.4 of the report states the aquifer is unconfined. In 10.6.2.2 potential contaminates in storm water , it talks of "unforeseen circumstances which could cause contamination of ground water". Your own modelling leachate shows there is a potential risk to groundwater, yet the National policy for fresh water management 2014 states you should not do anything that doesn't enhance overall quality or safeguard the health of communities or indigenous species.

Storm water management, erosion and sediment controls in 6.6.6: although it states a wetland will be designed to further treat the decant flow from the pond system, it appears this will not be sized to meet any specific design requirement. The report states this is a wetland area to "polish" the water yet it won't be big enough for this purpose!

**\* 3. I / We seek the following recommendation or decision from the Hastings District Council:**

Withdraw the requirement

**(Please give precise details including the general nature of any conditions sought)**

Area B is unsuitable for waste disposal due to its close proximity to drinking water/household bores and the Upukohono Stream. Surface water contamination will most certainly lead to further degradation of this stream and the wetland area of Lake Rotokare it feeds during higher flows.

**\* 4**

I wish to be heard in support of my submissions, or

---

**\* 5**

If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing, or

---

**\* 6. Submitter contact details Name**

Dave Kruger

---

**\* Postal address for service of submitter**

206 Breckenridge Road

RD3

Napier

Hawkes Bay

4183

New Zealand

---

**\* Daytime Phone No:**

0272313646

---

**Mobile Phone No:**

0272313646

---

**Fax No:**

(No response)

---

**\* Email**

krugersnz@gmail.com