

Appendix 3

Report 3B: Recommendations by topic section

Submission Point Number	Submitter First Name	Submitter Last Name	Submitter Org	Submission Summary	Reporting Team recommendation	Section of the Hearing Report
Table 12.2: National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020						
16.7	Bernadette	Hamlin		No specific decision requested but states that Change 9 does not give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.	Reject	12.2
97.76	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	We fundamentally support the intent of the TANK Plan Change 9 but wish to note that the NPSFW states that we need to maintain or improve, not just improve.	Accept in part	12.2
100.2	Stephanie	Murphy	Hawke's Bay Airport	PC9 either needs to be amended to give effect to the NPSFM and NES or the relevant sections of the plan which duplicate controls in these documents removed.	Accept	12.2
117.4	Alison	Johnston	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Ensure alignment and consistency of PC9 with the policy direction and regulations set out in the NPS-FM and NES-F respectively.	Accept in part	12.2
120.11	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to give effect to the NPSFM 2020 in priority to the NPSFM 2017	Accept in part	12.2
120.87	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	The proposed TANK plan should recognise and provide for the values of Outstanding Water Bodies [PC7], and should not compromise or influence the values of Outstanding Water Bodies.	Accept in part	12.2
123.9	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Include schedules of FMUs and freshwater values and clearly define where they apply.	Accept in part	12.2
123.18	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	HBRC withdraws PC9, gives effect to the NPSFM 2020 and renotifies the plan change in amended form; or HBRC prepares and notifies a variation of PC9 to implement the NPSFM 2020; or Some other action or actions to ensure that the NPSFM 2020 is given effect to as required, and which provides an efficient and fair process for the community (including submitters on PC9). Include objectives and/or policies which consider and recognise Te Mana o te Wai with particular reference to Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata. ... Continued in submission.	Reject	12.2
123.21	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	5.10 Introduction - Delete the introduction to 5.10 and provide a schedule of the identified values and where they apply in respect of each FMU within the body of PC9 as Schedule X. Include objectives and/or policies which consider and recognise Te Mana o te Wai with particular reference to Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata. Provide consequential track changes to Table 2A of the RRMP to reflect the values of PC9 and where they apply.	Accept in part	12.2
127.12	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Include schedules of FMUs and freshwater values and clearly define where they apply.	Accept in part	12.2
129.27	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Amend the provisions of the proposed TANK Plan Change so that they are consistent with the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 (Freshwater NES),	Accept	12.2
132.6	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	PC9 requires substantial redrafting to incorporate specific provisions as directed by the NPS-FM 2017 and the operative RPS, and to fulfil regional council's roles and responsibilities under RMA sections 30 (1) (c), (ea), (e), (f), (fa), (g) and (ga).	Reject	12.2
132.18	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Freshwater management units should be clearly delineated and use consistent language throughout.	Accept	12.2

135.1	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	• One of the areas where Ravensdown's submission points, as outlined in the table contained in Attachment A of this submission, is seeking changes relates to the fact that PPC9 is not consistent with the recently gazetted national instruments for healthy waterways, namely the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020, the National Environmental Standards for Freshwater and the associated regulations (e.g., the Resource Management (Stock Exclusion) Regulations 2020). Given this goal, amendments to PPC9 provisions are being sought by Ravensdown's submission in order to endeavour to achieve alignment with these national instruments.	Accept in part	12.2
184.3	Cordry	Tawa Huata	Mangaroa Marae Trustees and Mangaroa Marae Committee	Change 9 should embed the NPSFM's fundamental concept of Te Mana o Te Wai and the hierarchy of obligations.	Accept in part	12.2
194.14	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Seeks generally that PC9 be amended to give effect to the NPS-FM and achieve consistency with the NES-FW, insofar as this is consistent with the relief sought in this submission.	Accept in part	12.2
198.1	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	There are structural difficulties with PC9. There is not a clear pathway with objectives flowing down through policies, rules and other methods. This makes interpreting the plan difficult and is likely to confuse both plan-users and decisionmakers.	Reject	12.2
198.2	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	PC9 will not give effect to the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPSFM) 2017, or the 2020 amendment which comes into effect in September 2020. It also fails to give effect to sustainable management purpose, matters of national importance and other matters in Part 2 Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).	Reject	12.2
198.10.	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Include schedules for FMUs (and the freshwater values that apply) and outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands	Reject	12.2
201.12	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Aligning with guiding legislation - PC9 has been notified prematurely and in haste, ahead of the NPS FM2020. PC9 does not meet the hierarchy of obligations set out in NPS FM2020 and will need to be amended to ensure freshwater is managed in a way that gives effect to Te Mana o te Wai.	Reject	12.2
202.3	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Supports the alignment of Plan Change 9 with the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management.	Accept in part	12.2
202.4	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Supports the HBRC altering Plan Change 9 so as to meet the hierarchy of obligations set out in NPSFM 2020 and supports amending PC 9 to ensure freshwater is managed in a way that gives effect to Te Mana o te Wai	Accept in part	12.2
210.14	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clearly identify Freshwater Management Units relevant to PC9	Accept	12.2
210.15	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify the "freshwater objectives" in respect of all FMUs. Consider a table similar to that used by Waikato Regional Council in their decisions on PC1.	Accept in part	12.2
210.18	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Add the following policies: •Insert Policy A4 of the NPSFM until such time as Policies A1 and A2 are both given effect to. •Insert Policy B7 of the NPSFM until such time as Policies B1, B2 and B6 are both given effect to	Reject	12.2
237.3	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Suggest the plan change is scrapped and re-developed with proper attention to Te Mana O Te Wai, legal processes and to the interests of all stakeholders.	Reject	12.2
237.4	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Re-draft a more concise set of objectives and policies, and include rules that will ensure that the objectives and policies are achieved.	Reject	12.2
Table 12.3: Structure and approach of PPC9						
23.5	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
32.5	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
35.5	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3

37.5	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
38.5	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
42.5	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
43.5	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
44.5	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
47.14	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Believe the policy and rule framework identified above are contradictory and unworkable. We believe all the rules which support the problematic policy statements BF has identified need to be amended.	Reject	12.3
49.5	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
54.5	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
58.31	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend all rules to state matters of control/discretion/notification	Reject	12.3
61.5	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
62.5	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
63.19	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	No specific decision requested but states that sub-headings above the conditions also confuse the understanding of the rule framework and are not necessary.	Reject	12.3
69.5	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
71.5	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
72.5	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
73.5	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
77.5	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
79.5	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
86.5	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
90.5	Sarah	Millington		The TANK Plan needs to specify objectives, policies and targets that set up an effective and directive regulatory system with firm bottom lines to monitor and enforce the requirements of the NPS FW. With regards to effects of land use and water takes.	Reject	12.3
91.4	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
95.5	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
96.5	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
97.5	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
97.84	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	This Plan Change needs to be flexible so as to be able to accommodate this and all future information pertinent to the management of our water quality and quantity.	Reject	12.3

103.15	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	We believe the HBRC needs to approach the development of it's strategies and policies in this manner, and to implement as much of that consensus as possible. Disagreements that result in expensive legal processes exclude parties such as ourselves who cannot afford to participate.	Reject	12.3
104.5	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
105.5	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
106.2	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	The TANK plan change is complex, complicated and full of jargon. It obscures what could be simple in that the catchment has been mismanaged and that private interests have benefited from taking the water (groundwater and surface water). <u>It could be very simple and our submission is in that vein.</u>	Reject	12.3
110.5	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
111.15	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Allow for face to face formulation of policy and legislative frameworks	Reject	12.3
120.70.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Reduce the number of objectives and policies in the plan	Reject	12.3
120.79	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	If retained in PC9, a set of refined, clear and concise Issue statements could be developed which would assist in guiding the objectives to improve the use and implementation of the Plan. Suggested list of issues provided.	Accept	12.3
120.80.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Redraft or delete the background discussion	Accept	12.3
120.82	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include directive policies and rules that create cultural and ecological bottom lines	Reject	12.3
122.5	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
123.19	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Background and overview - Delete the background statement and water management overview from PC9.	Accept	12.3
123.20.	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	TANK issues - Clearly articulate or delete the TANK issues from PC9.	Accept	12.3
123.167	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Maps - Maps need some amendments including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tutaekuri water quality Williford area has no title so what attributes should be accredited to it. Assumingly this is Tutaekuri tributaries but should be made clearer • Ngaruroro water quality, where is the cut off between Ngaruroro tributaries and Ngaruroro lowland tributaries on Southern side. • Ngaruroro water quality, north eastern section is unnamed. Ngaruroro water quantity map "Kikowhere" looks to be in the wrong place.	Accept	12.3
126.1	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Background - paragraph 2. Retain approach and amend wording in paragraph 2 to read, The Plan Change also introduces a range of new methods aimed at achieving the stated <u>freshwater</u> objectives for aquatic ecosystems that have been developed through the TANK plan change process. These new methods and management approaches reflect the collaborative nature of the process and build on the a more integrated and community approach to managing freshwater that was <u>requested by iwi partners and the community.</u>	Reject	12.3
126.2	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Background - Water Management Overview - Amend paragraph 1 under the heading "Water Management Overview" to read: This approach, also reflected in <u>implements the NPSFM2014, and requires that the community identify the values for each FMU, identify attributes and assign attribute states, formulate freshwater objectives and the limits or targets that are required to achieve freshwater objectives. which the water is to be managed, adopt objectives in relation to those values and establish methods, including limits to ensure those objectives will be met.</u>	Reject	12.3

126.4	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Issue 2 - Paragraphs 2, 3 and 6 Amend paragraphs 2, 3 and 6 of Issue 2 to read: Water quality is affected by direct discharges of contaminants, including in urban stormwater, and also as a result of non-point source discharge of diffuse contaminants arising from land use activities and cumulatively affecting water quality. The impact of contaminant inputs into TANK estuarine systems is a significant issue. The Waitangi and Ahuriri estuaries both show declining trends for ecosystem health ¹ with consequential adverse effects on the values held for those aquatic ecosystems. New management frameworks are required to reverse declining trends in estuarine ecosystems. Adverse effects from point source discharges are being reduced through resource consenting processes The impact of contaminant inputs into estuary ecosystems is also a significant issue as the Waitangi and Ahuriri estuaries both show declining trends for ecosystem health with consequential adverse effects on the values held for those aquatic ecosystems.” <u>1 – Refer State of the Hawke’s Bay Coastal Marine Environment: 2013 to 2018 (April 2020)</u>	Reject	12.3
128.1	Mike	Connor		Concerned that the results from Computer Models are being relied on too heavily for policy decision making and some of the output from these model s have become “fake facts”	Reject	12.3
129.5	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke’s Bay Regional Council	Amend the provisions of the proposed TANK Plan Change so that they are consistent with the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 (Freshwater NES),	Accept	12.3
129.6	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke’s Bay Regional Council	Amend the provisions of the proposed TANK Plan Change so that they are consistent with the Resource Management (Stock Exclusion) Regulations 2020.	Accept	12.3
132.7	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend issue statements to be brief, clear and concise, followed by one or two objectives, then policies. Restructured issue statement order provided. OR Delete issue statements and draft clear objectives, policies and rules	Accept	12.3
132.8	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Move Objective 1 and others of a similar nature to a non-regulatory part of Change 9.	Reject	12.3
132.23	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Move Objectives 1, 2, 17 and 18 and associated schedules to a nonregulatory section of the proposed plan	Reject	12.3
132.27	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	No specific relief requested but raises concerns with the Section 32 analysis report	Reject	12.3
132.30	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prescribe the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System in PC9 to prevent confusion and inconsistencies between the Heretaunga Plains Groundwater Management Unit and the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System	Accept	12.3
132.33	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete introductory comments on TANK plan change processes that are superfluous and do not contribute anything meaningful or constructive	Accept	12.3
132.38	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Re-arrangement of the Issue statements so they precede specific Objectives and Policies that relate to each of the issues.	Accept in part	12.3
132.79	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Opposes all the rules	Reject	12.3
132.80	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Rearrange the Issue Statements’ content by specific topic or theme and condense the content in the Issue Statements.	Reject	12.3
132.82	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Move the Issue statements so where they relate to a specific objective, each of the statements immediately precede the relevant objective and associated policies.	Reject	12.3
132.87	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add Anticipated Environmental Results (AERs) following each group of policies that relate to specific objectives.	Reject	12.3
132.101	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Clearly articulate in PC9 that all the Schedules including the maps and water management zones form part of the proposed plan, and link them to objectives, policies, and methods	Reject	12.3
132.157	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Hold a combined hearing for PC9 and for parts of PC7 (Outstanding Fresh Water Bodies) that are located within the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments.	Reject	12.3
132.158	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure that a precautionary approach is given to the renewal of resource consents to abstract water, and Te Mana o Te Wai and Te Mana o Te Taiao are given appropriate priority when renewing or reviewing resource consents. Enable this to occur in a timely fashion through PC9.	Reject	12.3
132.181	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Where the adverse effects of existing activities do not align with or are unlikely to achieve objectives, limits or targets, then in PC9 ensure that their adverse effects are avoided. Where activities operate within limits, then ensure that the plan requires their adverse effects to be remedied or mitigated	Reject	12.3
139.2	Charmaine	Pene		No specific relief sought but raises concerns regarding lack of consultation with tangata whenua, gravel extraction, speed boating activities on the rivers or lakes, the taking of water from the Ngaruroro River.	Reject	12.3
180.1	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Critically important Plan Change 9 maintains sufficient flexibility in water use moving forward to allow other technological advancements to be facilitated.	Accept	12.3

180.9	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	The scale at which every provision applies (e.g. property, farming enterprise, sub-catchment...) needs to be made clear in every provision, and planning maps prepared and included in the plan that clearly show the extent of each and every 'scale' at which provision will apply.	Reject	12.3
180.10	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Need to 'tighten up' terminology used as in some cases different terms are used to refer to what appears to be the same thing – for example, within TANK 5 both 'catchment collective' and 'landowner collective' are used, when it appears that the same entity is in fact being referred to, references to Karamu and Clive rivers, and water quality entities compared with water quantity entities.	Accept	12.3
180.11	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	The term 'good management practice' should be used, instead of industry good practice or other variations. This would be consistent with approaches taken in other regions such as Canterbury, and from a HortNZ perspective, is consistent with the terminology used within GAP schemes.	Accept	12.3
185.5	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
186.5	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
194.9	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Has drafting flaws such that the intent of the objectives, policies, rules, and schedules is sometimes unclear, including as a result of inconsistent intent and terminology. Much of the evidential basis and explanation sits outside of PC9 in the form of fact sheets, and instead needs to be clearly incorporated within the plan change itself.	Reject	12.3
194.100	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	General comment on Chapter 6 New Regional Rules - Clarification on the applicability of amendments to Chapter 6 and how this would then apply to other catchments.	Accept in part	12.3
195.8	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 1 be retained as notified.	Reject	12.3
195.9	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 2 be amended as follows: ... Adverse effects from point source discharges are being reduced <u>where they are reduceable</u> through resource consenting processes. ... Land use changes <u>Intensification of discharges from land use change can also result in an increase in the amount of contaminants entering water. New management systems are required to ensure water quality can be maintained or improved over time when these sorts of land use change occur in situations where there is a demonstrable risk of degradation of the freshwater resource from land use intensification.</u> ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	12.3
195.10	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 3 be amended as follows: ...	Reject	12.3
195.11	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 4 be amended as follows: ... In some areas where over-allocation has occurred, the resulting management regime will have variable impacts on some	Reject	12.3
195.12	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 5 be retained as notified.	Reject	12.3
195.13	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 6 be amended as follows: ... In some places in the TANK catchments, a significant investment into mitigation measures may be required to meet those objectives. A staged approach to change <u>is practical, and will provide sufficient enable time to make changes and enable for people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural and tikanga Maori wellbeing/ hauora in the short term in ways that are within their range of capabilities.</u>	Reject	12.3

195.16	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That 5.10 Introduction be retained as notified.		Accept	12.3
196.5	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.		Reject	12.3
200.3	Ray	Knowles	Aspyron Trust	HBRC lack of transparency - information outlined in submission is made available to the public via the HBRC website within the earliest possible timeframe. Such information should be presented in an easy to find & easy to decipher format for the layman by catchment. Consent holders need to be listed by catchment & ranked within that catchment by % of allocatable take from highest to lowest.		Reject	12.3
201.4	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Paragraph 8 - reword - cost to people and region ought not to be put as reason to excuse addressing the complexity of managing freshwater, nor should there be any relevance to the absence of a quick fix.		Reject	12.3
201.7	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Water Management Overview section- reference to NPS FM and Outstanding Water Bodies is bold and to some extent damning as the NPS FM 2020 came out in Aug and PC9 anticipates that no major changes will be made to the OWB plan change.		Reject	12.3
201.8	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Issues Statements - stage each issue specifically, if each statement is concise and refers to one or 2 issues the linkage to relevant objectives, policies and rules is clearer. Focus issue for clarity of intent and desired outcomes.		Reject	12.3

201.13	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Intent of the Plan - many sections are centered on a desire to 'enable' or 'allow for' activities, rather than to avoid or remedy the effects. This is a move away from the prescription of the RMA legislation and potentially ultra vires.		Reject	12.3
203.1	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Achieve the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and consistency with the relevant provisions in Sections 6 - 8 RMA; • Give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and the RPS provisions in the operative RRMP; • Assist the Council to carry out its functions under Section 30 RMA; • Meet the requirements of the statutory tests in section 32 of the RMA; and • Avoid, remedy or mitigate any relevant and identified environmental effects; Make any alternative or consequential relief as required to give effect to this submission, including any consequential relief required in any other sections of the RRMP that are not specifically subject of this submission but where consequential changes are required to ensure a consistent approach is taken throughout the document; and Any other relief required to give effect to the issues raised in this submission.		Accept in part	12.3
207.69	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	It is important therefore that the Plan is clear and unambiguous in how what is expected of consent holders and that rules and conditions are proportionate to the likely effects and environmental benefits anticipated to be achieved.		Reject	12.3
210.5	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move the following policies to a separate methods section of the plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority Management Approach 2, 3, 4, 5 • Protection of Source Water 6, 9 		Reject	12.3
210.6	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend policies (and related provisions) to ensure consistency of terminology and referencing throughout the plan.		Accept	12.3
210.7	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Align the format and content of the policies (and all sections of the plan) with the National Planning Standards		Reject	12.3
210.8	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend all policies to give effect to the NPSFM 2017, in part by removing the substantial bias towards non-regulatory mechanisms for achieving the objectives of the plan (particularly those objectives in Schedules 26, 27, and 31).		Reject	12.3
210.9	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove the phrasing throughout the plan that 'enables,' 'provides for,' and 'allows,' potentially environmentally damaging activities to be undertaken.		Reject	12.3
210.10	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Where non-regulatory mechanisms are to remain in PC9, clarify the link between the objectives, policies, and any non-regulatory methods that arise to clearly illustrate how those methods will achieve the outcomes sought in the objectives; and clearly illustrate what regulatory mechanisms are available to the Council where those would be more effective in achieving outcomes than non-regulatory methods.		Reject	12.3
210.11	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify the circumstances in which non-regulatory mechanisms would not be suitable for managing an activity, so that decision-makers are clearly guided through decisions on managing such activities.		Reject	12.3

210.26	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove parts of the policy that would be better in a 'methods' section (e.g. "establishment of riparian vegetation to shade the water and reduce macrophyte growth while accounting for flooding and drainage objectives"). Reword to provide more direction on what the water quality objectives are, and how and when they will be achieved (without writing methods).	Reject	12.3
210.27	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove parts of the policy that would be better in a 'methods' section. Reword the policy to focus on what is to be protected/restored (i.e. the outcome) rather than what council will do. E.g. "The values and ecosystem health of wetlands and lakes will be protected and enhanced by..."	Reject	12.3
210.28	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove parts of the policy that would be better in a 'methods' section Reword to provide more direction on what the water quality objectives are, and how and when they will be achieved (without writing methods).	Reject	12.3
210.29	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove parts of the policy that would be better in a 'methods' section. Reword to provide more direction on what the water quality objectives are, and how and when they will be achieved (without writing methods).	Reject	12.3
210.34	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move to a 'methods' section, or reword to better reflect best practice policy frameworks. Remove 'significant' from (c) – i.e. "regulating cultivation, stock access and indigenous vegetation clearance activities that have an significant adverse effect on functioning of riparian margins in relation to water quality and aquatic ecosystem" Create a schedule of freshwater values with a note on where they apply (Schedule X) which can then be referenced by this policy.	Reject	12.3
211.5	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
214.5	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
215.5	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
216.12	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	PC9 should have underlying rules directing that if new information or science reveals a rule or implementation of the rule to be having incorrect consequences or reveals a better approach the most informative and recent science must be taken into account and used to correct the plan or the direction of implementation.	Reject	12.3
216.15	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	As newer / lower consented allocation information numbers become available they should be used to update the different HBRC assessment models (e.g. over allocation, stream depletion impact assessment).	Reject	12.3
216.17	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Encourages the plan to be able to incorporate new solutions and innovations. Where science shows new solutions that are more appropriate or have more relevance to creating desired outcomes – there is an ability to not just create rule exceptions but to replace with these with new solutions.	Reject	12.3

217.4	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
218.5	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
219.5	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
220.5	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
221.5	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that it better enables collective approaches to water and nutrient management by reducing the level of detail and specificity in the plan.	Reject	12.3
233.2	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Include new issue: Protection of Drinking Water Sources	Reject	12.3
233.3	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Modification to human health attributes in Figure 1 - include cyanobacteria, the quantity of water and the presence of pathogens and other chemical contaminants, and waiora.	Reject	12.3
233.4	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	The HBDHB supports these objectives but recommends the Plan makes it clearer as to how policies and rules give effect to them. It would be useful to include a list of policies and rules that specifically give effect to these objectives.	Reject	12.3
236.1	Kenneth	Mark		No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to the timing of consultation.	Reject	12.3
237.1	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Strongly feel that WWNZ should have been invited to contribute to every stage of the planning process. WWNZ are a large stakeholder group.	Reject	12.3
237.2	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	PC9 fails to give effect to the RPS, NPSFM20, Part 2 of the RMA and the s32 assessment is deficient.	Accept in part	12.3
237.5	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Include limits and rules to maintain or improve water quality.	Reject	12.3

240.18	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Amend and/or add to the plan the connection of cultural values with specific rules.		Reject	12.3
241.2	Penny and John	Reynolds		Broadly supports themes of the TANK Objectives but consider policies, rules and regulations do not adequately balance social, environmental and economic sustainability and they must be based on sound science and evidence.		Reject	12.3
Table 12.4: Implementation of PPC9							
24.6	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Implementation We respectfully suggest that HBRC reviews its connections and considers the possible practicality of having a dedicated field staff member in each catchment to act as the ear and eyes of Council, and who would act as the first link in a communication network. The right person would liaise with land owners with knowledge, insight, and good humour, and act as the latter's prime point of contact with Council. We suggest that this Plan Change could be most practically put into effect at this field level first and foremost.		Accept in part	12.4
50.16	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	We fully expect HBRC to enforce penalties/remedies on farmers who breach guidelines established by their own FEP's, catchment collective FEPs and Tank Plan parameters. Enforcement action focuses on those that do not comply. But self-management avoids the unnecessary imposition of cost on all farmers who wish to be compliant.		Accept in part	12.4
103.16	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Where it seeks to recover cost, we would like to see HBRC take this into account and implement scalable and equitable approaches.		Accept in part	12.4
132.190.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for an "Implementation Plan" for PC9, that includes a summary of specific actions and their timing to meet certain dates and commitments in the plan, and full implementation of different parts of the plan. Include monitoring of Māori and budget provision through reference to long-term plans, and achievement of targets and elements of the NPS-FM 2020.		Accept in part	12.4
195.14	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 7 be amended as follows: There are information gaps <u>about water use</u> throughout these TANK catchments, with some arising because of the values-based approach to water management and the wider, more holistic approach that has been taken in relation to environmental management. It is partly due to reliance on piecemeal analysis of individual impacts on the water resource that occurs in applying for resource consents at the level of individual properties on a case-by-case basis. This contributes to 'patchy' information of varying quality being generated at different times throughout the catchment. Some of this results from Developing understanding about the complex inter-relationships within freshwater and land systems, both at a local sub-catchment scale and in relation to the wider freshwater - coastal water interface <u>is increasingly important in understanding how to manage freshwater resources at the catchment scale.</u> In future, technology land and water practices and information availability are likely to change, both increasing understanding of 'state' and impacts, and also improving management and mitigation responses. The scale of information collection is also likely to change as more focussed approaches to water management are used at a sub-catchment or marae scale, <u>which is more useful for catchment-scale analysis.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.		Reject	12.4
210.19	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Timeframes need to be clearly stated and associated with outcomes/objectives/targets		Accept in part	12.4
216.4	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	The plan lacks detail in certain areas and on how the rules will be implemented. Without clear detail on how the plan will be implemented, industry cannot assess the true impact on the orchards and to the region.		Reject	12.4
229.6	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	Any implementation strategies should be a part of the regulatory plan and council should be accountable for them. They should not sit outside the plan change in documents with no legal force.		Reject	12.4
229.7	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	Council needs to have scope to take appropriate action when outcomes are not achieved.		accept in part	12.4
236.4	Kenneth	Mark		Opposes any reference throughout the TANK plan to "2 May 2020" as the commencement date for compliance.		Reject	12.4

240.3	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		We have a concern with the clarity of the processes to engage a regulatory approach if an individual within the industry programmes or collectives fails to meet specified limits or management measures.	accept in part	12.4
Table 12.5: Monitoring						
14.4	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 1 - Amend to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments.	Reject	12.5
14.5	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 34 - Amend to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments.	Reject	12.5
15.6	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 1 - Amend to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments.	Reject	12.5
15.7	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 34 - Amend to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments.	Reject	12.5
16.14	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 so that there are yearly reviews of adherence to plans.	Accept in part	12.5
20.6	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 1 - Amend to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments.	Reject	12.5
20.7	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 34 - Amend to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments.	Reject	12.5
29.9	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 34 to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments. We suggest that this should comprise representatives from the Regional Planning Committee, together with representatives from each of the subcatchments and should meet at least bi-annually.	Reject	12.5
29.18	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 34 to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments. We suggest that this should comprise representatives from the Regional Planning Committee, together with representatives from each of the subcatchments and should meet at least bi-annually.	Reject	12.5
67.6	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	Amend Change 9 to allow for monthly water quality testing of the Maraekakaho Stream and the Ngaruroro River downstream of any commercial or industrial activity on the riparian strip	Accept in part	12.5
83.4	Jim	Galloway		That HBRC provide resources for increased monitoring of water quality in greater detail at more sites and in real time	Accept in part	12.5
97.77	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	How monitoring is going to occur needs to be clarified	Accept in part	12.5
106.9	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	Our streams water has been taken to fund individual businesses who have not left enough water for the stream, the life it	Accept in part	12.5
111.12	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Maintain healthy excellent monitoring on land usage to allow clean flourishing waterways	Accept in part	12.5
120.40.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Resource and support the development and implementation of a matauranga Maori framework to monitor the mauri of the Heretaunga Aquifer and its groundwater dependent ecosystems.	Accept in part	12.5
120.94	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	There should be a clear separation between monitoring and review of the plan between knowledge systems (i.e., matauranga Maori and Western science).	Accept in part	12.5
120.95	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Monitoring policies in PC9 should specifically support and resource the development and implementation of matauranga Maori frameworks and tools (led by tangata whenua/hapO) to monitor the success of the Plan in improving Maori relationships with the environment and protection of mauri.	Accept in part	12.5
120.97	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend to include matauranga Maori monitoring of the mauri of the Heretaunga Aquifer, including all of its groundwater dependent ecosystems.	Accept in part	12.5
120.99	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend all monitoring and review provisions to ensure that cumulative effects are adequately monitored and reported on and that appropriate feedback loops are in place to ensure that cumulative effects are taken into account in decision making and plan review	Accept in part	12.5
120.100.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Data from monitoring as it becomes available is used to inform Council to refine targets and limits and subsequently how management might be adapted.	Accept in part	12.5

123.67	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Reword and include as two separate nonregulatory methods specific to mana whenua and then the local community Amend PC9 to include policy on how mana whenua will be involved in freshwater management and decision making, not only with respect to monitoring and matauranga Maori.	Reject	12.5
123.68	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend as a non-regulatory method and delete clause (b)	Reject	12.5
123.69	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend as a non-regulatory method using concise language.	Reject	12.5
126.25	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Policy 34	Reject	12.5
132.107	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Resource and enable the development of Mauri monitoring, assessment and reporting through PC9 implementation. Include a substantive definition for Mauri that applies within the Ngaruroro and Karamo catchments and include the provided table or similar to assist with cultural monitoring.	Reject	12.5
132.182	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add a diagram to a section of PC9 that expresses the different states of Mauri within freshwater bodies.	Accept in part	12.5
135.37	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 33 as follows: c) assessing effectiveness of mitigation measures adopted to meet freshwater quality objectives; 	Reject	12.5
135.38	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Policy 35 as follows:</p> <p>The Council will monitor and report on the effectiveness of the TANK water quality management policies and rules, and to assist in making decisions about reviewing or changing this management framework, the Council will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) continue to monitor instream water quality and review and report on the progress towards and achievement of the <u>freshwater</u> quality objectives in Schedule 26 and according to Objectives 2 and 3 of this Plan in its regular State of the Environment monitoring; b) monitor and report on the state of riparian land and wetlands, and carry out regular ecosystem habitat assessments, including native fish monitoring and through the application of ma` tauranga Ma` ori tools and approaches when they are developed; c) monitor the progress towards the milestones listed in Policy 27, according to timeframes specified in Schedule 28, and collate and report annually on information about; (i) the nature and extent of the mitigation measures being adopted to meet water quality and/or quantity outcomes through Catchment Collectives, Industry Programmes and Farm <u>Environment</u> Plans; (ii) the establishment of Catchment Collectives and assess progress in <i>implementing the measures specified in their environment plans</i>; (iii) the preparation of Farm Environment Plans and assess progress in implementing the measures specified in that plan; d) work with Industry Groups to collate information annually on the functioning and success of any Industry Programme in implementing measures specified in the Industry Programme; e) along with the Napier City Council and Hastings District Council, report annually on progress towards the improvement of the stormwater network, including reporting on the preparation of Site Management Plans for activities at risk of contaminating stormwater in urban areas; <p>And</p> <p>f) commence a review of these provisions within ten years of in accordance with section 79 of the RMA.</p>	Accept in part	12.5
138.4	Marei	Apatu		PC9 impose more effective storm water management controls and that discharge consents carry a charge or levy to enable more constant monitoring including cultural monitoring by tangata whenua, as well as council monitoring. That way we can have a far better early warning system in place for the Karamu, to inform affirmative action.	Accept in part	12.5
141.7	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	We agree but needs to happen and be collaborative.	Accept	12.5
180.37	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	<p>Amend as follows: Council will meet regularly with representatives from a TANK stakeholder groups <u>that includes representatives from all relevant sectors of the community, and will discuss (as appropriate) matters relating to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) review and report on TANK implementation <u>of the TANK plan</u>; b) issues arising <u>within the TANK Catchments that could be addressed by future plan changes</u>; c) <u>progress towards freshwater objectives/targets</u>; d) <u>possible options for consideration at time of plan review. and develop measures to enable their resolution.</u> 	Reject	12.5
191.8	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Monitor & manage the streams, freshwater species, habitat and wetlands of Bridge Pa with a reasonable time frame	Accept in part	12.5
194.43	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted	Accept in part	12.5

195.15	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Issue 8 be amended as follows: Climate is changing, which also has an impact on natural climate variability. The challenge which lies ahead is not knowing the timing and extent to which <u>adapting to climate change and becoming more resilient. This includes taking account of climate variability will change further and how this may impact on water flows, levels and quality, or the precise timeframes within which these anticipated changes will occur.</u> HBRC is required to have particular regard to the effects of climate change when managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	12.5
195.57	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 33 be retained as notified	Accept	12.5
195.58	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 34 to read: Council will meet regularly with representatives from TANK stakeholder groups <u>establish a representative Community Catchment Governance body to...</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	12.5
195.59	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 35 to read: c) monitor the progress towards the milestones listed in Policy 27, according to timeframes <u>priorities</u> specified in Schedule 28 and collate and report annually on information about; ... And f) commence a review of these provisions within ten years of in accordance with section 79 of the RMA. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	12.5
201.5	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Background section can be more direct in stating the impact on freshwater that has occurred because of competing priorities and the higher weighting that has been given to economic development over the years.	Reject	12.5
206.7	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Cultural monitoring	Accept in part	12.5
209.6	Waiariki	Davis		Cultural monitoring.	Accept in part	12.5
210.54	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move community monitoring to a 'methods' section.	Reject	12.5
210.55	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move to a 'methods' section.	Reject	12.5
210.56	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move to a 'methods' section and reword for clarity.	Reject	12.5
225.8	Mary	Tukiwaho		This needs to be readdressed, definitely rewritten and a new management and co-governing body to be implemented.	Reject	12.5
231.7	Peter	Kay		Agree, but it is not happening. The process must remain collaborative.	Accept	12.5
233.12	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Link monitoring of attributes requiring improvements to achieve the attribute states in schedule 26 to milestones and timeframes for review (Policy 27 and schedule 28) Change bullet point f) to read. "Commence a review of these provisions if monitoring of attributes requiring improvement to achieve schedule 26 attribute states fails to show progress within 5 year of "operative date" "	Reject	12.5
234.1	Stephen	Randell	Hope Monitoring Omahu	Maori whenua and wai Mauri Monitoring	Accept in part	12.5
238.15	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend Policy 34 to require Council to establish and maintain a community catchment governance body to oversee subcatchment activities within the TANK catchments. We suggest that this should comprise representatives from the Regional Planning Committee, together with representatives from each of the subcatchments and should meet at least bi-annually.	Reject	12.5

239.2	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	A new governance structure supported by HBRC formed by the chairpersons from each catchment group.	Reject	12.5
239.6	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	HBRC to work with CC's to develop monitoring programs that are related to catchment issues and structure.	Accept in part	12.5
Table 12.6: Economic						
45.2	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Wish to continue to provide employment without too many unpractical regulations that may effect the production and profitability of this business.	Reject	12.6
50.3	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	PC9 must have regard for the economic circumstances arising from COVID,, develop economic growth objectives and policies that can facilitate growth in revenues and employment from productive use of water.	Reject	12.6
50.5	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	There is no analysis of the adverse economic impact of imposing the proposed restrictions on the farming sector, and thereby the economic well-being of Hawkes Bay (investment, jobs, spending etc).	Accept in part	12.6
50.8	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	The objectives of TANK PC9 should be reviewed with a view to including specific objectives and policies as to role the plan can play in facilitating sustainable economic growth in Hawkes Bay.	Reject	12.6
50.15	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	We do not think that HBRC understands the reality of scale of costs that will be imposed with annual reporting, auditing, visits etc., or consent application process. Very concerned about the insidious creep of these proposed regulations. Why should we incur the cost of independent hydrology reports, Geotech reports, ecology reports, the requirement for independent planners to be employed to prepare applications, if we are operating within TANK guidelines and the parameters. We ask that HBRC investigate the scale of costs that will be imposed on farmers if the Plan changes are to be implemented as proposed, and reconsiders what it can push back to farmers to self-manage.	Reject	12.6
63.5	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Introduce an additional Policy (referred to as Policy 37A) to guide situations where the granting of new takes will be considered. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	12.6
72.77	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that pragmatism and reality need to be considered for real world use on a commercial level, not just environmental.	Reject	12.6
73.77	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states that pragmatism and reality need to be considered for real world use on a commercial level, not just environmental.	Reject	12.6
97.82	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	I see no reference to the economic impact of the Plan Change 9 and the figures in this report need to be worked inputing the changes suggested in Plan Change 9.	Accept in part	12.6
109.3	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Concerned that the PC9 proposed changes will have a significant negative impact on farming activities across the Hawkes Bay Region. The cumulative effect of all the potential impacts will include additional ongoing compliance costs. The overall impact of these proposed changes and the poor communication of them is likely to chill investment in the region.	Reject	12.6
109.4	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Complexity and uncertainty is not in the best economic environmental interests of the HB, PC9 changes are so complicated and interwoven and a significant number of farms currently operating under permitted rules will now be required to obtain resource consents, increasing uncertainty and decreasing returns e.g. Rule TANK 1 and 2, Rule TANK 5, Schedule 29.	Reject	12.6
113.11	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Concerned that the Proposed PC9-TANK process has not adequately considered the cost of compliance on Maori land blocks. Whilst the planning document refers to the council providing funding assistance for riparian management and the restoration, reinstatement or creation of natural wetlands and lakes in POL 13 and POL 15 respectively, the Maori Trustee does not believe the extent and nature of this funding has been adequately addressed.	Reject	12.6
126.8	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 4 and replace with the following wording to read: <u>There is no reduction in the overall quality of TANK waterbodies and TANK estuaries as at 1 May 2020, by:</u> <u>a) at least maintaining the current state of the water quality where TANK waterbodies and TANK estuarine systems are achieving freshwater objectives in Schedule 26; or</u> <u>b) improving the current state of water quality in TANK waterbodies and TANK estuarine systems that have been degraded by human activities below freshwater objectives in Schedule 26, so that the short-term numerical attribute states in Schedule 26AA are met no later than 10 years after PC9 becomes operative."</u>	Reject	12.6
145.1	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	The Plan is stacked against the primary producers who underpin our economy and have the real potential to impose a severe hand break on the economic development of the region.	Reject	12.6
145.2	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	PC9 creates serious impediments which fly in the face of Part Two and section 7 of the RMA directions both actual and implied. The imposition of more red tape will affect investors decisions, the local economy, job prospects and the economic wellbeing of the populace.	Reject	12.6

145.3	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	A separate objection should be introduced that reflects the implications of the RMA Part 2 to demonstrate and underscore HBRC's commitment to recognise and provide for land owners and leaseholders rights to operate their properties for profitable use, for the economic wellbeing of themselves and the regional economy without undue or unwarranted obstruction or interference.	Reject	12.6
145.9	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	Needs of future generations - several thousands of hectares of flat land on the plain suitable for horticulture that have no (or very little) consented groundwater. These blocks will need to fulfil the needs of future generations, providing for the population and its growth by way of jobs and expansion of the local and national economy.	Reject	12.6
180.5	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	These costs associated with managing freshwater resources must be borne by all members of the community that use water – which is arguably almost every person that either lives or works within the TANK Catchments. The costs must not be disproportionately apportioned to irrigators who only use approximately 50% of the water abstracted from the system that influences flows in the Ngaruroro River. The rest of the water abstracted is used for municipal and industrial	Reject	12.6
197.4	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Amend existing and include as required new objectives to give effect to the following intent: •Strengthen the requirements to provide for the economic wellbeing of people and communities; and •In formulating freshwater objectives and limits, the economic wellbeing, including productive economic opportunities	Reject	12.6
216.3	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	The economic impact to the region through potential adverse effects from the implementation of the plan have not been adequately understood. Economic analysis does not appear to be fairly addressed.	Reject	12.6
216.5	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Supports only changes in the plan that can confidently show meaningful gain to the environment. Restrictions should only be considered where there is clearly researched and articulated risk vs reward studies that accurately quantify the expected impact of potential restrictions on both growers and the environment.	Reject	12.6
224.8	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	No specific relief requested but raises economic concerns	Reject	12.6
224.9	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	It is strongly recommended that the HBRC take note of the company's position and seek to achieve a balance that strikes an accord between best practice environmental management whilst simultaneously recognizing the economic imperatives within the region.	Reject	12.6

Table 12.7: General Objectives

2.1	Angus	Wall		Support	Accept	12.7
2.4	Angus	Wall		Support	Accept	12.7
12.1	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support OBJ TANK 2 - Retain as proposed.	Accept	12.7
17.2	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Objectives 1 and 2 - support provisions which recognise that successful environment outcomes for freshwater ecological health require landowner and community support and leadership. Ask for these to be retained as proposed and for policies to be amended or included to enable catchment collective approaches to management.	Accept	12.7
21.2	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Objectives 1 and 2 - support provisions which recognise that successful environment outcomes for freshwater ecological health require landowner and community support and leadership. Ask for these to be retained as proposed and for policies to be amended or included to enable catchment collective approaches to management.	Accept	12.7
23.3	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
29.5	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend OBJ TANK 2.a to recognise the overriding requirement for partnership in setting objectives, limits and targets. Alternatively, replace "upheld" in OBJ TANK 2.a with "consider and recognise".	Reject	12.7
32.3	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
35.3	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7

37.3	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
38.3	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
40.2	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Objectives 1 and 2 - support provisions which recognise that successful environment outcomes for freshwater ecological health require landowner and community support and leadership. Ask for these to be retained as proposed and for policies to be amended or included to enable catchment collective approaches to management.	Accept	12.7
42.3	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
43.3	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
44.3	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
45.4	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Objectives 1 and 2 - support provisions which recognise that successful environment outcomes for freshwater ecological health require landowner and community support and leadership. Ask for these to be retained as proposed and for policies to be amended or included to enable catchment collective approaches to management.	Accept	12.7
47.1	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Broadly supports the themes of the TANK Objectives but consider the policies and rules do adequately balance social, environmental and economic sustainability.	Accept	12.7
49.3	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
50.2	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	In general we support the general trust of the objectives as set out.	Accept	12.7
54.3	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
58.4	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend Clause b to insert "the habitat of trout and salmon" after "indigenous biodiversity"	Accept in part	12.7
61.3	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
62.3	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
67.3	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	No specific decision sought but states support for 5.10.1 TANK objectives	Accept	12.7
69.3	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
71.3	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
72.3	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
73.3	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
77.3	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
79.3	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
86.3	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
91.2	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
95.3	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7

96.3	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
97.3	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
97.78	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend so that the term food and fibre producers to replace Primary Producers.	Reject	12.7
97.80.	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Clarify whether the lists under the Objectives and Priorities etc are in order of importance or not. It appears that some are, while others are unclear.	Reject	12.7
97.85	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific relief requested but states that there is no mention for the necessity of food and fibre production to be taken into account when setting objectives, limits and targets. This is a major omission. Food security should be a priority. Part b) Mentions a continuous improvement approach which is above the NPS recommendation of maintain or improve.	Reject	12.7
104.3	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
105.3	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
106.3	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	Te Mana o T e Wai - The link between Te Mana o Te Wai and the proposed Plan Change 9, is that there is no real balance, whereby social and cultural values held by the community continue to be eroded to prioritise economic activities and the over allocation water resources to farmers, orchardists, vineyards etc. it has a detrimental effect to the health and wellbeing of our waterways, our people, and the environment	Accept in part	12.7
109.2	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Support OBJ TANK 1 and 2 - which recognise that successful environment outcomes for freshwater ecological health require landowner and community support and leadership.	Accept	12.7
110.3	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
111.8	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	To preserve and protect the integrity of matauranga maori and intricate tribal korero belonging to that of our said hapuu from being used to our detriment	Accept in part	12.7
112.2	Ainsley	Harte		Objectives 1 and 2 - support provisions which recognise that successful environment outcomes for freshwater ecological health require landowner and community support and leadership. Ask for these to be retained as proposed and for policies to be amended or included to enable catchment collective approaches to management.	Accept in part	12.7
113.7	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Recommends the inclusion of the following; Recognising the unique characteristics of Maori land and ensuring that, as a result of the legal framework which the land is operated under, there is equal access to sustainable economic development options, including the allocation and use of water in the development of Maori Land.	Accept in part	12.7
113.8	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Recommends the inclusion of the following; Recognising the unique characteristics of Maori land and ensuring that, as a result of the legal framework which this land	Accept in part	12.7
120.12	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include new objective and policy relating to restoring and revitalising the mauri and te mana o te wai of the TANK catchments and Heretaunga muriwaihou; recognising and providing for Ngati Kahugnnunu's relationships, tikanga and beliefs with their ancestral waters and taonga; and repatriate and protect tangata whenua values, customs, culture and relationships with these waters. Specific wording provided.	Accept in part	12.7
120.13	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include clear objectives and policies to maintain or improve water quality, safeguard life-supporting capacity, ecosystem health and human health, protect the significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands and provide for other instream freshwater values (including tangata whenua values).	Reject	12.7
120.64	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Re-order the objectives so that the key priorities are first, then objectives relating to the values for each water body, then the methods based (actions) and consideration (decision making) objectives. Further specification provided.	Reject	12.7
120.78	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Objectives must be clearly articulated and ambitious but reasonable	Accept	12.7

120.85	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include specific provisions to recognise and give effect to Te Mana o te Wai, protect mauri, and provide for Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata, consistent with the framework described in the NPS FM (2020) and (to the extent relevant) the NPS FM (2017). <u>Specific detail on giving effect to Te Mana o Te Wai provided.</u>	Accept in part	12.7
122.3	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
123.22	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	General objectives - all. Delete and restate all the objectives except objective 9 as outcomes which give effect to the NPSFM 2014 and RPS. Reduce the overall number of objectives and increase their clarity of purpose using concise and consistent RMA and NPSFM 2014 language and terms. Delete all sub-headings associated with the objectives or alternatively reorder and reword the sub-headings to reflect their purpose (e.g., overarching vs system specific objectives like surface water and groundwater) ... continued in submission	Accept in part	12.7
123.33	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete objective 14 and replace with new objectives C and D (see points 123.34 and 123.35).	Reject	12.7
123.34	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objective C - include as new objective. <u>"The mauri and quality of groundwater is maintained, enhanced or restored, to protect the health of groundwater dependent ecosystems, improve surface water quality, and make groundwater suitable for human drinking consumption"</u> <u>or words to similar effect</u>	Reject	12.7
126.6	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 2 and replace with the following wording to read: <u>"Land and freshwater in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments are sustainably managed as integrated natural resources in a way that:</u> <u>a) provides for Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata;</u> <u>b) safeguards life-supporting capacity and aquatic ecosystem processes;</u> <u>c) recognises the connection between surface water and groundwater and between freshwater, land and the coast (ki uta ki tai);</u> <u>d) provides for the significant values of wetlands and outstanding freshwater bodies</u> <u>e) recognises the reasonably foreseeable impact of climate change;</u> <u>f) enables communities to provide for their economic wellbeing within limits; so that the freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 are met by 2040."</u>	Accept in part	12.7
132.83	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Redraft the existing objectives so they are clearly stated outcomes which address the resource management issues.	Accept	12.7
132.84	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add two new objectives so they apply across the four TANK catchments, and amend PC9 policies, methods and schedules where they are in conflict with these objectives. <u>Suggested wording provided.</u>	Reject	12.7
132.85	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add new objectives 2A, 3A, 6A, and 6B relating to maintaining water quantity and quality, and abstraction in the four TANK catchments. <u>Specific wording provided.</u>	Reject	12.7
132.86	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend proposed PC9 policies, rules, and schedules to promote the achievement of the new proposed objectives.	Reject	12.7
135.3	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Tank OBJ 1 <u>"support good decision making by resource users including rural and urban communities through marae and hapu initiatives, community or other catchment management programmes and monitoring initiatives, urban stormwater programmes, landowner collectives, farm management environment plans and industry good practice programmes."</u>	Reject	12.7

135.4	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 2 as follows: When setting objectives, limits and targets; • a) Te Mana o te Wai and integrated mountains to the sea, ki uta ki tai principles are upheld; • b) A continuous improvement approach to the use and development of natural resources and The protection of indigenous biodiversity is adopted and the collective <u>sustainable</u> management of freshwater is enabled;	Accept in part	12.7
145.4	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	The word 'upheld' should be changed to - 'considered in decision making process'. There is no mandate that Council can take from law that Maori or other interests are overriding considerations in any decision-making process. Objectives and Policies in the plan should guard against inbuilt rulings and prejudice against landowners and those seeking to make progress.	Reject	12.7
185.3	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
186.3	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
193.15	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	The objectives in the Plan Change are commendable but fall short when considering the foreseeable water needs of future generations.	Reject	12.7
194.18	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 1 should be revised so that it is an outcome statement that responds to an identified resource management issue (but otherwise retained).	Accept	12.7
194.31	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 2, 4, 5 and 8 - Retain as drafted.	Accept	12.7
195.17	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Delete OBJ TANK 1 sub clauses a-c OR restate sub clauses a-c as policies. Tracked changes provided. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	12.7
195.18	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 2 be amended as follows: When setting objectives, limits and targets; ... c) The kaitiakitanga role of tangata whenua and their whakapapa and cultural connection with water are recognised and provided for shall be had particular regard to; • <u>The effects of climate change shall be had particular regard to.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	12.7
196.3	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
197.1	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Retain as proposed.	Accept	12.7
197.2	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Amend existing and include as required new objectives to give effect to the following intent: • Provide for a range and flexibility in land use... • Restrict the reach of objectives to the values of the NPS-FW... • Reference to the management of water quality pertains to the achievement of the objectives... • Otherwise water quality is maintained where the objectives are met. • Attribute state should be set to achieve the values....	Reject	12.7
201.16	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	The Council, Mana Whenua and the urban and rural community work together in a way that recognises and provides for the kaitiaki and guardianship roles they each play in freshwater management and; a) recognise the importance of monitoring, resource investigations and the use of matauranga Maori to inform decisions making and limit setting or sustainable management' b) ensure good land and water management practices are followed; c) support good decision making and compliance by resource users.	Accept in part	12.7
201.17	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	When setting objectives, limits and targets Council will uphold; a) Te mana o te Wai and integrated mountains to the sea, ki uta ki tai principles are upheld	Reject	12.7
202.8	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Supports a specific objective providing for Tangata Whenua to undertake monitoring throughout the life of the plan to enable the application of a diversity of systems of values and knowledge, such as matauranga maori to the management of freshwater within the TANK catchments	Reject	12.7

203.23	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend as follows: a) b) The activity does not comply with the conditions of Rules <u>TANK 8</u> , TANK 9 or TANK 10. Either (i) The application is either for the or continuation of a water take and use previously authorised in a permit that was issued before 2 May 2020 or is a joint or global application that replaces these existing water permits previously held separately or individually in the following Management Units; • Ahuriri • Poukawa • Ngaruroro groundwater • Tu`taekuri` groundwater • Heretaunga Plains (ii) The total amount taken, either by itself or in combination with other authorised takes in the same water management unit does not cause the total allocation limit in the relevant management unit as specified in Schedule 31 to be exceeded except this clause does not apply to takes for: • frost protection; • takes of water associated with and dependent on release of water from a water storage impoundment. • <u>temporary construction dewatering</u>		
					Accept	12.7
210.2	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	No specific relief requested but raises concerns with the way objectives are drafted. More discussion and recommended approaches provided.	Accept	12.7
210.3	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove all 18 objectives from the plan and replace with new objectives. Specific wording for 6 new objectives provided.	Accept	12.7
210.24	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove from the plan and replace with the objectives suggested earlier in our submission.	Reject	12.7
211.3	Brian	McLay		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
214.3	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
215.3	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
218.3	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
219.3	Michael & Julie	Russell		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
220.3	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
221.3	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but states support for requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.	Accept in part	12.7
240.5	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Consent application, new, amendments, or renewals require identification and information on how Te Ao Maori is provided for, and in a manner that is consistent with Te mana o te wai.	Accept in part	12.7

Table 12.8: Climate Change and TANK OBJ 3						
13.2	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support OBJ TANK 3 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	12.8
58.5	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend Clause (b) to insert "the habitat of trout and salmon" after "indigenous biodiversity"	Accept in part	12.8
123.24	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend in a way that: - the mauri of waterbodies is protected and restored to provide for Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata and to provide for the values in Schedule X -safeguards life-supporting capacity and aquatic ecosystem processes -the connectivity between land, surface water, groundwater, freshwater and the coast - Ki uta, ki tai is recognised - provides for the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga.	Reject	12.8
126.7	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Retain the intent of Objective 3 and consider re-numbering for readability.	Accept in part	12.8
193.16	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policies consider possible population changes and demand that may have on water supply, but fail to recognise climate change a possibly impacting future water demand.	Accept in part	12.8
194.19	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted. Review other concepts in PC9 such as 'actual and reasonable use' to ensure they are also cognisant of the effects of climate change over time.	Accept in part	12.8
195.19	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 3 be amended as follows: ... d) <u>Reliance on the freshwater resource for the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of communities</u> e) Opportunities to improve community resilience for changes occurring as a result of (a)(i) to (iv). And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	12.8
201.18	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	The Council will have particular regard to the effects of climate change in respect of each of the following in making decisions about land and water management within the TANK catchments; a) The effects on aquatic ecosystems, including indigenous biodiversity, freshwater bodies, water supply and human health, primary production and infrastructure from the predicted: (i) increases in intensity and frequency of rainfall; (ii) effects of rainfall on erosion and sediment loss; (iii) increases in sea level, and declining aquifer levels and the potential effects of salt water intrusion; (iv) increasing frequency of water shortages; (v) increasing variability in river flows; b) The amount of information available and the scale and probability of adverse effects, particularly irreversible effects, as a consequence of acting or not acting; c) The timeframes relevant to the activity; d) Investigate opportunities to improve community resilience for changes occurring as a result of (a)(i) to (iv).	Reject	12.8
210.22	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Integrate the consideration of potential causes of and impacts from climate change clearly throughout the objectives and policies to provide council scope to consider these in making resource management decisions.	Accept in part	12.8
210.23	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Consider PC9 in light of the recent MFE climate risks report, the Adapting to Climate Change in NZ report, the Coastal Hazards and Climate Change Guidance for Local Councils, and any other relevant work undertaken regionally, and ensure	Accept in part	12.8
229.4	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	Climate change needs more acknowledgement and prominence throughout the plan. We feel the plan lacks clarity in respect of potential impacts, how they will be avoided, remedied, or mitigated.	Accept in part	12.8
233.5	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Add bullet point a) (vi) changes in habitat for human and animal disease vectors	Reject	12.8
Table 12.9: Catchment objectives, TANK OBJ 10 - 14						
3.1	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - OBJ TANK - 14(a)	Accept	12.9

3.13	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Mend clause (g): "primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban and rural residential (including farm parks) activities to provide for community social and economic well-being"	Reject	12.9
6.6	Daniel	Soltau		Amend Clause (c) Remove jet boating	Reject	12.9
8.1	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Objective 11(g)	Accept	12.9
8.2	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support OBJ TANK 14(b)	Accept	12.9
12.2	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend OBJ TANK 10 - ... c) healthy and diverse indigenous aquatic plant, fish and bird populations; d) people and communities to safely meet their domestic water needs and provide for the social infrastructure necessary to support these people and communities; e) primary production water for community social and economic well-being; and provide for; ...	Reject	12.9
12.3	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend OBJ TANK 11 - ... e) collection of mahinga kai to provide for social and cultural well-being; f) people and communities to safely meet their domestic water needs and provide for the social infrastructure necessary to support these people and communities; g) primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; and provide for;	Reject	12.9
12.4	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend OBJ TANK 12 - ... e) collection of mahinga kai to provide for social and cultural well-being; f) people and communities to safely meet their domestic water needs and provide for the social infrastructure necessary to support these people and communities; g) primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; and provide for; ...	Reject	12.9
12.5	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend OBJ TANK 13 - ... e) people and communities to safely meet their domestic water needs <u>and provide for the social infrastructure necessary to support these people and communities;</u> f) primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; and provide for; ...	Reject	12.9
12.6	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend OBJ TANK 14 - ... a) people and communities to safely meet their domestic water needs and to enable the provision of safe and secure supplies of water for municipal use <u>including provision for the social infrastructure necessary to support these people and communities;</u> b) primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; and provide for; ...	Reject	12.9
13.3	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support OBJ TANK 10 - retain as proposed.	Accept	12.9
13.4	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support OBJ TANK 11 - retain as proposed.	Accept	12.9
13.5	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support OBJ TANK 12 - retain as proposed.	Accept	12.9
13.6	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support OBJ TANK 13 - retain as proposed.	Accept	12.9

13.7	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support OBJ TANK 14 - retain as proposed.	Accept	12.9
29.52	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend OBJ 11 to read: "...and the taking, using _z damming...".	Reject	12.9
29.53	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend OBJ 12.b to read "...especially whitebait...". (deleting unnecessary comma)	Reject	12.9
58.8	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend (c) to insert "the habitat of trout and salmon" as additional wording	Reject	12.9
108.1	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	OBJ TANK 11, c - support the inclusion of Jet-Boating	Accept	12.9
119.5	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support, noting OBJ 21 in the RRMP refers to no degradation of existing groundwater quality.	Accept	12.9
123.32	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objectives 10, 11, 12 & 13 - Delete and include (reworded) as a policy for the associated catchment. Include all catchment specific values in a Schedule in PC9. Alternatively, redraft a catchment-specific objective which concisely and clearly captures the <u>management intent and goals for the catchment.</u>	Reject	12.9
123.35	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objective D - include as new objective. "Groundwater levels are maintained, enhanced or restored to protect the health of groundwater dependent ecosystems, future overallocation is avoided, and existing overallocation is phased out by 2040" or words to similar effect. Alternatively, overallocation could be addressed as one objective across surface water and groundwater (see new objective 'J' below). This would be more concise drafting but may not have the desired level of detail to direct the policies and rules.	Reject	12.9
124.1	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	OBJ TANK 13 (f) - Support.	Accept	12.9
124.2	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	OBJ TANK 14(b) - Support.	Accept in part	12.9
126.13	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 10 and replace with the following wording to read: <u>The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Orotu is enhanced and protected by 2040 consistent with its status as a taonga of significant cultural value, high recreation value, significant conservation area and nationally significant wildlife reserve.</u>	Reject	12.9
132.10.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	OBJ 14 - Ensure water quality in the HPAS System is protected from degradation through PC9 policies and methods.	Accept in part	12.9
132.11	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	OBJ 14 - Remove any water quality limits and protocols that enable water quality to decline.	Accept in part	12.9
132.24	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Merge objectives where they seek to address the same or similar issues across the four TANK catchments.	Reject	12.9
132.26	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Accountability between effects generated from land use and water related activities within catchments regulated through the RRMP, and effects from these that occur in the coastal environment.	Accept in part	12.9
135.9	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	• Amend OBJ TANK 10 as follows: "Primary production access to water for community social and economic well-being;"	Reject	12.9
135.10.	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 11 as follows: <i>In combination with meeting the water quality states specified in Schedule 26, the use and development of land, the discharge of contaminants and nutrients, and the taking, using damming and diverting of freshwater is carried out in the Ngaruroro River catchment so that the mauri, water quality and water quantity are maintained in the mainstem above the Whanawhana Cableway and in the Taruarau River, and are improved in the tributaries and lower reaches where necessary to enable;</i> <i>g) primary production, industrial and commercial water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being;</i>	Reject	12.9

135.11	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend OBJ TANK 12 as follows: In combination with meeting the water quality states specified in Schedule 26, the use and development of land, the discharge of contaminants and nutrients, and the taking, using damming and diverting of freshwater is carried out in the <i>Tu`taekuri` River catchment</i> so that the mauri, water quality and water quantity are maintained in the upper reaches of the mainstem and are improved in the tributaries and lower reaches where necessary to enable: g) primary production, <u>industrial and commercial</u> water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; 	Reject	12.9
135.12	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend OBJ TANK 13 as follows: f) primary production, <u>industrial and commercial</u> water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; 	Reject	12.9
135.13	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend OBJ TANK 14 as follows: b) primary production, industrial and commercial water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being; 	Reject	12.9
142.7	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Remove "a healthy trout fishery" from the OBJ 11 b). Agree with balance of out comes a)-g) and as a consequence contributions to h) and i)	Reject	12.9
142.8	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Remove "a healthy trout fishery" from the OBJ 11 b). Agree with balance of out comes a)-g) and as a consequence contributions to h)	Reject	12.9
142.9	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Support OBJ13	Accept	12.9
142.10.	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Supports OBJ14	Accept	12.9
194.23	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 10(e) should be amended along the lines of 'primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for community social and economic well-being', consistent with the equivalent objectives for other water bodies in OBJs TANK 11-14.	Reject	12.9
194.24	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted	Accept	12.9
194.25	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted.	Accept	12.9
194.26	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted.	Accept	12.9
194.27	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted.	Accept	12.9
195.26	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Retain objectives 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 as notified	Accept	12.9
197.3	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	<p>Catchment objectives - amend existing and include as required new objectives to give effect to the following intent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace words 'improve' & 'enhanced' in the context of water quality and quantity with 'managed or where degraded enhanced' or words to that effect. So as to achieve a shift in intent of objectives to be driven by the achievement of the end state values associated with freshwater. Replace objectives which seek to 'enable' with objectives which seek to 'provide for'. 	Reject	12.9
201.24	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the life supporting capacity of water and ecosystems; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	12.9
201.25	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the life supporting capacity of water and ecosystems; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	12.9
201.26	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the life supporting capacity of water and ecosystems; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	12.9

201.27	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the healthy functioning of life supporting capacity of water and ecosystems; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	12.9
201.39	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Policy 36 and 37 - Amend to cap groundwater use at 70M cubic metres until the hydrological investigations and aquifer modelling have been undertaken.	Reject	12.9
203.5	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain Objectives 10 -to 15 as notified.	Accept	12.9
229.8	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	We need reassurance that councils are proceeding with clarity and intend to put into action the long-awaited genuine collaborative effort to enhance the ecology of the Ahuriri Estuary.	Reject	12.9
231.1	Peter	Kay		Support the catchment management approach and seek it to be retained as proposed.	Accept	12.9
Table 13.1: Rangatiratanga						
4.1	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	This submission questions the right of the Hawkes Bay Regional Council or any other authority to manage or utilise water as a commodity as though they had ownership rights.	Reject	13.1
4.2	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	There is already an admission in the plan change document that water is taonga and therefore is subject to Article 2 of the Treaty of Waitangi. The language used throughout the proposed plan change is the language of ownership. The Waitangi Tribunal found that there is no owner.	Reject	13.1
4.5	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	The inability of local authorities to impose any restrictions regarding water allocation for water bottling emphasises the inequitable relationship between iwi hapu and government in being able to provide governance and management of taonga and an asset.	Reject	13.1
4.7	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	That HBRC/RPC work with Maori to determine the structure and relationship for co governance of water	Reject	13.1
4.8	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	That all future water management and allocation come under the authority of any proposed new structure.	Accept	13.1
16.12	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 so that marae (local) are able to manage own areas of influence.	Reject	13.1
57.2	Melanie	Nuku		No specific relief sought but raises issues with the plan change including issues around low and shallow rivers, considering Maori in the plan change and destroying waterways.	Reject	13.1
60.2	Junior	Hakiwai	Omahu	Requests information about what is going on with our river who manages and controls Ngaruroro the interest of our ancestral waters its peoples and and taonga tuku iho.	Reject	13.1
64.2	Owen	Tiopira	Hinemanu, Ngai Tuhoe	Amend Change 9 so to get our awa back to its rightful owners of that hapu	Reject	13.1
65.2	Nadia	Staples	Mahuika, Ngati Here, Hinemanu	Amend Change 9 to enable us united whanau to co-govern, co-ordinate, co-operate, co-municate on behalf of the awa	Accept	13.1
111.5	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	As treaty partners we the hapuu need 50% capability and capacity to decide and define over awa ngaruroro and its tributaries and tribal estate of Ngati Hinemanu Ngai Te Upokoiri Ngati Honomokai and Ngati Mahuika	Accept	13.1
111.7	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Partnerships over future project designs awa ngaruroro and its tributaries and tribal estate shared with our hapuu	Accept	13.1
111.13	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Devolve services to the hapuu driven, marae based, crown funded, fully resourced environmental research units to design hapuu born initiatives	Reject	13.1
120.4	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	No specific relief requested but states that coexistence of parallel world views can exist in this context and that existing outcomes demonstrate that priority focus and measures for Te Ao Pakeha values and commerce are not comprehensive enough to uphold Councils responsibilities	Accept	13.1
120.10.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include directive provisions that introduce a mixed allocative model that enables recognition of competing interests, giving appropriate priority to Te Mana o Te Wai and Ngati Kahungunu's cultural and Treaty interests. Further details on proposed allocation model provided.	Reject	13.1

120.66	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend PC9 to explicitly provide for the re-establishment, restoration and protection of the relationship of Ngati Kahungunu with water and waterways within the TANK catchments including a new objective/s (which reference Ngati Kahungunu values in a new schedule within PC9), policy/policies and rules/methods including attributes and provision for the resourcing, development and implementation of indicators and monitoring using matauranga Maori.	Reject	13.1
120.98	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Any implementation should be subject to clear policies and regulation which do not abdicate council statutory duties or functions to a third party and ideally are co-managed or co-governed in partnership with Ngati Kahungunu (e.g., under Mana Whakahono a Rohe or other mechanisms).	Accept	13.1
132.81	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Separate Mauri and other tikanga Maori values and issues and combine them into two distinct issue statements. Include acknowledgment of the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi contained in Schedule 1.	Reject	13.1
138.6	Marei	Apatu		Want protection to a very special spring and bore on my property from land use and over-abstraction.	Reject	13.1
147.9	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	For decision-making around water allocation, that our whanau are able to have a say in processes that allocate water from our awa or from the aquifers within our whenua.	Accept	13.1
148.2	Rahina	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief sought but raises concerns relating to recognition and acknowledgement of the proprietary interests of nga hapu o Ngati Kahungunu ki Heretaunga, Ngati Kahungunu ki Tamatea and Ngati Kahungunu ki Ahuriri, over-allocation, water quantity and water quality.	Reject	13.1
148.3	Rahina	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	As Manawhenua, we have been excluded from having a "real" say on the management and governance of these precious taonga. Until there is proper sharing of power, I think you will continue to mismanage these taonga	Accept	13.1
149.2	Eddie	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Until there is equal sharing of power within our country between the Crown and tangatawhenua, your plan will not work for us.	Accept	13.1
156.3	Olly	Craig	Mangaroa Marae	It would be great if there was an equal sharing of power and proper recognition of manawhenua.	Accept	13.1
161.3	Harata	Rapaea	Mangaroa Marae	I would like to see that manawhenua have greater say and control over the management and monitoring of the water.	Accept	13.1
168.2	Harata	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to rangatiratanga, mismanagement of the water, water quality, water quantity, cultural values.	Reject	13.1
168.3	Harata	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Our hapu wants to be in control of our own water.	Reject	13.1
172.2	Rawiri	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to recognition of rights as manawhenua over the Paritua and Karewarewa streams, water quality and quantity.	Reject	13.1
172.3	Rawiri	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	We are manawhenua and we should have the right to regulate control and monitor the water above the ground and below the ground on which we are manawhenua	Reject	13.1
174.2	Rangi	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to lack of recognition of Ngati Rahunga I te Rangi as having proprietary rights and interests to the Karewarewa and Paritua streams, and allocation.	Reject	13.1
176.1	Dennis	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9 and raises concerns relating to ownership of the waters	Reject	13.1
181.1	Derek	Huata	Takitimu Māori Council	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to allocation of water, recognition of mana whenua and proprietary rights, economic wellbeing, and the TANK development/consultation process.	Reject	13.1
182.3	Hira	Huata	Mangaroa Maori Committee and Nga Marae o Heretaunga	No specific relief requested but implies that Change 9 should acknowledge the kaitiakitanga of Mana Whenua over our waters, give respect to matauranga Maori, and tikanga of Ngati Kahungunu	Reject	13.1

182.4	Hira	Huata	Mangaroa Maori Committee and Nga Marae o Heretaunga	No specific relief requested but implies Change 9 should acknowledge and be inclusive of the rangatiratanga of the hapu and marae communities in Heretaunga. Also raises concerns relating to the plan making/consultation processes and water allocation.	Accept	13.1
182.5	Hira	Huata	Mangaroa Maori Committee and Nga Marae o Heretaunga	No specific relief requested but states that Change 9 demonstrates a huge lack of Manaakitanga to our Haukunui o Heretaunga and the lack of Manaakitanga to our mana whenua. Also raises concerns relating to economic wellbeing, water quality and water quantity.	Accept	13.1
183.4	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but implies Change 9 should enable the sharing of power and decision-making for the governance, management, consenting and monitoring or water quality and quantity	Accept	13.1
183.7	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Amend Change 9 so that power is shared with tangatawhenua	Accept	13.1
191.7	Rangiwhiuia	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Restore and protect - to provide guardianship and work as one to look after and improve the Bridge Pa streams and the taiao (environment) within 3 to 5 years	Accept	13.1
201.11	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Mana Whenua values and perspectives - specific objectives and policies are required, enabling the relationship of Maori with water, together with an accompanying policy and new schedule that provides for Mana Whenua to undertake monitoring throughout the life of the plan to enable the application fo a diversity of systems of values and knowledge, such as matauranga Maori to the management of freshwater within the TANK catchments.	Accept	13.1
202.1	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	We advise that before we even progress to agreeing suitable settings and frameworks it must be understood and addressed by the HBRC that you cannot do this alone.	Accept	13.1
202.2	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Those Tangata Whenua impacted negatively by this Plan Change 9 must at a minimum get a seat at the table and the mechanism for Maori to stand side by side with Councillors and staff and co-design settings and frameworks must be put in place.	Accept	13.1
202.10.	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Requests that the HBRC commits to the partnership approach outlined in Te Mana o Te Wai and stops trying to maximise protection for HB's water barons and polluting farmers.	Accept	13.1
223.7	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	HBRC committing to cultural auditing annually until desired outcomes are reached.	Reject	13.1
225.2	Mary	Tukiwaho		Working alongside tangata whenua who would co-own, co-governance, co-manage with the possibility of a percent of stored water for those in need at all times. This would ensure a partnership between Tangata whenua and Crown as well	Accept	13.1
225.5	Mary	Tukiwaho		Schedule 28, 29 & 30 - These schedules must be re-written to include co-own, co-govern, co-manage alongside tangata whenua, mana whenua, tangata ahi kaa, which would form a co-governance body to oversee the implementation,	Accept	13.1

225.11	Mary	Tukiwaho		This would also need to be readdressed, rewritten in certain areas and to be managed alongside tangata whenua.	Accept	13.1
Table 13.11: Catchment based approach						
52.3	Laura	Kamau	Ngāti Poporo - Korongatā Marae	We submit using various hydrogeology reports and research relating to the Ngatarawa and Raukawa Valleys which are our hapu boundaries.	Reject	13.11
111.2	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Declaration of guiding principles from a hapuu world view to monitor, check and report on the quality and usage of the awa ngaruroro and its tributaries and tribal estate	Accept	13.11
113.1	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Acknowledges the good work of the Hawkes Bay Regional Council on this important kaupapa of protecting and restoring wai. The Maori Trustee supports what it understands to be the general thrust of the changes to further protect unique waterways within the council boundaries.	Accept	13.11
126.3	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Issue 1 - Paragraph 4 - Amend paragraph 4 to read: Some e Existing land and water use practices can affect <u>have diminished the mauri of water and or adversely affected</u> ecosystem health. Some of the effects also arise from activities and events that occurred decades in the past, including	Reject	13.11
188.3	Donna	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water quality, water quantity, affects of floods on habitat and erosion, and engagement with tangata whenua	Accept	13.11
188.4	Donna	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but implies Change 9 should restore and preserve the streams and the wetlands of Bridge Pa for the tangata whenua and asks "How would that type [inter-generational knowledge] of learning relationship be rejuvenated?"	Accept	13.11
Table 13.12: Minor changes						
56.1	Betty Puhinui	Hanara	Ngati Hinemanu, Te Upokoiri, Honomokai, Mahuika	I Timata mai i nga pae maunga Ko Nga Kaweka te Mataapuna o toku awa Ngaruroro e... See submission for more detail. A full written English explanation will be presented when the submitter is heard.	Unable to make a recommendation	13.12
120.65	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Review phrasing and sequencing of all provisions to clarify purpose and show a clear line of sight from issue, to objective, to policy, to rule or other method and that Te Mana o Te Wai and the identified cultural values and Treaty principles are explicitly and appropriately given effect to.	Reject	13.12
126.37	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Make subsequent amendments to PC9 to read: <u>Ahuriri estuary Te Whanganui-a-Orotu (Ahuriri estuary);"</u>	Accept	13.12
223.6	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	We recommend that HBRC and the Environment Court engage a Maori translator and interpreter for the oral submissions.	Accept	13.12
Table 13.2: Te Tiriti o Waitangi						
4.4	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	Any plan change affecting the management and allocation of water resources must be consistent with the recommendations of the Waitangi Tribunal findings and recommendations.	Reject	13.2
16.9	Bernadette	Hamlin		No specific decision requested but states that Change 9 has shown the disregard Council has in providing for the relationship of Maori with their ancestral waters and other taonga.	Reject	13.2
52.2	Laura	Kamau	Ngāti Poporo - Korongatā Marae	Submit utilising the 'Maori Indicia of Ownership' as developed by the claimants of WAI 2357 and WAI 2358 and, the Waitangi Tribunal 's National Fresh Water and Geothermal Resources Inquiry Reports Stage 1 and 2.	Reject	13.2
52.4	Laura	Kamau	Ngāti Poporo - Korongatā Marae	We submit as the Mana Whenua and Rangatira of these waters that the plan should include a Tiriti Based Partnership, where Ngati Poporo are key partners in managing our fresh waters whom feed the TANK catchment areas.	Reject	13.2

111.1	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Restoration and political redress for Ngati Hinemanu Ngai Te Upokoiri Ngati Honomokai and Ngati Mahuika over our awa ngaruroro and its tributaries and tribal estate	Reject	13.2
111.19	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Engagement with hapuu to implement our Post Settlement Treaty strategies	Reject	13.2
115.6	Patricia D	Nuku		Oppose much of Plan Change 9 in its notified form, ask for it to be substantially amended and for it to align better with the provisions in the RRMP that are not being amended, give effect to the NPS FM and the RPS, better recognise and provide for Maori relationships with their taonga, and their values and interests with freshwater resources within Heretaunga and take into account the principles of the Treaty as acknowledged by regional council in Schedule 1 of the Regional Resource Management Plan, in particular the principle of active protection, and For regional council to make some additional, consequential amendments to the regional plan.	Accept	13.2
120.5	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to address the wider range of allocative models available, to ensure sustainable management and give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai and Treaty principles.	Accept	13.2
120.83	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include explicit provisions to recognise and provide for section 6(e) of matters of national importance	Reject	13.2
120.84	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include new provisions which relate to re-establishing the relationship of Maori with water within the TANK catchments and enable management of water ways in accordance with Maori values, rangatiratanga and self-determination. More detail regarding content of new objectives, policies and schedules provided.	Accept	13.2
123.23	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend in a way that: - the mauri of waterbodies is protected and restored to provide for Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata and to provide for the values in Schedule X -safeguards life-supporting capacity and aquatic ecosystem processes -the connectivity between land, surface water, groundwater, freshwater and the coast - Ki uta, ki tai is recognised - provides for the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga.	Reject	13.2
125.1	Moana Lee	Mackey	Nagti Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri Me Ona Piringa Haapu	As Mana whenua I'm insisting on accountability to the principles of the Treaty as acknowledged by regional council in Schedule 1 of the Regional Resource Management Plan. Particularly, the Principle of Kawanatanga under Article I of the Treaty of Waitangi. What transparent discussions have ever been had with the Regional Council and Mana whenua on our Marae with our hapu to adhere to your recognition of the partnership between Maori and the Crown?	Accept	13.2
127.10.	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Recognise and provide for mana whenua, tangata whenua and Iwi proprietary and Treaty rights and interests in the TANK catchments	Accept in part	13.2
133.2	Wi	Huata		PC9 is not consistent with the findings of Wai 2358 acknowledging that proprietary interest in or ownership of the water. PC9 does not give effect to NPS-FM that acknowledges that tangata whenua are the expert knowledge holders for the values we hold about the water and that the values will be incorporated into regional freshwater planning processes. PC9 has a long way to go to achieve this.	Reject	13.2
136.4	Te Hira	Henderson		Equal equity must be given to Te Tiriti in all decisions regarding water. Water is taonga tapu, not a commodity for plunder.	Accept	13.2

147.3	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	The plan will have adverse effects on us and we will be unable to practice our cultural practices, the Treaty principle of active participation will be breached, and the ability to sustain our aquatic life in the future for our mokopuna will be compromised.	Accept	13.2
154.2	Jetson	Craig	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to recognition the right of tangatawheua under the Treaty of Waitangi, stock exclusion, water allocation, water quality and native fish values.	Accept	13.2
156.2	Olly	Craig	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to rights to water under the Treaty of Waitangi.	Accept	13.2
157.3	Furness Keriana	Armstrong	Managroa Marae	Without proper input from tangatawhenua, the Proposed Plan only benefits the other Treaty partner and not us	Reject	13.2
159.2	Reid	Craig	Managroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to the Karewarewa and Paritua, rights as mana whenua and mahinga kai.	Accept	13.2
160.2	Ngawai	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to the Karewarewa and Paritua, rights as mana whenua and mahinga kai.	Accept	13.2
166.2	Rihimoana	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water quality, water quantity, recreational values, cultural values and recognition of manawhenua rights under Te Tiriti O Waitangi.	Accept	13.2
167.2	Thomas	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to allocation of water and the Treaty of Waitangi.	Accept	13.2
169.1	Russell	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9 and raises concern relating to Te Tiriti O Waitangi and the rights of manawhenua	Accept	13.2
183.3	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water allocation, recreational use, cultural values, water quality, water quantity, and the Treaty of Waitangi.	Accept	13.2
184.4	Cordry	Tawa Huata	Mangaroa Marae Trustees and Mangaroa Marae Committee	The plan needs to acknowledge the fiducial rights of nga iwi Maori and nga hapu o Heretaunga towards wai maori.	Accept	13.2
Table 13.3: Te Mana o te Wai						
60.1	Junior	Hakiwai	Omahu	No specific decision requested but raises concerns related to Maori values, mana o te wai, access, stock exclusion and mahinga kai.	Reject	13.3
65.1	Nadia	Staples	Mahuika, Ngati Here, Hinemanu	No specific relief requested but raises issues relating to mana o te wai and Maori values	Accept in part	13.3
90.7	Sarah	Millington		Include an over-arching objective in the TANK Plan that tangata whenua are equal partners in a co-management model of the catchment areas that gives effect to te Mana o te Wai, as well as an explicit framework for how this will be implemented.	Accept	13.3
106.8	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	Disagree that Maori Values have been given effect. Submission point: In reading the Proposed Plan Change 9, while there are references to Maori values, and legislation that has requirements for tangata whenua – when it comes down to it, cost to remediate and protection of status quo has been given precedence. Relief Sought: Te Mana o Te Wai is in effect	Reject	13.3
111.6	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Promotion and enhancing 'te wai mauri' the kainga tuturu of our kaitiaki Karukaru	Reject	13.3
113.4	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Supports the integrated management approach to freshwater and the effects of land use to ensure the achievement of Te Mana o te Wai and in alignment with Maturanga Maori which is based on the interconnectedness of all ecosystems	Reject	13.3
113.5	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	The wording of this section as a whole is not clear in how the objectives contribute to Te Mana o te Wai. We support these changes as they do improve the mauri of the wai and consequently the freshwater quality. However, by demonstrating the specific link to Te Mana o te Wai it provides an appropriate connection to Te Mana o te Wai.	Reject	13.3
127.4	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	PC9 raises the issue of allocation of water within degraded and over-allocated TANK catchments. It does not use the allocative tools that are available to give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai, and address key cultural parameters under sections 5, 6(e), 7(a) and Treaty principles.	Reject	13.3

132.1	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend so that the priority setting in PC9 rules, schedules and decision-making processes, to reflect the upholding of Te Mana o te Wai, and the health and well-being of water bodies as a first priority ¹ , the health and well-being of people and communities (including Maori communities), as a second priority, with all other considerations coming after these.	Reject	13.3
133.1	Wi	Huata		Support the aspects of PC9 that are in line with Te Mana O Te Wai that moves Councils closer towards acknowledgement that Maori own the water.	Accept	13.3
206.6	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Recognise Mana o Te Wai	Reject	13.3
209.5	Waiariki	Davis		Recognise Mana o te Wai.	Reject	13.3
223.2	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	No specific relief sought but states that Mana Whakahono a Rohe provision in the RMA seems to have been wilfully neglected by HBRC. Similarly, Te Mana o Te Wai (the authority of water itself) has been all but disregarded in the	Reject	13.3
229.2	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	We support the recognition of Te Mana O te Wai. It needs to be at the forefront of all discussions and decisions about fresh water. On that basis, we wish to see ecosystem health taking priority over all activities in the Ahuriri Estuary.	Reject	13.3
229.9	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	We urge council to think of environments holistically, put Te Mana o Te Wai at the centre of everything they do, focus on ecosystem health, work genuinely to restore the environment in our region, and protect biodiversity for future generations.	Reject	13.3
Table 13.4: Consultation						
4.3	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	To achieve the role and authority of Maori in resource management recommended by the Waitangi Tribunal and also included in the plan change document, Plan Change 9 does not meet the terms of consultation and frame working the resource management processes and does not provide any clear indication where Maori were provided the role and authority to contribute to a solution of water management.	Reject	13.4
90.8	Sarah	Millington		That Council receives, digests and learns from the stories, evidence and Matauranga Maori in the submissions from the real kaitiaki of the rohe, including whanau, hapu, marae committees, Maori Trusts, Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated and Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga, with utmost seriousness.	Accept	13.4
113.3	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Strongly encourage more consistent engagement with the Maori Trustee to ensure appropriate consultation with our landowners, who by inheritance are Tangata Whenua and intrinsic members of Hapu and Iwi within the TANK catchment area. strongly encourages the Hawkes Bay Regional Council to understand these values from our landowners perspective and ensure these values and attributes (described by Iwi) are reflected in the criteria and the outcomes sought by Proposed PC9-TANK	Reject	13.4
127.2	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	It has been hard to make a submisison online. Our people cannot navigate this site and make submissions trying to navigate through this.	Accept	13.4
127.24	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	We as mana whenua require more input into the Plan Change to ensure planning is undertaken regarding the degradation of the Ahuriri catchment area. We require plans to improve the health of the ecosystem, reduce sedimentation and improve oxygen levels to the Wai and in particular those waterways in Ahuriri.	Reject	13.4
155.3	Lesley	Reid	Mangaroa Marae	Change 9 should be more inclusive and takes into consideration the aspirations of mana whenua, doesn't pay "lip service" to tikanga and reo, and it is also about the equal sharing of power and wealth between Treaty partners.	Reject	13.4
201.1	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Paragraphs 5, 6 & 7 of the background - comments about the input and participation level of Mana Whenua are subjective from the author's viewpoint and open to challenge from Mana Whenua are subjective from the author's viewpoint and open to challenge from Mana Whenua. Paragraph 5 - delete 'and the significant influence of Mana Whenua'.	Reject	13.4
201.2	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Paragraph 6 - paragraph would be more accurate if it was factual only. Reword to makie clear that Council and Mana Whenua are at the beginning of a journey to better understand, define and set up frameworks for, providing for Treaty of Waitangi obligations.	Reject	13.4
201.3	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Paragraph 7 - include a separate section iwithin the background that speaks specifically to Mana Whenua matters and how these are reflected within the plan and process. Mana Whenua should be offered to write this section, with comment from Council staff.	Reject	13.4
201.6	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Water Management Overview section - wishful to assert that Maori values have been incorporated to the required legal standard when Mana Whenua have continued to challenge these provisions along the way in the drafting process.	Reject	13.4

210.20.	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	We hope to see [integration of tangata whenua thoughts and concern] remedied through the hearing process.	Accept	13.4
223.5	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	We recommend your team engage someone with cultural competency to analyse the majority of submissions made by Maori and our respective organisations, to elucidate the contents.	Accept	13.4
227.2	Peter	MacGregor	Owhaoko C Trust	We have never been engaged directly in the TANK process and in the second instance the matter of the proposed WCO is not settled and as such what the TANK proposes excises Maori – Mana whenua and allows the Crown to continue on unabated and unchallenged on advice internal to its function and “committees” and some cloaked as tangata whenua.	Reject	13.4
229.3	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	Iwi views are important also, and we need their values and concepts captured from a local perspective.	Reject	13.4
234.3	Stephen	Randell	Hope Monitoring Omahu	Collaboration with whanau, hapu, iwi, kaitiaki marae, communities with industry and standard setting bodies.	Reject	13.4
240.2	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Raise our concern with the level of engagement mana whenua representatives held in the TANK stakeholder process. Namely, that mana whenua representatives were grouped as stakeholders, not as treaty partners.	Reject	13.4
240.7	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		That the interest of mana whenua hapu in the allocation of the available ground and surface water is not recognised or addressed in this plan.	Reject	13.4
Table 13.5: Mātauranga Māori						
187.3	Aberielle	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Cultural values and heritage is merely protected and provisions for it are not met in this plan	Accept	13.5
188.5	Donna	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Change 9 should consider and respectfully value the natural ecosystem for the tangata whenua in Bridge Pa is importantly a big part of our cultural identity of who we are in our whakapapa (family genealogy) and pepeha (family lineage) of where we come from	Accept	13.5
191.6	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	No specific decision requested but implies that Change 9 should provide provisions to preserve the natural ecosystem and to ensure cultural identity, values and customs continues to exist and are well maintained for the next generation to come.	Accept	13.5
223.3	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	No specific relief stated but states that If Matauranga Maori (knowledge informed by Maori worldviews) had been woven into the decision making of our water values and management, our respective water bodies would be in relatively good shape for all to interact within and around.	Accept	13.5
223.4	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	No specific relief sought but states that Tikanga (Maori customs and lore) has largely been omitted	Accept	13.5
234.2	Stephen	Randell	Hope Monitoring Omahu	The use of matauranga Maori integrated with Western science is a key element. Recognise Maori intergenerational learning.	Accept	13.5
234.4	Stephen	Randell	Hope Monitoring Omahu	Respect mana and traditions handed down by our Tipuna. All tikanga and kawa for all Tena Koto Katoa.	Accept	13.5
240.4	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		There is no mechanism to enable matauranga Maori assessment and monitoring with regards to resource consents.	Accept	13.5
Table 13.6: Mauri						
55.2	Delia	Ropiha	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te upokoiri	No relief sought but raises issues including Te Mauri.	Accept	13.6
113.6	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	There is a deficiency in the explanation and definition of Mauri in the overall section, and the Maori Trustee believes it is appropriate to include further discussion and specific objectives that focus on the improvement of Mauri.	Accept	13.6
120.3	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	No specific relief sought but states that tangata whenua indicators add value and provide a strong foundation and framework for sound holistic assessment of overall environmental well-being, long term sustainability and sound management.	Accept	13.6
120.81	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Tangata whenua values must be clearly identified within the plan provisions, including directive policies and rules, alongside other freshwater values	Reject	13.6
126.5	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Background - 5.10 - Introduction - Retain Figure 1 - community values and attributes for water management and Figure 2 - Wariu (value) groups and aspects for management in PC9 and insert new paragraph to read: <u>Figure 1 and Figure 2 are reflective of the process to determine freshwater objectives for PC9 and must not be used in any resource consenting process. That is, by an applicant to support an application, by a submitter to oppose/support an application that is publicly notified, and by a planning officer to make a decision on an application.</u>	Reject	13.6
132.2	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete the "TANK VALUES Attributes for water quality"	Reject	13.6
132.3	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete or amend the interpretation part of Figure 2 to express the broader aspects of each wariu in the main diagram	Reject	13.6

147.2	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	No relief sought but raises issues about the mana of the awa, wanting water quality improved, wanting to participate in cultural practices through the gathering and sharing of kai.	Accept	13.6
153.3	Huia Te Rina Ripeka	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Water is a taonga that should be respected and treated with love.	Accept	13.6
158.1	Camilla	Shultz	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to the Paritua and Karewarewa Streams cultural values, recreational values, natural hazards, water quality, water quantity and implementation of plans.	Accept	13.6
182.2	Hira	Huata	Mangaroa Maori Committee and Nga Marae o Heretaunga	No specific relief requested but implies that Change 9 should include, demonstrate, recognise and respect the Atuatanga o Te Haukunui o Heretaunga and Atuatanga o Te Mana Whenua o Heretaunga. Also raises concerns related to the degradation of mauri, water quality, and allocation of Paritua-Karewarewa river.	Accept	13.6
183.6	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but implies Change 9 should give effect to the aspirations of Ngati Rahunga I te Rangi and a 2016 iwi management plan. Aspirations include restoring mauri and mana o te wai, bringing back native fish, recreational and cultural practices.	Accept	13.6
201.9	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Issue statements - using Maori concepts within the same context as other concepts is problematic. Equates the other as the definition of the concepts or infers that the concepts are interchangeable which is wrong. Mauri and ecosystem health are not comparable concepts.	Reject	13.6

Table 13.7: Water quantity (cultural)

150.2	Nathan	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief sought but raises concerns relating to cultural values, and water quantity in the Karewarewa and Paritua.	Accept	13.7
151.2	Riki	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief sought but raises concerns relating to cultural values, and water quantity in the Karewarewa and Paritua.	Accept	13.7
155.2	Lesley	Reid	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water quality, water quantity, recreational and cultural values.	Accept	13.7
157.2	Furness Keriana	Armstrong	Managroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to climate change, natural hazards, and water quantity.	Accept	13.7
175.2	Katarina	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water quantity, water quality and allocation	Accept	13.7
177.2	Raewyn	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to recreational values, cultural values, water quality, water quantity and allocation.	Accept	13.7
178.2	Jack	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to the Paritua and Karewarwa streams, and allocation	Accept	13.7
187.2	Aberielle	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Amend Change 9 to address, re-engage, reestablish the quality and quantity of the environment in Bridge Pa such as the water, fish growth, freshwater species, native bird life and vegetation to once again flourish, and be restored to its natural glory.	Accept	13.7

Table 13.8: Water quality (cultural)

64.1	Owen	Tiopira	Hinemanu, Ngai Tuho	No specific decision requested but raises concerns relating to water quality, gravel extraction. recreation	Accept	13.8
153.2	Huia Te Rina Ripeka	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief sought but raises concerns relating to stock exclusion, recreational values, cultural values, native fish values, water quality and water quantity.	Accept	13.8
161.1	Harata	Rapaea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water quality, recreational values, cultural values and water quantity.	Accept	13.8
163.1	Sonna	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to cultural values, stock exclusion, and water quantity.	Accept	13.8
230.1	Christine	Kidwell		No specific relief stated but raises issues including food sources have been depleted, rivers have been raped and mutilated by machines as a commodity for supply and demand, Marae now depend on our fish quota from another source, wastewater pollution from increased housing developments has had major impacts on rivers, and the capacity and	Accept	13.8

Table 13.9: Alignment with Resource Management documents

16.10.	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 so that assessment criteria are introduced in relation to provision for the relationship of Maori with their ancestral waters and other taonga.	Reject	13.9
113.2	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Supports the principle of Proposed PC9-TANK, which is, enabling an integrated and holistic approach to water body management incorporating the concept of Te Mana o te Wai, that builds on the more fundamental requirements of the National Policy Statement for fresh water management (NPS-FM). Then putting in place a values based approach to identify objectives for water management in the TANK catchments that will assist the Hawkes Bay Regional Council to meet the NPS-FM as set out by the Ministry for the Environment. It is also acknowledged that this (intended) TANK plan change will give effect to the NPS-FM 2017 and give effect to the Council's regional policy statement, including in relation to the protection of the values of the outstanding water bodies.	Accept	13.9
120.96	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Policies in PC9 should address how objective and policy D1 of the NPS FM (2017) will be given effect to by recognising the role of Ngati Kahungunu in resource management and decision making.	Reject	13.9

127.3	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	The Plan Change does not promote sustainable management and is inconsistent with Part 2 of the RMA. The Plan Change is inconsistent with s6, implementation of the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi, exercise of kaitiakitanga, s7, councils statutory functions and powers. PC9 results in more than minor and significant and cumulative effects on the environment. These effects include significant adverse cultural effects to Mana Whenua, Tangata Whenua and Iwi.	Reject	13.9
127.5	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Plan Change should be amended to address effects, provisions, general and specific relief, and consequential relief identified by submissions made by tangata whenua, mana whenua and Iwi. We are calling for Plan Change 9 to be withdrawn as it fails to address the relevant statutory and planning framework, including rangatiratanga, kaitiakitanga, Part 2 RMA and the National Policy Statement on Freshwater 2020 (NPSFM) and (to the extent relevant) the NPSFM 2017	Reject	13.9
173.2	Parewānui	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to lack of consultation with Ngati Ruhanga I te Rangi, dismissal of the Karewarewa Water Plan, water quality, water quantity, and allocation.	Reject	13.9
189.2	Qreenie	Cooke	Mangaroa Marae	Change 9 should adhere to the principles of the HBRC booklet "Oho Rawa Aka Te Awa" (Reawakening the Awa)	Reject	13.9
201.10.	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Mana Whenua values and perspectives - any recognition of iwi values is at a surface level and short of any recognition and provision as required in sections 6(e), 7(a) and 8 of the RMA. Frequent use of te reo Maori implies Mana Whenua values have been recognised and provided for in policies and rules when they have not been operationally.	Reject	13.9
240.1	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Raise our concern with the level of consideration afforded to the Nga Hapu o Tutaekuri, Awa Management and Enhancement Plan and the level of engagement from the Council. Namely, in regards to taking into account this hapu planning document which has been lodged with the council, to the extent that its content has a bearing on the plan.	Reject	13.9
240.10.	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Appropriate FMU size/spatial extent for surface water management zones for hapu based environmental/cultural monitoring, accounting and reporting.	Reject	13.9
Table 14.1: Water Quality General						
10.8	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Rule 11. Add to Rule 11. That the combined rate of total nitrogen in Rules 11, 13 and 14 shall not exceed 10kg total nitrogen per hectare per month and shall not exceed the combined rate of total nitrogen in Rules 11, 13 and 14 of 100kg total nitrogen per hectare per year.	Reject	14.1
10.9	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Rule 13 - Rule 13 h after exceed Add '10kg/ha of total nitrogen in one application per month and shall not exceed 100kg/ha/yr of total nitrogen' Add: That the <u>combined rate of total nitrogen</u> in Rules 11, 13 and 14 shall not exceed 10 kg total nitrogen per hectare per month and shall not exceed the <u>combined rate of total nitrogen</u> in Rules 11, 3 and 14 of 100kg total nitrogen per hectare per year.	Reject	14.1
10.10.	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Rule 14 - At Rule 14 f. Delete after exceed Ryule 14f. Add '10kg.ha of total nitrogen in one application per month and shall not exceed 100kg/ha/yr of total nitrogen' Rule 14. Add 'a fundamental' checklist 1-6 below of when effluent should be and should not be applied. •No application 48 hours prior to >4 mm rainfall event •Soil temperature must be over 7 degrees C before any application •Measure the moisture level in leaves.Only apply water when the plant can uptake •Soil moisture deficit prior to irrigation must be under 50% water holding capacity before application •Check FDE N ,g/L concentration seasonal differences keep within plant uptake. •Check levels of N already in soil – adjust application rate according. Add: That the <u>combined rate of total nitrogen</u> in Rules 11, 13 and 14 shall not exceed 10 kg total nitrogen per hectare per month and shall not exceed the <u>combined rate of total nitrogen</u> in Rules 11, 13 and 14 of 100 kg total nitrogen per hectare per year	Reject	14.1
14.2	Ryan	Fraser		Amend OBJ TANK 7 to reflect that some land use types including viticulture on low-slope land already have negligible contaminant losses (& especially soil losses) and would be unable to achieve any reductions in contaminant loss including soil loss.	Reject	14.1
15.4	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to reflect that some land use types including viticulture on low-slope land already have negligible contaminant losses (& especially soil losses) and would be unable to achieve any reductions in contaminant loss including soil loss.	Reject	14.1

16.1	Bernadette	Hamlin		No specific decision requested but states that there are too many contaminants entering water, resulting in lack of quality	Accept in part	14.1
16.2	Bernadette	Hamlin		No specific decision sought but states that economic profits should not be a high priority before the quality of water	Accept in part	14.1
16.3	Bernadette	Hamlin		No specific decision requested but states that without quality and people and flora and fauna, there will be no future	Accept in part	14.1
16.5	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 to limit the amount of nutrients that discharge into rivers affecting the habitat and aquatic life in all rivers.	Accept in part	14.1
16.11	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 so that resource consent criteria are created to ensure quality protection.	Accept	14.1
18.5	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
20.4	Bruce	Nimon		Amend OBJ TANK 7 to reflect that some land use types including viticulture on low-slope land already have negligible contaminant losses (& especially soil losses) and would be unable to achieve any reductions in contaminant loss including soil loss.	Reject	14.1
24.2	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North	Define VALUES for water QUALITY that include Te Ao Maori and therein the care for water as a life-giving partner,	Accept in part	14.1
26.5	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
28.5	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
29.6	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read '...reduces <u>reduceable</u> contaminant loss...' or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
34.5	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
39.5	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
41.5	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
46.5	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States the accomplishments of PC9: An entire new suite of water quality standards – covering nitrates, phosphorous, E. coli, dissolved oxygen, MCI levels etc – will be introduced for the first time. And wetlands are protected.	Accept	14.1
48.5	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
53.5	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read '...reduces <u>reduceable</u> contaminant loss...' or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
68.5	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
75.5	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
76.5	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
81.5	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
84.5	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
87.5	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1

88.5	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
90.2	Sarah	Millington		The TANK Plan needs to include clear objectives, policies and rules to maintain or improve water quality to at least the bottom lines set out in the NPS FW.	Accept	14.1
94.5	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
99.3	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Important that growers that are already operating at or exceeding good management practice are acknowledged, while simultaneously recognizing that there are some practices that could and should be improved to reduce contaminant loss. It is also important that the ongoing evolution of good and best management practices is acknowledged and enabled by regulatory frameworks	Reject	14.1
99.102	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to say "Land use is carried out in a manner reduces contaminant loss in accordance with good, or where necessary best management practice, including soil loss ..."	Reject	14.1
102.5	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
103.4	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
106.14	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	While we agree with habitat enhancement and other mitigation measures being put in place, 10 years is too slow. Relief Sought: These schemes should be operating as soon as possible.	Accept in part	14.1
107.5	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 7 - Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
111.4	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	The adverse effects of the health and wellbeing on the 'te wai mauri' awa ngaruroro and its tributaries and tribal estate	Accept	14.1
111.9	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	To maintain excellence in the quality of drinkable, washable, swimmable water	Accept	14.1
111.10	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	To maintain excellence and quality of all natural sources.	Accept	14.1
111.18	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Repatriation of all native species that once flourished in our awa ngaruroro and its tributaries.	Accept in part	14.1
115.10	Patricia D	Nuku		Water quality issues - algae, far too many nutrients and/or contaminants, insufficient dissolved oxygen in the water, sedimentation, suspended solids/ clarity issues, the abstraction of too much water resulting in water quality decline. The consent to discharge into or pollute the water then detracts for people being able to enjoy the rivers/streams, there is often insufficient water left in the river or stream so as to provide flow variation, varieties of habitat and oxygenated the water	Accept in part	14.1
120.14	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to give effect to the RPS objectives for no degradation of the quality of the Heretaunga Aquifer.	Accept	14.1
120.71	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Increase the level of regulation with regard to nutrient and sediment loss from land use and farm plans by setting clear environmental standards for these activities in the plan, in line with the identified water quality issues across TANK in a way that the actual effects are able to be managed and measured now and into the future.	Reject	14.1
120.124	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Policy 20 AND/OR add new provisions to set out a clear plan for managing sedimentation	Reject	14.1
123.8	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Include clear objectives and policies to maintain or improve water quality, safeguard lifesupporting capacity, ecosystem health and human health, protect the significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands and provide for other instream freshwater values.	Accept	14.1
123.29	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	"Freshwater bodies, estuaries and the coastal environment are healthy and free from sedimentation and land use is sustainably managed in an integrated way ki uta ki tai to achieve this" or words to similar effect.	Reject	14.1
123.54	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete	Reject	14.1
126.11	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 7	Accept	14.1

127.11	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Include clear objectives and policies to maintain or improve water quality, safeguard life-supporting capacity, ecosystem health and human health, protect the significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands and provide for other instream freshwater values (including tangata whenua values). In addition, PC9 must give effect to the RPS objectives for no degradation of the quality of the Heretaunga Aquifer.	Accept	14.1
127.18	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Control the use of production land all other catchments to maintain water quality.	Accept	14.1
132.22	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Set and apply a limit for land uses involving the use, application or discharge of fertilisers, soil conditioners, composted materials and animal effluent within sensitive catchments so that total nitrogen applications do not exceed 80 kgs/ha/year total.	Reject	14.1
132.131	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete all references in PC9 provisions that enable, allow for, or infer that, degradation of water quality in the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System, or in other groundwater/aquifers in the TANK catchments can occur. Delete Note 8 in Schedule 26.	Reject	14.1
135.7	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Ravensdown is supportive of the intent of this objective but recommends an amendment to the wording to ensure that the intent of this objective is clear.	Reject	14.1
136.2	Te Hira	Henderson		There is no water quality. The distinctive characteristic condition of quality water does not pertain to the river and the rivers I belong to, as it once did.	Accept in part	14.1
142.5	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Amend OBJ 7 to align with or be incorporated with OBJ 4 with reference to Schedule 26	Reject	14.1
143.5	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
147.7	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	For water quality -that the water quality in our awa is improved so that we can carry out our cultural practices in a safe manner, and the kai we harvest from the wai is safe to eat.	Accept	14.1
147.8	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	For water quality - that the water quality is improved so that when we baptise our tamariki and mokopuna, the wai in our puna is clean and healthy	Accept	14.1
165.5	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
179.5	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
180.15	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to say "Land use is carried out in a manner reduces contaminant loss in accordance with good, or where necessary best management practice, including soil loss..."	Reject	14.1
194.21	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 7 should be amended to reflect that not all contaminant loss is reducible (or practically reducible beyond a certain point), particularly where there is an existing negligible contaminant loss.	Reject	14.1
195.23	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read: Land use is carried out in a manner that reduces <u>reduceable</u> contaminant loss <u>where practicable</u> including soil loss and consequential sedimentation in freshwater bodies, estuaries and coastal environment. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.1
198.9	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Include clear objectives and policies to maintain or improve water quality, safeguard life-supporting capacity, ecosystem health and human health, protect the significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands and provide for other instream freshwater values	Accept	14.1
198.13	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Control the use of production land for farming in all catchments to maintain water quality.	Accept	14.1
199.5	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
201.21	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Reduction in contaminant loss including soil loss and consequential sedimentation in freshwater bodies, estuaries and coastal environment.	Reject	14.1
202.9	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Deeply concerned that polluters are being given a free pass to continue to pollute at rates that Council scientists know is unsustainable.	Accept in part	14.1
204.5	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1

207.68	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	While water quantity needs to be managed to phase out over-allocation, the resultant planning framework provides sufficient opportunity to enable new industries to establish and existing industries to expand and for new industrial zones to be serviced in a manner that is appropriate in terms of Plan outcomes.	Accept in part	14.1
208.5	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
213.5	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
238.5	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend OBJ TANK 7 to read "...reduces reduceable contaminant loss..."; or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.1
240.20	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		The lack of protection for indigenous vegetation (outside the 10m proximity to rivers).	Accept in part	14.1
Table 14.11: RRMP Rules 32, 33 and 71						
123.118	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	RRMP rules 32, 33 and new RRMP rule 33A - Include reference to the water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26	Reject	14.11
123.121	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	RRMP Rule 71 - Include reference to the Ngaruroro, Tutaekuri and Ahuriri catchments.	Reject	14.11
124.30	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	RRMP Rule 33 - Amend new condition (g) so that it is exactly the same as new RRMP Rule 33A condition (i)	Accept in part	14.11
129.29	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP 33 - Delete condition (g)	Accept	14.11
129.34	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP 71 - Delete new bullet point referring to Karamu catchments and replace with "this rule does not apply to rivers in the Karamu catchment"	Accept	14.11
129.35	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Insert new permitted activity rule 71A Activities affecting river control and drainage schemes "The introduction or planting of any plant including any tree in or on the bed of a river, lake or artificial watercourse or within 6 metres of the bed of any river within the Heretaunga Plains Flood Control and Drainage Scheme. Conditions: (a) The planting complies with the planting design, including species, setbacks and density requirements specified in the Council's Water Way Planting Guide for the Heretaunga Plains Flood Control and Drainage Scheme (date)	Accept	14.11
180.64	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	RRMP 32 & 33 - Amendments to 32 and 22 are deleted.	Accept in part	14.11
195.112	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 32 – Discharge of drainage water (gravity flow systems), be amended as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms ... f. Any suspended solids in the discharge shall comply with Policy 72, except in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments where Clause g) (below) applies. g. After ten years after 2 May 2020 in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments, dissolved nutrient and sediment concentrations in the receiving water after reasonable mixing shall not increase, compared to in-stream concentrations immediately upstream and outside the area of reasonable mixing, as a result of the discharge when measuring: i DIN ii DRP iii suspended sediment. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.11

195.113	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed new RRMP Rule 33A – Drainage water), be amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms c) The land area being serviced by the drainage network is less than 10ha (See note below). i) After ten years after 2 May 2020 in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments, dissolved nutrient and sediment concentrations in the receiving water after reasonable mixing shall not increase, <u>compared to in-stream concentrations immediately upstream and outside the area of reasonable mixing</u> , as a result of the discharge when measuring: i DIN ii DRP iii suspended sediment j) <u>The above conditions shall not apply in any event where discharge is caused by structural failure of water retention vessels, drains, stop-banks, weirs, floodgates or dams occurs as a result of deliberate sabotage or damage in emergencies such as fires, floods or earthquakes.</u> <u>Note: Where there are multiple land drainage networks per farm property, each drainage network must comply with Condition c) above</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.11
195.114	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 33 – Discharge of drainage water (pumped systems), be amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms ... f. Any suspended solids in the discharge shall comply with Policy 72 except in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu water quality management units, <u>where Condition g (below applies).</u> g. After ten years after 2 May 2020 in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu water quality management units, dissolved nutrient and sediment concentrations in the discharge water are no more than in the receiving water at the point of discharge as measured by shall not increase, <u>compared to in-stream concentrations immediately upstream and outside the area of reasonable mixing</u> , as a result of the discharge when measuring: i DIN ii DRP iii suspended sediment. Matters for Control/Discretion ... h. For activities carried out in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments: i. measures or methods required for meeting the receiving water quality standards. ii. Monitoring for water quality iii. <u>Whether such diversion and discharge from a pumped system is replacing an existing discharge of the same or worse water quality characteristics</u> ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.11
195.125	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 71 – Activities affecting river control & drainage schemes, be retained as notified.	Accept in part	14.11
195.153	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Retain as notified	Accept in part	14.11
210.106	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 32, 33, and 33A: Amend to refer directly to schedule 26 targets	Reject	14.11
210.111	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 71: Amend to provide for ecological enhancement planting in other catchments.	Accept in part	14.11
Table 14.12: RRMP Rule 71						
14.17	Ryan	Fraser		Rule 7 - Allow for cultivation required to facilitate machinery movement for permanent crops within the new 5-15m <u>waterbody cultivation exclusion strips.</u>	Reject	14.12
15.16	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend RRMP Rule 7 - Allow for cultivation required to facilitate machinery movement for permanent crops within the new 5-15m <u>waterbody cultivation exclusion strips.</u>	Reject	14.12

20.16	Bruce	Nimon		Amend RRMP Rule 7 - Allow for cultivation required to facilitate machinery movement for permanent crops within the new 5-15m waterbody cultivation exclusion strips.	Reject	14.12
22.3	Peter	Clayton	PB & BG Clayton	Amend RRMP Rule 7 - planting of stream banks, Rule 7 condition 1 Amend the rules to allow flexibility in implementation of achieving minimum standards.	Reject	14.12
29.46	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Add a further exclusion to the definition of "Soil disturbance" in 6.3.3 Rule 7 ". <u>Cultivation required to facilitate machinery movements for permanent crops.</u> " or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Further amend the definition of "Soil disturbance" in 6.3.3 Rule 7 to remove the existing contradiction and to clarify what forms of cultivation are included.	Reject	14.12
85.5	Matthew	Truebridge		Rule 7 Soil disturbance, condition G & H, Cropping in hill country. Direct drilling is an environmental solution and I purpose to be adopted as a permitted activity for cropping.	Accept in part	14.12
120.31	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Increase setbacks for vegetation clearance and cultivation to 10 metres to avoid sedimentation	Reject	14.12
123.117	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	RRMP Rule 7 - Increase the setbacks at (h) to a minimum of 10 metres	Reject	14.12
124.29	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	RRMP Rule 7 - Amend new condition (f) to make provision for necessary drain maintenance activities.	Reject	14.12
127.23	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Increase setbacks for vegetation clearance and cultivation to 10 metres to avoid sedimentation.	Reject	14.12
194.98	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule RRMP 7 - Further clarification of definitions is required, particularly in that there is a contradiction between the existing definition of 'soil disturbance'11 which excludes 'cultivation and grazing', yet the proposed amendments to RRMP 7 relate to cultivation.	Reject	14.12
195.106	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 7 - Vegetation clearance and soil disturbance, be amended as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms f. In the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments, there is no clearance of indigenous vegetation within 10m of any rivers except; ... ii. where the clearance is necessary for construction of crossings or installation of a reticulated or network service, <u>or construction of a fence for stock exclusion</u> iii. where the clearance is necessary to maintain farm access tracks (including waterway crossings), fence-lines, water supply pipelines and stock water dams, rural fire breaks, vegetation clearance separation around farm buildings, pasture maintenance and pest plant management. g) In the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments there is no cultivation of land over 20 degrees of slope except where it is less than 10% of the paddock. h) In the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments, there is no cultivation of land that results in exposure of bare soil <u>except for seed drilling</u> within; (i) 53m <u>5m</u> of any river, modified watercourse, or drain or lake or wetland where the land is flat to gently rolling (0-7 degrees of slope); (ii) 10m <u>5m</u> of any river, modified watercourse, or drain or lake or wetland where the land is moderately rolling (>7 – 20 degrees of slope); (iii) 15m <u>10m</u> of any river, modified watercourse, or drain or lake or wetland where the land is over 20 degrees of slope; i) Except conditions h(i) – (ii) do not apply: ... <u>(iii) where cultivation is undertaken by direct seed drilling</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.12
Table 14.2: Water Quality management framework						
10.1	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 2(c) - Add after land 'and road/street surfaces'	Reject	14.2

12.10.	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support Policy 1 - retain as proposed.	Accept	14.2
17.3	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Support policies which recognise farmers and communities contributions to achieving environmental outcomes and give landowners the opportunity to continue to grow and develop 'ground up' approaches both individually or collectively. We ask for these to be retained as proposed.	Accept	14.2
21.3	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Support policies which recognise farmers and communities contributions to achieving environmental outcomes and give landowners the opportunity to continue to grow and develop 'ground up' approaches both individually or collectively. We ask for these to be retained as proposed.	Accept	14.2
29.11	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 17.a to read "establish programmes and processes through Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and , Industry Programmes <u>and other catchment-based groups....</u> ", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this	Reject	14.2
29.40.	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.2
29.55	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Pol 5 to read "...by <u>reducing</u> the amount of sediment..."	Reject	14.2
40.3	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Support policies which recognise farmers and communities contributions to achieving environmental outcomes and give landowners the opportunity to continue to grow and develop 'ground up' approaches both individually or collectively. We ask for these to be retained as proposed.	Accept	14.2
45.5	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Support policies which recognise farmers and communities contributions to achieving environmental outcomes and give landowners the opportunity to continue to grow and develop 'ground up' approaches both individually or collectively. We ask for these to be retained as proposed.	Accept	14.2
46.6	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States the accomplishments of PC9: Soil erosion is targeted and addressed as a key problem adversely affecting both <u>freshwater and marine water quality and farming productivity.</u>	Accept	14.2
58.13	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend Policy to include nitrogen in Policy 1 and/or in all other policies that recursively reference Policy 1.	Reject	14.2
63.59	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	No specific decision requested but states support for the direction towards alignment between the three councils through an integrated catchment management approach and working to align policies, standards and bylaws to achieve water quality objectives.	Accept in part	14.2
83.3	Jim	Galloway		Amend Change 9 to include time frame for collectives to be formed of 5 years after the plan becomes operational.	Reject	14.2
97.87	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend to read: f) the protection of water quality for domestic, and municipal, <u>and food and fibre production</u> water supply.	Reject	14.2
98.1	David	France	Caitbridge Trust	No specific relief stated but raises issues about land use options remaining flexible to account for future changes in market conditions, climate change and or improving technologies. The ability for land owners to optimise their land use within sustainable parameters using the water consent entitlements they have invested in and developed over the years.	Accept in part	14.2
99.6	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Agrees that the protection of water quality for domestic and municipal water supply is important, but also believe that its protection for irrigation purposes is important, and believes that 'irrigation purposes', should be added to f).	Reject	14.2
99.7	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Twyford Water would like to point out that the type of riparian plantings is important from a biodiversity point of view but it should not be forgotten that some native species pose a bio-security risk as in harboring horticultural pests. Catchment groups are once again the preferred driver for planting and maintenance of riparian borders.	Accept in part	14.2
99.9	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Many horticultural growers have already adopted industry good practice, and in some cases operate above it, and this should be acknowledged in the wording of (a)(i) and (iii). With regards to (a)(ii), catchment groups, existing and established industry programmes should be recognised as being an important party and key to the achievement of this policy, and the wording at the start of the policy should be amended to reflect that.	Accept in part	14.2

99.10.	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports the staged approach that has been adopted to nutrient management in this plan change that seeks to gather further information about sustainable nutrient loads over the first phase of this plan change (ie. the next ten years), and	Accept in part	14.2
99.103	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend f) by adding 'and irrigation purposes'.	Reject	14.2
99.104	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend by adding 'landowner collectives' to the start of the policy, and add to the end of a)i) and biosecurity requirements of adjacent land use'	Accept in part	14.2
100.3	Stephanie	Murphy	Hawke's Bay Airport	Mapping - The mapped "Ahuriri Catchment" as mapped in PC9 overlaps with areas identified in the Regional Coastal Environment Plan as being part of the Coastal Environment. Due to the jurisdictional boundaries of these two documents and to avoid confusion and potential duplication around their application, the Ahuriri Catchment map may require amendments to ensure this overlap is removed.	Reject	14.2
112.3	Ainsley	Harte		Support policies 5.10.3 which recognise farmers and communities contributions to achieving environmental outcomes and give landowners the opportunity to continue to grow and develop 'ground up' approaches both individually or collectively. We ask for these to be retained as proposed.	Accept	14.2
115.3	Patricia D	Nuku		Limit the amounts of nutrients that discharge or Leach into the Karamu River to prevent adverse effects on the habitat an aquatic life in the River.	Accept in part	14.2
116.7	Alexander John	Macphee		Schedules 28 and 29 - both schedules refer to the use of the modeling tool known as "Overseer" Overseer was never intended to be used as a regulatory tool. The reliance on modelling is extremely concerning. It appears to be used extensively when there is limited genuine data available, and is often used with other "modeled" inputs and other dubious data. There needs to be more emphasis on the collection of genuine and accurate information.	Accept in part	14.2
120.22	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Identify (delineate) priority catchments and define timeframes for improvement in Schedule 28.	Accept in part	14.2
120.23	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Regulate (require consent for) production land in priority catchments to resolve water quality issues in Schedule 28 and in catchments required to meet water quality targets in Schedule 26 within the life of the plan.	Reject	14.2
120.24	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Control the use of production land all other catchments to maintain water quality.	Reject	14.2
120.72	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Require Farm Environment Plans within specified, short term timeframes and within a consenting (not a permitted activity) framework with defined performance, monitoring and auditing standards.	Accept in part	14.2

120.73	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Provide for consideration of the appropriateness and efficiency of an activity within the TANK catchments in terms of its water use and contaminant loss aspects by removing the presumption that all existing water takes will automatically be renewed (as above) and that land uses will continue unchecked by regulation	Accept in part	14.2
120.91	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend policies 1-5 to make it clear that improvement is needed in all TANK catchments wherever water quality objectives are not currently met, to achieve targets by 2040, and detail the means by which decision makers and plan users are guided to achieve this (e.g., through regulating activities).	Accept in part	14.2
120.92	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 28 to delineate catchments with priority requirements to improve water quality	Accept in part	14.2
120.107	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	These policies must be subject to the objectives and targets in Schedule 26 and the priority water quality issues in Schedule 28.	Accept	14.2
120.108	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Where targets for water quality are not being achieved, clear management of land use activities which contribute to degraded water quality must be included in the plan with a timebound pathway of improvement to achieving targets.	Accept in part	14.2
120.109	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Water quality issues and priority catchments must be listed and delineated in Schedule 28, followed by methods to achieve remaining Schedule 26 targets in all FM Us and waterbodies.	Accept in part	14.2
120.110	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Replace terms like 'good practice' with more directive wording, and define with regulatory performance standards	Accept in part	14.2
120.111	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Critical source areas, nutrient budgeting, contaminant loss, reduction and mitigation, must all be required to meet performance standards	Accept in part	14.2
120.112	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Action to reduce nutrient (and sediment) contamination of waterbodies is needed in PC9 now	Accept in part	14.2
120.118	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Schedule 28 must identify and delineate the catchment with specific water quality issues and specific timeframes	Accept in part	14.2
120.125	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Policy 20 to be more directive and directly reference achieving the water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 (including all of the objectives of Schedule 27)	Reject	14.2
120.126	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Control both sources of sediment (adjacent to waterbodies and broader land use in highly erodible catchments)	Reject	14.2
120.127	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	All priority sub-catchments for erosion management must be identified in Schedule 28.	Accept in part	14.2
123.4	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Ensure all allocation limits are less than 30% MALF.	Accept in part	14.2
123.12	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Regulate (require consent for) productive land used for farming in priority catchments to resolve water quality issues in Schedule 28 and in catchments required to meet water quality targets in Schedule 26 by 2040.	Reject	14.2
123.41	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 2 - Rewording needed.	Reject	14.2
123.43	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Manage and regulate land use in priority catchments in Schedule 28 to address priority water quality issues in Schedule 28 and to maintain objectives and achieve targets in Schedule 26 by 2040. Or words to similar effect	Reject	14.2
123.44	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Manage and regulate land use in priority catchments in Schedule 28 to address priority water quality issues in Schedule 28 and to maintain objectives and achieve targets in Schedule 26 by 2040. Or words to similar effect Insert point F) to work with Napier city to improve fish passage and restore spawning habitat	Accept in part	14.2

123.52	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	<p>Delete Policy 17 and replace with: “Schedule 26 freshwater quality objectives will be maintained where they are currently met, and targets will be achieved by 2040 through regulating the use of land in priority catchments for the water quality issues in Schedule 28, the intensification of all land, and requiring farm plans in all catchments that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Meet industry good practice as defined in Schedule XX b) Manage all critical source areas c) Mitigate and reduce contaminant losses to water d) Meet nutrient budgets for nitrogen in priority catchments in Schedule 28 e) All land users providing contaminant loss and nutrient budget information annually, or on request by the Council” F) Provide for appropriate enforcement actions <p>Or words to similar effect Include a regulatory implementation pathway to achieve objectives and targets by 2040 Include regulation of land use in priority catchments and for waterbodies where contaminants are not currently meeting objectives in Schedule 26 as a minimum and require FEPs for all farming land use >10ha.</p>	Reject	14.2
123.53	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	<p>“The maintenance or improvement of water quality to meet freshwater objectives and 123.53 targets by 2040 will be supported by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Collating, analysing and reporting on contaminant loss data provided by all land users (through Policy 17) b) Developing a contaminant allocation regime (nitrogen) in priority catchments c) Further regulation of land use in areas outside of priority catchments where targets are not being achieved by 2030 d) Measuring and reporting against the objectives and targets in Schedule 26 every five years e) Working with industry groups, landowners, mana whenua and other stakeholders to research and investigate additional mitigations and actions to meet targets at a property and catchment scale” <p>Or words to similar effect</p>	Reject	14.2
123.55	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	<p>Amend Policy 20 as: “Sediment loss, erosion and effects on freshwater and coastal ecosystems will be mitigated and reduce to maintain the objectives and meet the targets in Schedule 26 by 2040 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Controlling cultivation, stock access and vegetation clearance in all catchments b) Regulating land use in priority catchments vulnerable to erosion listed in Schedule 28 to manage critical source areas at the property and catchments scales c) requiring and supporting tree planting, afforestation and retirement of land, particularly where multiple water quality objectives and targets can be maintained or met d) Requiring and supporting and improved and sustainable riparian management in all catchments” <p>Or words to similar effect</p>	Reject	14.2
123.146	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	<p>Include the list of priority catchments which currently meet the criteria specified for water quality issues. Should reference 2040 as the timeframe for achieving objectives</p>	Accept in part	14.2

126.14	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	<p>Amend Policy 1 under the heading "Water Management Overview" to read:</p> <p>The Council with landowners, local authorities, industry and community groups, mana whenua and other stakeholders will regulate or Manage land use activities and surface and groundwater bodies in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments so that water quality attributes are maintained at their current state or where required show an improving trend towards the water quality targets shown in Freshwater Objectives in Schedule 26 are met by focussing on:</p> <p>a) <u>requiring a general improvement in farming practice to reduce the diffuse discharge of contaminants;</u></p> <p>b) <u>requiring a greater level of scrutiny on the management of farming enterprises located within 'High' and 'Medium' priority catchments water quality improvement in subcatchments (as described in Schedule 28) where current state water quality is not meeting specified freshwater quality targets objectives in Schedule 26;</u></p> <p>c) <u>focussing on the enhancement and management of riparian margins;</u></p> <p>d) <u>requiring a greater level of scrutiny for the management of urban stormwater networks to and the reduction-reduce of contaminants in urban stormwater discharges into TANK waterbodies and TANK estuarine systems;</u></p> <p>e) <u>requiring the protection of water quality for domestic and municipal water supply;</u></p> <p>f) <u>recognising reductions in the discharge of contaminants will need to continue more than 10-years after PC9 is operative to achieve freshwater objectives in Schedule 26.</u></p> <p>b) sediment management as a key contaminant pathway to also address phosphorus and bacteria losses;</p> <p>c) the significant environmental stressors of excessive sedimentation and macrophyte growth in lowland rivers and nutrient loads entering the Ahuriri and Waitangi estuaries;</p>	Accept in part	14.2
126.15	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	<p>Amend Policy 5 to read:</p> <p>In the tributaries of the Ahuriri Estuary <u>Te Whanganui-a-Orotu (Ahuriri estuary)</u>, in addition to Policy 1 the Council will work with mana whenua, landowners and the Napier City Council to:</p> <p>a) <u>improve water clarity and reduce deposited the discharge of sediment from land use activities in the Ahuriri catchment, including by reduce the amount of remobilising of deposited sediment being lost from land and beds and banks of rivers;</u></p> <p>b) <u>reduce risk of proliferation of algae by reducing nutrient the losses-discharge of nitrogen and phosphorous from land use activities in the Ahuriri catchment, including through management of phosphorous loss associated with sediment;</u></p> <p>c) <u>avoid the discharge of untreated wastewater;</u></p> <p>d) <u>requiring a greater level of scrutiny on the management of untreated stormwater discharged into Te Whanganui-a-Orotu (Ahuriri estuary);</u></p> <p>e) <u>manage improvements in the ecosystem health of urban waterways.</u></p> <p>c) improve stormwater and drainage water quality and the ecosystem health of urban waterways and reduce contamination of stormwater associated with poor site management practices, spills and accident in from urban areas;</p> <p>d) carry out further investigations to understand the estuary hydrology, functioning and environmental stressors.</p>	Reject	14.2
126.17	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	<p>Insert new Policy 17A to read:</p> <p><u>In addition to Policy 1, require land use activities located within 'Low' and 'Long term' priority catchments (as described in Schedule 28) to prepare a Farm Environment Plan for:</u></p> <p>a) <u>farming enterprises in accordance with Section C of Schedule 30;</u></p> <p>b) <u>TANK catchment collectives, TANK industry programmes, catchment collectives and industry groups in accordance with Section A and B of Schedule 30;</u></p> <p><u>within 6 years of PC9 becoming operative.</u></p>	Reject	14.2

126.18	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 17 to read: The Council will achieve or maintain the freshwater targets or freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 with landowners, industry groups, and other stakeholders and will implement the following measures In addition to Policy 1, require land use activities located within 'High' or 'Medium' priority catchments (as described in Schedule 28) to (iv) prepare nutrient management plans in catchment not meeting targets for dissolved nitrogen. prepare a Farm Environment Plan for; a) farming enterprises establish programmes and processes through Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Section C and B of Schedule 30 inclusive of the matters set out in Policies 11, 14, 20, 21, 22 and 27; b) TANK catchment collectives, TANK industry programmes, catchment collectives and industry groups in accordance with Section A and B of Schedule 30 inclusive of the matters set out in Policies 11, 14, 20, 21, 22 and 27; within 3 years of PC9 becoming operative (i) adopt industry good practice; (ii) identify critical source areas of contaminants at both property and catchment scale; (iii) adopt effective measures to mitigate or reduce contaminant loss; (iv) prepare nutrient management plans in catchment not meeting targets for dissolved nitrogen.	Reject	14.2
126.19	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 18 to read: The Council will work with landowners, industry groups, and other stakeholders to assist with achieving or maintaining the short-term numerical attribute targets in Schedule 26AA or freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 by; a) gathering information to determine sustainable nutrient loads; a) establishing and operating a publicly available freshwater quality accounting system in each FMU; b) Collating and analysing contaminant loss data provided through Farm Environment Plans prepared in accordance with Policy 17A and Policy 17; c) a) gathering information necessary to determine sustainable nutrient loads develop nutrient limits and a nutrient allocation regime for discharge of nitrogen in 'High' priority catchments; if the management framework in Policy 17 is not leading to improved attribute states by the time this plan is reviewed; d) signalling further regulation of land use activities where there is a significant risk of increased nitrogen loss; e) gathering and assessing information about environmental state and trends and the impact of land use activities on these; f) working with industry groups, landowners and other stakeholders to undertake research and investigate into; additional measures to reduce nutrient losses at a property and catchment scale. i). nutrient pathways, nitrogen att; ii). nutrient uptake and loss pathways at a property scale; iii). measures to reduce nutrient losses at a property as well as catchment scale including those delivered through industry programmes.	Reject	14.2
126.20	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Policy 19	Accept	14.2
127.16	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Identify (delineate) priority catchments and define timeframes for improvement in Schedule 28.	Accept	14.2
127.17	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Regulate (require consent for) production land in priority catchments to resolve water quality issues in Schedule 28 and in catchments required to meet water quality targets in Schedule 26 by 2040.	Reject	14.2
129.36	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Amend last paragraph to state that Source Protection Zones are a high priority area for the preparation of Farm Environment, Catchment Collective or Industry Plans in addition to the mapped high, medium and low priority areas.	Accept in part	14.2
132.25	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Redraft provisions in the objectives, policies and methods where they imply a management function for the Ahuriri Estuary or the Waitangi Estuary	Accept in part	14.2
132.68	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include sensitive catchment criteria in TANK provisions, and expand to include restrictions on fertiliser use and nutrient limits {80kg/ha/yr} from all sources	Reject	14.2
132.111	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Where Overseer or another council approved method is to be used as a tool to calculate nitrogen leaching in TANK catchments, and inform consent activity status and relevant rules, ensure the use of Overseer (or its equivalent) does not result in water quality decline such that limits are breached and targets not achieved within specific FMUs, management zones or timeframes.	Accept in part	14.2

132.113	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Remove any threshold allowance for or increase from nitrogen leaching calculations and LUC classes, from being applicable for TANK catchment rules.	Reject	14.2
132.114	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add Soluble Inorganic Nitrogen, Total Nitrogen and Soluble Reactive Phosphorus to provisions that regulate effects of land uses where Overseer or another approved system is used.	Reject	14.2
132.115	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Impose a management/monitoring/mitigation charge of 0.50 cents per kg for any N leaching above a 12 kg/ha/yr threshold for land use activities where nitrogen is applied directly to land	Reject	14.2
132.138	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	For all other applications of nutrients within the TANK catchments, restrict applications of nitrogen from all sources to 120 kgs/hectare/year ¹⁶ . For intensive vegetable production restrict applications to 150kgs/hectare/per year. Impose a catchment load limit for Total Nitrogen within each of the four TANK catchments.	Reject	14.2
132.178	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Specify priority catchments in the plan and refer to them in the relevant schedules. Clearly link priority catchments to restrictions on land use in policies and methods, and SIN, TN and DRP limits in PC9.	Accept in part	14.2
132.193	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prevent use and encouragement of "adaptive management" practices in objectives, policies and methods in PC9, as it is a faulty methodology within an RMA, 1991 planning construct and does not always support sustainable management.	Reject	14.2
135.18	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 1 as follows: <i>The Council with landowners, local authorities, industry and community groups, mana whenua and other stakeholders will regulate or manage land use activities and surface and groundwater bodies so that water quality attributes objectives are maintained at their current state or where required show an improving trend towards the water quality targets shown in Schedule 26 by focussing on:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) water quality improvement in sub priority catchments (as described in Schedule 28) where water quality is not meeting specified fresh water quality targets; • b) sediment management to address as a key contaminant pathways to also address phosphorus and bacteria losses; • c) the significant environmental stressors of excessive sedimentation and macrophyte growth in lowland rivers and nutrient loads entering the Ahuriri and Waitangi estuaries; • d) the management of riparian margins; • e) the management of urban stormwater networks and the reduction of contaminants in urban stormwater and other stormwater sources; • f) the protection of water quality for domestic and municipal water supply and other water users. 	Reject	14.2
135.19	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 4 as notified.	Accept	14.2
135.20	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 5 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) improve water clarity and reduce deposited sediment by reducing the amount of sediment being lost from land and river banks; 	Accept	14.2
135.23	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 17 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) (iv) implement measures for prepare nutrient management plans in catchments not meeting targets for dissolved nitrogen. 	Reject	14.2
135.24	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 18 as follows: <i>The Council will achieve or maintain the freshwater targets or freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 by;</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) gathering information to determine sustainable nutrient loads; • b) developing nutrient limits and a nutrient allocation regime if the management framework in Policy 17 is not achieving the freshwater quality objectives leading to improved attribute states by the time this plan is reviewed; 	Reject	14.2
135.25	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete Policy 19 in its entirety. In catchments that do not meet objectives for dissolved nutrients specified in Schedule 26, the Council will ensure landowners, landowner collectives and industry groups have nutrient management plans according to the priority order in Schedule 28.	Accept	14.2
135.26	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 20 as follows: The Council will reduce manage adverse effects on freshwater and coastal aquatic ecosystems from eroded sediment, and from the phosphorus associated with this, by prioritising the following mitigation measures;	Reject	14.2

135.61	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Schedule 28 by replacing the current content of the schedule with a table or list that clearly identifies the priority catchments, including the timeframes that apply within each catchment.	Accept in part	14.2
138.2	Marei	Apatu		PC9 to reduce the amount of mud/sediment and other contaminants coming into the Karamu, and to increase the amount of water left in the awa during the summer so that it gets a good flush out from better flows. Increase of minimum flows for the Karamu of 10%.	Accept in part	14.2
138.3	Marei	Apatu		Less fertilisers are used on the land and less nutrients come into the awa. Limit put on how much fertiliser gets used in the Karamu catchment so that the weeds and algae are reduced and the Karamu becomes healthier. At the moment it has declining mauri. Also leave more water in the Karamu for increased fish habitat so my whanau can collect healthy kai from there again.	Accept in part	14.2
142.15	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Amend Policies 1 and 4: a) No regulatory impositions on sediment control until accurate data sets are available for defined catchments. b) With reference to accurate data sets establish reasonable and separate sediment and phosphorus outcome criteria for land users to abide by	Accept in part	14.2
142.16	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Amend Policy 20: a) No regulatory impositions on sediment control until accurate data sets are available for defined catchments. b) With reference to accurate data sets establish reasonable and separate sediment and phosphorus outcome criteria for land users to abide by	Reject	14.2
142.18	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Remove policy 20a). Rely on approaches stated in policies 19, 23, 24, 25, 26 to produce good objective outcomes. Allow time for factual data sets to be completed.	Reject	14.2
142.19	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Strongly support	Accept	14.2
142.29	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	We support the prioritising of areas to be identified for remediation where water quality outcomes do not meet agreed standards.	Accept	14.2
180.2	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	It is critical that the harvesting of water at high flows, and storage for later utilisation, is provided for by the TANK plan change. The total allocation of high flow water identified in the plan must be able to be harvested, and further work also needs to be done to identify whether or not additional water can be taken for this purpose.	Reject	14.2
180.12	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	The provisions proposed in the plan may not be sufficient to address the issues challenging the ecosystem health of the Ahuriri Estuary. Sediment inflow to the estuary, at least in recent times, have largely been the consequence of recent, large scale subdivisions on the hills of the catchment. It is unclear how the rules of this plan change will tackle such activities.	Accept in part	14.2
180.20	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend f) by adding 'and irrigation purposes'.	Reject	14.2
180.21	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding 'landowner collectives' to the start of the policy, and add to the end of a)i) 'and biosecurity requirements of adjacent land use'	Accept in part	14.2
180.22	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding definition of 'lower Ngaruroro' and planning map outlining extent of area.	Accept	14.2
180.29	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'The Council will achieve or maintain the freshwater targets or freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 by... c) regulating land use change to manage contaminant loss across a range of contaminants; e) working with industry groups, collectives, landowners and other stakeholders to undertake research and investigation into; (i) nutrient pathways, concentrations and loads in rivers and coastal receiving environments; (ii) nutrient uptake and loss pathways at a property scale; (iii) measures to reduce contaminant losses at a property as well as catchment scale including those delivered through industry groups, landowner collectives'	Reject	14.2
180.30	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'In catchments that do not meet objectives for dissolved nutrients-nitrogen specified in Schedule 26, the Council will ensure landowners, landowner collectives and industry groups have nutrient management plans according to the priority order in Schedule 28.'	Reject	14.2

180.68	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by deleting '5. A source Protection Zone'. Amend catchment names to make clear the relationship of these catchments to other catchments identified in the plan. Amend catchment maps to ensure that contaminant loads discharged from upstream are not double counted, and the land that is captured by the risk categories represents the contribution of catchment to loads at the sub-catchment and whole of catchment scales.	Accept in part	14.2
194.32	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policies 1, 3, 6, and 7-16 - retain as drafted.	Accept in part	14.2
194.33	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Further clarification in terms of how flow management regimes are to be implemented in practice.	Accept in part	14.2
194.34	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policies 2, 4 & 5 - Review and amend these provisions for consistency (or explain why any different approaches apply to the different water bodies	Accept	14.2
194.35	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Seeks that 5.10.3.17(iii) and (iv) be amended to differentiate between high and low contaminant and nitrogen loss land uses. This could be amended through reference to Schedules 29/30 which may themselves require consequential amendments.	Reject	14.2
194.37	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PRWM seeks that 5.10.3.19 be amended to differentiate between high and low nitrogen loss land uses. This could be amended through reference to Schedules 29/30 which may themselves require consequential amendments. In addition, PC9 should acknowledge the requirements for FMPs under Part 9 RMA and ensure the plan provisions are not inconsistent or more stringent than these.	Reject	14.2
194.38	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policies 20, 22, 23 and 26 - retain as drafted.	Accept in part	14.2
194.104	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PRWM supports Schedule 28 as currently drafted.	Accept in part	14.2
195.3	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Implies support for a staged adaptive management approach and provides recommendations regarding successful implementation.	Accept	14.2
195.4	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend to provide a more balanced approach to nutrient management	Accept in part	14.2
195.31	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 1 to read: The Council with landowners, local authorities, industry and community groups, mana whenua and other stakeholders,	Reject	14.2
195.32	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 2 to read: ...	Reject	14.2
195.33	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policies 3, 4, and 5 to refer to reduceable sediment and improving water quality and ecosystem health where practicable. Specific wording provided.	Accept in part	14.2
195.42	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 17 to include allowance for permitted activities that have only minor adverse effects in terms of nutrients and contaminants. Specific wording provided.	Reject	14.2
195.44	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 19 to read: ... have nutrient management plans <u>where Council State and Trend data on water quality indicates declining trends and poor state according to the priority order in Schedule 28.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.2
195.45	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 20 'Sediment Management' be amended as follows: 20. The Council will <u>manage land and water use to</u> reduce adverse effects on freshwater and coastal aquatic ecosystems from eroded sediment, and from the phosphorus associated with this, by prioritising the following mitigation measures; ... b) targeting priority areas and activities for sediment loss management where there is high sediment loss risk and working with land managers to identify and manage critical sources areas of contaminants at both property and catchment scale; ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.2

195.108	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 13 – Use of compost, biosolids & other soil conditioners, be retained as notified	Reject	14.2
195.141	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 28 be amended as follows: ... Catchment maps showing spatial extent and location of the priority areas are available as part of this plan change but are not included as planning maps. This is because the thresholds for priority will remain fixed, however † The status of catchments will change over time as work is completed within the catchment. Farm Environment and Catchment Collective Plans and Industry Programmes are to be completed in the following priority order; High, Medium and Low Priority over the first 3, 6 and 9 years respectively following of the plan (although work can commence at any time and farmers will be encouraged to start with their own programme as soon as possible). Delete the TN yield line from the table. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.2
197.9	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Policies 17, 18, 19 and 21 - Amend existing and include as required new provisions to give effect to the following intent: * Management approaches are tailored to addressing water quality issues identified on a sub catchment basis... * Provide for flexibility in Nitrogen use and discharge where these will not exceed long term determined sub catchment determined loads. * Enable land uses which are leaching at or less than the 'sustainable level' to continue... * Enable changes in land use which occur within the sustainable level for the sub-catchment. Continued in submission.	Accept in part	14.2
201.32	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	The Council will regulate land use activities and activities affecting surface and ground water bodies so that water quality attributes are maintained at their current state or where required show an improving trend towards the water quality targets shown in Schedule 26 by focussing on:... Rest of policy 1 outlined in submission.	Accept in part	14.2
201.33	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) reduce water temperature and increase the level of dissolved oxygen by: i. the establishment of riparian vegetation to shade the water and reduce macrophyte growth while accounting for flooding and drainage objectives; ii. reducing excessive macrophyte growth by managing nutrient limits and physical removal of weeds. The rest of the bullet points are outlined in the submission.	Reject	14.2
203.2	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	14.2
203.7	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain policies 1, 2 and 5 as notified.	Accept in part	14.2
207.43	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Support Rules TANK 1-6 subject to: <i>SPZs being made high priority areas for preparation of FEPs</i> <i>Source Protection Extents being made medium priority areas for preparation of FEPs.</i> (Refer to submission point under Schedule 28)	Accept in part	14.2
207.47	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the table by adding the words " <u>land within a Source Protection Zone</u> " as a High Priority and " <u>land within a Source Protection Extent</u> " as a Medium Priority.	Accept in part	14.2

210.25	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Reword the policy to make it clear that water quality improvements are needed wherever objectives are not currently met, and targets should be achieved by 2040, then state the way decision makers will achieve this. Care should be taken to reflect national planning standards format and the NPS Freshwater Mgmt. Also remove the interdependency between this policy and Policy 6, and format the policies in a clear way so that decision makers are not required to move back and forward through the plan in making decisions	Accept in part	14.2
210.36	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Reword and merge with Policy 3 or split into method/policy components.	Reject	14.2
210.38	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete Policy 17. Take components to a methods section. Replace with a policy that better reflects the requirements of the NPSFM, RMA, and NES FW, and references the targets and timeframes in Schedule 26.	Accept in part	14.2
210.39	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete Policy. Replace with a policy that better reflects the requirements of the NPSFM, RMA, and NES FW, and references the targets and timeframes in Schedule 26. A clear regulatory pathway is needed to achieve 2040 targets. That must include nutrient management – either via inputs or outputs	Accept	14.2
210.40	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete	Accept	14.2
210.41	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to make more directive towards management measures and bottom lines.	Reject	14.2
210.50	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to provide more clarity.	Reject	14.2
210.138	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend for clarity. Identify what catchments are a priority. Include maps. Include timeframes.	Accept	14.2
216.18	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	There are currently limited options available for modelling nutrient loss, particularly from horticultural systems, so it is important that flexibility is incorporated to allow the applicant to use an approved model to calculate their land use change impact.	Accept in part	14.2
231.5	Peter	Kay		Agree in part - it would be better to require not only a FEP, but also a Nutrient Plan with more regular monitoring. This policy is designed to encourage landowners to become part of a catchment group or collective so it requires a disincentive over catchment groups.	Accept in part	14.2
232.4	Levi	Walford	Matahiwi Marae	Harmful nutrients that are discharged into the Karamu causing adverse, affects, on the habitat and aquatic life are 'LIMITED'	Accept in part	14.2
233.10	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Modify bullet policy 1(f) as follows: The protection of water quality for domestic, community and municipal water supply.	Reject	14.2
233.15	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Amend policy 5(c) to: improve stormwater and drainage water quality and the ecosystem health of urban waterways and reduce contamination of stormwater associated with poor site management practices, spills and accident in urban areas, and solid contaminants and debris;	Accept in part	14.2
239.5	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	High level modelling about sediment loss does not represent actual on ground topography. It does not make sense that the Mangaone Catchment is the highest priority for sediment loss when the MCI index is the same as other catchments.	Reject	14.2
240.21	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Ensuring that all agricultural land use activities utilise best management practices to minimise erosion, sediment supply, and nutrient losses.	Accept in part	14.2
240.24	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		The lack of targeted sediment reduction loads in both the Ahuriri (Te Whanganui a Orotu) and Waitangi estuaries.	Accept in part	14.2
8.5	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 21	Accept	14.3
Table 14.3: Land use change						
8.46	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Rule TANK 5 - Either delete Rules TANK 5 and 6 and Schedule 29 or amend them to ensure they are no more onerous than Government's proposed national environmental standards restricting agricultural intensification.	Accept in part	14.3

8.47	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Rule TANK 6 - Either delete Rules TANK 5 and 6 and Schedule 29 <u>or</u> amend them to ensure they are no more onerous than Government's proposed national environmental standards restricting agricultural intensification.	Accept in part	14.3
8.48	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Either delete Rules TANK 5 and 6 and Schedule 29 <u>or</u> amend them to ensure they are no more onerous than Government's proposed national environmental standards restricting agricultural intensification.	Accept in part	14.3
8.49	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Rule TANK 5 - If Rule 5 is retained, amend condition (a) to read: <i>Any change to a production land use activity over more than 10ha of the property or enterprise area commencing after 2 May 2020 does not result in the annual nitrogen loss increasing by more than the applicable amount shown in Table 2 in Schedule 29</i>	Accept in part	14.3
10.3	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 21 - At 21. Add (e) "encourage farmers and growers to have a humus content in cropping and orchard soils with Target set of at least 4 percent of 'humus content in soils' by 2030" Add 21 Add (f) "encourage farmers and growers to achieve nitrogen leaching loss target of less than the kg per hectare per year of the eight soil type figures set out in Plan Change 6 of Land Use Capability by 2025"	Reject	14.3
14.6	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 21 - Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives.	Reject	14.3
14.11	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Rule TANK 5 - Rewrite to provide clarity about what constitutes a change to production land use activity.	Accept in part	14.3
14.12	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Rule TANK 6 - Review the Table 2 Schedule 29 grape figures to account for the effects of autumn/winter sheep grazing.	Accept in part	14.3
15.8	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 21 - Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives.	Reject	14.3
15.13	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Rule TANK 5 - Rewrite to provide clarity about what constitutes a change to production land use activity.	Accept in part	14.3
15.14	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Rule TANK 6 - Review the Table 2 Schedule 29 grape figures to account for the effects of autumn/winter sheep grazing.	Accept in part	14.3
17.5	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Concerned about nitrogen leaching limits. Oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the ability to adapt and innovate is an integral part of the resilience of the sector.	Accept in part	14.3
18.8	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
18.15	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
18.16	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
20.8	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 21 - Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives.	Reject	14.3
20.13	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Rule TANK 5 - Rewrite to provide clarity about what constitutes a change to production land use activity.	Accept in part	14.3
20.14	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Rule TANK 6 - Review the Table 2 Schedule 29 grape figures to account for the effects of autumn/winter sheep grazing.	Accept in part	14.3
21.5	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Concerned about nitrogen leaching limits. Oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the ability to adapt and innovate is an integral part of the resilience of the sector.	Accept in part	14.3

21.9	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare ' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change. · Any Nitrogen risk threshold should be tailored to the catchment and specific to working towards achieving freshwater values. · This approach will ensure that those land uses which contribute unsustainable amounts bear the cost of reducing the overallocation while those discharging at or below the sustainable level (Nitrogen fixed by legumes through the natural biological process must be exempt from any N limits	Accept in part	14.3
21.12	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - Seek that Condition b) be amended to include Farm Environment Plans meeting the requirements of Schedule 30C. ·Seek that this rule is amended so that the threshold for change is 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater. This is consistent with TANK 1 & 2 which encourage the development of Farm Environment Plans or landowners to be part of Catchment Collectives.	Accept in part	14.3
23.64	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Reject	14.3
23.65	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
23.66	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
23.67	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
23.68	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
23.69	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Reject	14.3
23.70	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
23.71	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
23.72	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
23.73	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
26.8	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
26.15	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
26.16	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
28.8	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
28.15	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
28.16	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3

29.12	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read " <u>subject to Policy 21 a)-c)</u> , avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
29.15	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 25 to read "Where a landowner's land is not part of an Industry Programme or Catchment Collective, the Council will require development and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept	14.3
29.16	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Add a new clause 26.a to read "work initially with the Catchment Collective or Industry Programme to achieve compliance through the Catchment Collective or Industry Programme rules;" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend 26.c (now 26.d) to read " <u>where the processes in Policy 26.a-c have been exhausted</u> , take appropriate enforcement action." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
29.41	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Reject	14.3
29.48	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
29.62	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend TANK 5 conditions/standards/terms to read "...subject to a Catchment Collective Programme meeting the requirements of Schedule 30B or by a TANK Catchment Collective..."	Reject	14.3
32.64	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
32.65	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Reject	14.3
32.66	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
32.67	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
32.68	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3

32.69	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
32.70	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Reject	14.3
32.71	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Reject	14.3
32.72	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
32.73	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
33.3	Bruce	McGregor		Oppose Schedule 29 - Schedule 29 is deleted. LUC classification basis adopted. Mitigating actions are considered. De-intensification is recognised.	Reject	14.3
34.8	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
35.64	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
35.65	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
35.66	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
35.67	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
35.68	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
35.69	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
35.70	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
35.71	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
35.72	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
35.73	Colin	Campbell		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
35.77	Colin	Campbell		Opposes provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances.	Accept in part	14.3

35.81	Colin	Campbell		Seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare ' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change. · Any Nitrogen risk threshold should be tailored to the catchment and specific to working towards achieving freshwater values. · This approach will ensure that those land uses which contribute unsustainable amounts bear the cost of reducing the overallocation while those discharging at or below the sustainable level	Reject	14.3
35.84	Colin	Campbell		Rule TANK 5 - Seek that Condition b) be amended to include Farm Environment Plans meeting the requirements of Schedule 30C. ·Seek that this rule is amended so that the threshold for change is 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater. This is consistent with TANK 1 & 2 which encourage the development of Farm Environment Plans or landowners to be part of Catchment Collectives.	Accept in part	14.3
37.64	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
37.65	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
37.66	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
37.67	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
37.68	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
37.69	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
37.70	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
37.71	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
37.72	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
37.73	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
38.64	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
38.65	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
38.66	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
38.67	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
38.68	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
38.69	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
38.70	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

38.71	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
38.72	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
38.73	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
39.8	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
39.15	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
39.16	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
40.5	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Concerned about nitrogen leaching limits. Oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the ability to adapt and innovate is an integral part of the resilience of the sector.	Accept in part	14.3
40.9	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare ' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change. · Any Nitrogen risk threshold should be tailored to the catchment and specific to working towards achieving freshwater values. · This approach will ensure that those land uses which contribute unsustainable amounts bear the cost of reducing the overallocation while those discharging at or below the sustainable level (Reject	14.3
40.12	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - Seek that Condition b) be amended to include Farm Environment Plans meeting the requirements of Schedule 30C. ·Seek that this rule is amended so that the threshold for change is 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater. This is consistent with TANK 1 & 2 which encourage the development of Farm Environment Plans or landowners to be part of Catchment Collectives.	Accept in part	14.3
41.8	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
41.15	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
41.16	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
43.64	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
43.65	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
43.66	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
43.67	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
43.68	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
43.69	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

43.70.	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
43.71	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
43.72	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
43.73	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
44.64	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
44.65	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
44.66	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
44.67	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
44.68	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
44.69	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
44.70.	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
44.71	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
44.72	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
44.73	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
45.7	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Concerned about nitrogen leaching limits. Oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the	Accept in part	14.3
47.3	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend - Policy 21 d) - Changing crop types is important for growers where markets, varieties and circumstances are constantly changing. The rule framework needs to be clear as to what circumstances require regulation – with any regulation only applying to certainties where more than minor effects may arise.	Accept in part	14.3
48.8	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read “subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change....” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
48.15	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
48.16	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
48.22	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Allow reasonable land use change, which includes usage that requires more water that is presently consented for current land use. This would need to be organised in a way that does not deplete the water resource but makes provision for the long- term success of the area. This could be through high flow storage or some other method.	Accept in part	14.3

49.64	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
49.65	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
49.66	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
49.67	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
49.68	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Reject	14.3
49.69	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
49.70	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
49.71	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
49.72	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
49.73	John	Parsons		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Reject	14.3
50.12	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Rule TANK 5&6 - Do not support the cap of a maximum 10ha on discretionary land change. The underlying assumption is that land change will have adverse environmental consequences. If we are all farming to a set of FEPS, and within Tank Plan guidelines, there should be no limit. We consider this to be a fundamental incursion on our property rights. We do not wish to be constrained from doing what we need to do to ensure the viability of the farm and to manage risk through diversifying income sources.	Accept in part	14.3
50.13	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Rule TANK 5&6 - If there is to be a cap, the cap needs to be meaningful. A cap representing 15% of the land area would be more appropriate. Flexible farming systems will be the key to our viability. A 15% change offers us the opportunity to materially diversify our income sources, without the need to incur additional cost and time delay associated with the need for regulatory approval.	Accept in part	14.3
50.14	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Rule TANK 5&6 - Submit that this should not be a one-off event, but flexibility refreshed around a time horizon, say three years. To illustrate, Olrig may adopt a land use change over 130 ha. Five years later, it may wish to do the same again. Providing it is compliant with its FEP or the Collective Catchment FEP, why should we have to incur cost and delay of approval process.	Accept in part	14.3
51.8	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Amend Rules TANK 5&6 - "Any change to production land use activity over more than 50 ha or 10% of the enterprise or farm area whichever is greater commencing from 2 May 2020" Our reasons regarding this, are included in comments on Schedule 29 in submission point 51.10.	Accept in part	14.3
51.10	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Oppose current wording and oppose using rules about land use change as a means of improving water quality. This wording should be changed to read: "Any change to land use activity over 50 ha or 10% of the enterprise or farm area whichever is greater commencing from 2 May 2020 unless that property is a member of a catchment collective or industry group"	Reject	14.3
51.11	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	We suggest a change of wording: TANK 5, 6b) and Schedule 29 : Any change to production land use activity commencing after 2 May 2020 of 50 ha or 10% of property or farming enterprise area whichever is greater unless that property is a member of a catchment collective or industry group.....	Reject	14.3

51.12	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Catchment collectives and industry groups have been given the responsibility to monitor and report their members activities including land use change. Individual members should not be required to report separately.	Accept in part	14.3
51.13	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Overseer modelling is complex, inaccurate (30% variation+ or -), time consuming and expensive for the landowner to produce and should only be required when major land use changes are planned or intensification on a large scale is planned. Most paddocks on sheep and beef farms exceed 10 ha in size. If a sheep/beef farmer wants to plant 10 ha into e.g. arable he would possibly be required to produce an Overseer model adding significant cost /ha compared with the production returns from that 10 ha of changed land use. This rule will make development of new crops much more difficult, complex, and costly with no environmental benefit. 50 ha is a more manageable area for farmers and council. Nitrogen loss is the key measure in this land use change rule. However, in the catchment, sediment loss is an equally important indicator of water quality yet there are no guidelines for sediment loss related to land use change.	Reject	14.3
53.8	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read “subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change....” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
53.15	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
53.16	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
54.64	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
54.65	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
54.66	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
54.67	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
54.68	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
54.69	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
54.70	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
54.71	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
54.72	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
54.73	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept	14.3
58.18	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend by directly referencing “rules that govern land use intensification”	Accept in part	14.3
58.37	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Remove Schedule 29 and replace with appropriate values, and relate to per ha loss rates	Reject	14.3
61.64	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3

61.65	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
61.66	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
61.67	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
61.68	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
61.69	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
61.70	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
61.71	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
61.72	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
61.73	Greg	Simpson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
62.64	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
62.65	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
62.66	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
62.67	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
62.68	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
62.69	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
62.70	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
62.71	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
62.72	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
62.73	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
66.1	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Delete Section 21(d) in entirety	Reject	14.3
66.17	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 5(a) to read: a) Any change to the production land use activity commencing after 2 May 2020 is <u>either over more than 10 hectares or 10% of the property or farming enterprise area, whichever is the greater</u>	Accept in part	14.3
66.18	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 6(b) to read: b) Any change to a production land use activity over more than either, 10ha <u>or 10%</u> of the property or enterprise area <u>whichever is the greater</u> , commencing after 2 May 2020 that results in the annual nitrogen loss increasing by more than the applicable amount shown in Table 2 in Schedule 29.	Accept in part	14.3

68.8	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
68.15	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
68.16	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
69.64	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
69.65	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
69.66	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
69.67	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
69.68	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
69.69	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
69.70	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
69.71	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
69.72	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
69.73	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
70.2	Mike	Glazebrook		Delete Policy 21 (d). Failing that, the wording of 21 (d) should be amended so that the word "avoid" retains its common meaning i.e. "to minimise" or "prevent as far as practical" rather than simply "not allow" as interpreted in the Supreme Court decision for Environmental Defence Society Inc v New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited (2014) NZSC 38 .	Reject	14.3
71.64	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
71.65	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
71.66	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
71.67	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
71.68	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
71.69	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
71.70	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
71.71	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Reject	14.3

71.72	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
71.73	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
72.64	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
72.65	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
72.66	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
72.67	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
72.68	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
72.69	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
72.70	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
72.71	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
72.72	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
72.73	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
73.64	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
73.65	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
73.66	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
73.67	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
73.68	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
73.69	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
73.70	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
73.71	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
73.72	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
73.73	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
74.1	Kevin	Bayley	Bayley Produce Ltd	Amend Policy 21 d - Concerned about the above wording, in particular the use of 'avoid', and how this may imply a limitation on any further and future land use change across the TANK catchment, and on future growth opportunities for our business. The trigger in assessing changes in land use should be measured instead in relation to good management practice, not nitrogen limits which cannot be accurately understood nor measured.	Reject	14.3

75.8	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
75.15	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
75.16	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
76.8	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
76.15	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
76.16	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
77.64	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
77.65	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
77.66	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
77.67	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
77.68	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
77.69	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
77.70	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
77.71	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
77.72	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
77.73	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
79.64	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
79.65	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
79.66	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
79.67	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
79.68	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
79.69	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
79.70	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

79.71	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
79.72	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
79.73	Richard	Penreath		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
81.8	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
81.15	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
81.16	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
84.8	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
84.15	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
84.16	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
84.20	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - Ensure that the rule allows for consideration of future unforeseen circumstances on potential land use change.	Accept in part	14.3
85.3	Matthew	Truebridge		Rule TANK 5 - oppose land use specific Nitrogen Loss restrictions. Famers should be able to remain flexible and adaptive to change in circumstances. I support more flexibility and amendment so that the land use threshold for change is 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater.	Accept in part	14.3
85.4	Matthew	Truebridge		Seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare ' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change. Farm viability and flexibility would be compromised with N losses below 20.	Reject	14.3
86.64	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
86.65	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
86.66	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
86.67	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
86.68	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
86.69	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
86.70	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
86.71	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

86.72	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
86.73	Peter	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
87.8	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
87.14	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
87.15	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
88.8	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
88.14	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
88.15	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
91.63	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
91.64	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
91.65	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
91.66	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
91.67	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
91.68	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
91.69	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
91.70	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
91.71	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
91.72	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
91.73	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states that Change 9 does not give consideration to grower that utilize tunnels, glass houses, or other cover types.	Accept in part	14.3
91.74	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but implies opposition to clauses which tell or limit what farmers and growers can and cannot grow on their land.	Reject	14.3
94.8	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3

94.15	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
94.16	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
95.64	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
95.65	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
95.66	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
95.67	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
95.68	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
95.69	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
95.70	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
95.71	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
95.72	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
95.73	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
95.76	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but states that Change 9 does not give consideration to grower that utilize tunnels, glass	Accept in part	14.3
95.77	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but implies opposition to clauses which tell or limit what farmers and growers can and	Reject	14.3
96.64	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
96.65	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
96.66	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
96.67	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
96.68	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
96.69	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
96.70	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
96.71	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
96.72	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
96.73	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

97.66	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
97.67	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
97.68	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
97.69	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
97.70	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
97.71	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
97.72	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
97.73	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
97.74	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
97.75	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
99.11	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports in the main the approach that is proposed to address land use change, however we do not agree that nitrogen loss is used as the only trigger trigger for resources consenting. There maybe other triggers that might be of concern and not Nitrogen in particular situations. The word avoid is concerning where minimal use is seen as good practice and prohibits a landowner to change the use of land. This is seen as punishment for good behaviour. Higher users of nitrogen are able to keep the status quo.	Reject	14.3
99.24	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Rule TANK 5 - If catchment collectives are genuinely to be enabled to help manage land use in an integrated way, then a) should be reworded to make the 'trigger' for consent a change in land use over more than 10% of the land area managed by the collective.	Accept in part	14.3
99.90	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
99.91	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
99.92	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
99.93	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
99.94	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
99.95	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
99.96	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
99.97	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
99.98	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

99.99	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
101.1	Greg	Mitchell	Mitchell Dairy Farms Ltd	Remove increased Nitrogen loss from land use.	Reject	14.3
102.8	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
102.15	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
102.16	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
103.6	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
103.10.	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
103.11	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
104.64	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
104.65	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
104.66	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
104.67	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
104.68	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
104.69	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
104.70.	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
104.71	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
104.72	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
104.73	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
105.64	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
105.65	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
105.66	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
105.67	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3

105.68	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
105.69	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
105.70	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
105.71	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
105.72	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
105.73	Scott	Lawson		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
107.8	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
107.15	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
107.16	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
109.8	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Concerned about the approach taken in Schedule 29 which are designed to limit nutrients leaching from productive land use. We oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the ability to adapt and innovate is an integral part of the resilience of the sector.	Accept in part	14.3
109.12	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 5 - seek that area requirement of this rule be increased from 10 hectares to 200 hectares.	Reject	14.3
109.13	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 6 - In conjunction with the modification to TANK 5 rule in submission point 109.12, we seek that the TANK 5 and TANK 6 Conditions/Standards/Terms, and Matters for Control/Discretion are reviewed against each other and combined into one rule.	Reject	14.3
109.16	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Seek that the definition and classification of land use types be clearly articulated. Specifically, are land use types defined by table 1 of this section, or by Sustainable Land Use index (4) as defined by "Schedule 2. Sustainable Land Use" from the <u>Regional Resource Management Plan</u> .	Reject	14.3
110.64	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
110.65	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
110.66	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
110.67	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
110.68	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
110.69	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
110.70	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
110.71	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

110.72	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
110.73	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept	14.3
112.5	Ainsley	Harte		Concerned about nitrogen leaching limits in Schedule 29. Oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the ability to adapt and innovate is an integral part of the resilience of the sector.	Accept in part	14.3
112.9	Ainsley	Harte		Seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change. · Any Nitrogen risk threshold should be tailored to the catchment and specific to working towards achieving freshwater values. · This approach will ensure that those land uses which contribute unsustainable amounts bear the cost of reducing the overallocation while those discharging at or below the sustainable level (Reject	14.3
112.12	Ainsley	Harte		Rule TANK 5 - Seek that Condition b) be amended to include Farm Environment Plans meeting the requirements of Schedule 30C. ·Seek that this rule is amended so that the threshold for change is 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater. This is consistent with TANK 1 & 2 which encourage the development of Farm Environment Plans or landowners to be part of Catchment Collectives.	Accept in part	14.3
114.2	James	Lyons		Concerned about nitrogen leaching limits. Oppose provisions which restrict innovation and remove the opportunity for landowners to achieve environmental outcomes while remaining adaptable to change in circumstances. Flexibility and the ability to adapt and innovate is an integral part of the resilience of the sector.	Accept in part	14.3
114.6	James	Lyons		Seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change. · Any Nitrogen risk threshold should be tailored to the catchment and specific to working towards achieving freshwater values. · This approach will ensure that those land uses which contribute unsustainable amounts bear the cost of reducing the overallocation while those discharging at or below the sustainable level (<20kgN/ha) are enabled to continue and are flexible to adapt to change in circumstances.	Accept in part	14.3
114.9	James	Lyons		Rule TANK 5 - Seek that Condition b) be amended to include Farm Environment Plans meeting the requirements of Schedule 30C. ·Seek that this rule is amended so that the threshold for change is 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater. This is consistent with TANK 1 & 2 which encourage the development of Farm Environment Plans or landowners to be part of Catchment Collectives.	Accept in part	14.3
116.4	Alexander John	Macphee		Rule TANK 5 and 6 - Contradicting figures. Both 10% of the property, or 10 ha per property is referred to. 10 ha is far too restrictive for a large property. I suggest that it should read "10 ha, or 10% of the property, whichever is the greater"	Accept in part	14.3
118.5	Hugo	Beamish		Tank 6 ;Suggest that the criteria should be 10Ha or 10%, whichever is greater. Schedule 29 - Currently schedule 29 does not provide the necessary Nitrogen loss detail to determine what land use changes are permitted (ie how changes from dry stock or dairy to arable/vegetation rotation).	Accept in part	14.3
118.6	Hugo	Beamish		Provide the information necessary to enable determination of allowable land use changes	Accept	14.3
119.16	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 5 - support	Accept in part	14.3
119.17	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 6 - Support	Accept in part	14.3
122.64	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
122.65	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
122.66	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
122.67	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3

122.68	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
122.69	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
122.70	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
122.71	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
122.72	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
122.73	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
123.56	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete and reword as: "The impacts of diffuse contaminants from intensification of land use will be controlled in all catchments to maintain	Reject	14.3
123.101	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 6 - Amend to include reference to priority catchment in Schedule 28 and where water quality targets are not being met in Schedule 26.	Reject	14.3
124.27	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - Amend condition (a) to read: <i>Any change to a production land use activity over more than 10ha of the property or enterprise area commencing after 2 May 2020 that does not result in the annual nitrogen loss increasing by more than the applicable amount shown in Table 2 in Schedule 29.</i> Delete condition (b). Amend matter of control 2 to read: <i>"The measures being undertaken by the individual landowner or the TANK Landowner Collective."</i>	Reject	14.3
129.7	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 5 and TANK 6 - Either Insert at the end of condition (a): <i>"that results in the annual nitrogen loss increasing by more than the applicable amount shown in Table 2 in schedule 29."</i> Or Delete TANK 5 and TANK 6 and replace with a new rule that requires a restricted discretionary application to be made where a land use change on properties that are greater than 10 ha in size results in a change to the predominant land use which is the land use over more than 50% of the property or farm enterprise area changes from a lower leaching category to a higher category as shown in Table 1 of Schedule 29. The matters for discretion are as proposed for TANK 6 and includes matter 2 from TANK 5 where a Landowner collective is	Accept in part	14.3
129.37	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Either Amend Table 1 to insert a total nitrogen load for onions in the columns headed 'other soils' and 'Farndon/Omarunui/Te Awa' of 33 and 61 respectively Insert at the end of the fourth paragraph the following: For example for unirrigated land the maximum allowable change per property or farm enterprise is calculated as 32 kg/ha/year minus 3 kg/ha/year times 10 ha = 290 kg per year being the difference between the modelled N loss for dairy farming less the modelled loss for scrub or tree cover. More accurate model data or information specific for the property in question can be used where it is available. And insert the following note into Table 2; The threshold may be calculated using the formula described above with site specific or more accurate model data where this is available. Or Replace Tables 1 and 2 with an alternative framework that ranks land use systems according to relative risk of N loss and establishes consent requirement where the predominant land use (over 50% of the farm or enterprise area) changes from a lower N loss category to a higher N loss category as illustrated in Table 1 in the submission.	Accept in part	14.3
131.8	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Amend so that assessment of nutrient loss for planned land use changes instead utilises percentage-based figures which could then be compared against a range of farm-specific details for the purposes of more accurately assessing changes in	Accept in part	14.3
131.9	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	In relation to the use of OVERSEER "or an alternative model approved by HBRC" clarification is sought on the approval process for determining an appropriate alternative.	Accept	14.3

132.112	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Where Overseer or another HBRC approved system is used to assess/calculate nitrogen losses or nutrient leaching amounts, ensure the associated schedules and other plan provisions (including methods) clearly link the land use activities and LUC leaching rates to achievement of groundwater quality and surface water quality objectives, limits and targets.	Accept in part	14.3
135.27	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 21 as follows: The Council will remedy or mitigate the potential impact of diffuse discharge of nitrogen on freshwater quality objectives by regulating <u>productive land uses, land and water use changes that modelling indicates are likely to result in increased nitrogen loss (modelled on an annual, whole of property or whole of farm enterprise basis) and</u> <u>l</u> . In making decisions on resource consent applications, the Council will take into account: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) whether freshwater quality objectives or targets are being met in the catchment where the activity is to be undertaken; • b) where any relevant TANK Industry Programme or Catchment Collective is in place the extent to which the changed land use activity <u>productive land use activity</u> is consistent with the Industry Programme or Collective outcomes, mitigation measures and timeframes; • c) any mitigation measures required, and timeframes by which they are to be implemented that are necessary to ensure the actual or potential contaminant loss occurring from the property, in combination with other contamination losses in the catchment will be <i>consistent with meeting freshwater quality objectives, including performance in relation to industry good practice, efficient use of nutrients and minimisation of nutrient losses; and will;</i> d) avoid land use change that will result in increased nitrogen loss that contributes to <u>freshwater</u> quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 for dissolved nitrogen not being met. 	Accept in part	14.3
135.52	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete controlled activity Rule TANK 5 in its entirety.	Reject	14.3
135.53	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend restricted discretionary activity Rule TANK 6 as follows: - amend the rule title as follows: <i>Change of Use of Production Land</i> - amend the activity status from restricted discretionary to discretionary; - amend the activity description as follows: <i>The changing of a use of production land to a more intensive land use activity on farm properties or farming enterprises ...</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • - insert a new 'Conditions/Standards/Terms' that reflect the conditions attached to permitted activity regulations in Part 1, Subpart 2 of the NES- F; and • - delete the Matters of Discretion. <i>Or, alternatively, delete this rule and refer to or insert the NES-F regulations (Part 1, Subpart 2 of the NES-F).</i>	Reject	14.3
135.62	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete Schedule 29 in its entirety.	Reject	14.3
140.3	Andrew and Tania	Kerr		Rule TANK 5 and 6 Delete rule regarding 10% land use change as a trigger for consent	Reject	14.3
141.1	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Support in part. This should be 10% of farm area. Also this should be an op on again after every 3 years.	Reject	14.3
142.22	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	For both Rule TANK 5 and TANK 6, add the following to 'Matters for Control/Discretion': 'If water quality limits and targets in Schedule 26 are being met in the catchment, consent applications in that catchment will be considered without public notification and without the need to, obtain written approval of affected persons. Delete the following from TANK 5: Consent applications will generally be considered without notification and without the need to obtain written approval of affected persons.	Accept	14.3
142.28	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Amend Schedule 29: a) Areas of Scrub and tree cover on a Mixed sheep beef beef farm be assessed equally as Mixed sheep Beef and deer land use type b) TN for beef be 22 and TN of Sheep Beef and Deer be 16.	Reject	14.3
142.30	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	I oppose District/Region wide regulatory measures and rules to remediate issues suggested or alerted to by modelling.	Reject	14.3

143.7	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
143.14	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
143.15	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
165.8	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
165.15	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
165.16	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
179.8	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
179.15	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
179.16	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
180.31	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'The Council will remedy or mitigate the potential impact of diffuse discharge of nitrogen on freshwater quality objectives by regulating land and water use changes that modelling indicates are likely to result in increased contaminant loss (modelled on an average annual, whole of farm or collective basis) and in making decisions on resource consent applications, the Council will take into account: ... a) contaminant losses modelled to result from the land use change, in relation to whether freshwater quality objectives or	Reject	14.3

180.55	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 5 - Amendments including incentivising landowners to join collectives, vegetable rotations, assessments for the average annual discharge load over the full duration and including the full sequence of crops and pasture. Specific wording provided in submission.	Accept in part	14.3
180.56	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 6 - Amend condition b) to combined the load allowance per farm to provide greater flexibility for collectives. Specific wording provided in submission.	Accept in part	14.3
180.57	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	TANK 6A - Insert new rule that provides a clear consenting pathway for activities that don't comply with TANK6. The activity status for this should be discretionary.	Accept in part	14.3
180.69	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding definition of 'production land use change' to plan. State single N loss load applicable to all land uses and locations, however if current approach is maintained, update kiwifruit and vegetable rotation numbers and other crops, in accordance with evidence HortNZ will submit at hearing.	Accept in part	14.3
185.64	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
185.65	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
185.66	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
185.67	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
185.68	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
185.69	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

185.70.	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
185.71	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept	14.3
185.72	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
185.73	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
186.64	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
186.65	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
186.66	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
186.67	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
186.68	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
186.69	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
186.70.	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
186.71	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept	14.3
186.72	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
186.73	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
192.16	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Condition TANK 5 (a) should be amended to read: "Any change to a production land use activity commencing after 2 May 2020 that does not result in the annual nitrogen loss increasing".	Accept in part	14.3
193.2	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Change to include modelling on a whole of catchment basis, or to consider mitigations, would provide opportunities for land use change but still retain the maintain or improve overall objective. Cropping rotations need to be considered in their entirety rather than seasonally.	Accept in part	14.3
193.13	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Rule TANK 6 - A per hectare measure should be used	Reject	14.3
194.13	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	The Section 32 Report ⁷ does not include adequate assessment and evaluation of the impacts of the proposed provisions (particularly the assessment of TANK 5 and 6, and Schedule 29) on land uses such as viticulture, and land use changes. The Section 32 Report does not adequately consider the economic impacts of the proposed provisions including the nitrogen	Accept in part	14.3

194.39	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PRWM seeks that 5.10.3.21(d) be amended to differentiate between high and low nitrogen loss land uses. This could be amended through reference to Schedules 29.	Reject	14.3
194.79	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 5 - TANK 5 requires further clarification and/or guidance in terms of what constitutes a 'change to the production land use activity'. This could be achieved by defining 'change of use' or 'land use change' in the Glossary.	Accept in part	14.3
194.80	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 5 - Oppose	Reject	14.3
194.81	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 6 - Further guidance is required throughout PC9 about whether the Conditions/Standards/Terms are intended to be an 'and', 'or' or 'either' etc approach. Generally the rules do not 'cascade' particularly well, and there are several instances where some of the conditions/terms would be better located in the "activity" column instead (i.e. because they describe the circumstances in which the rule applies, rather than its requirements).	Accept in part	14.3
194.82	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 6 - TANK 6 and the related nitrogen loss provisions are amended.	Accept in part	14.3
194.105	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Schedule 29 should be amended (possibly by way of a new table) to set out/define high and low nitrogen loss land uses in order to provide a differential reference for Policies 5.10.3.17(a)(iii)and (iv), 5.10.3.18(c) and 5.10.3.21(d).	Accept in part	14.3
194.106	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Table 2 should refer to TANK Rule 6 and not TANK Rule 5. Amend Table 1 to recognise autumn/winter sheep grazing rotation for all Grape kg/ha/yr soils.	Accept in part	14.3
195.43	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 18 to include references to <u>adverse effects from</u> increased nitrogen loss and <u>reduceable</u> nutrient losses. Tracked changes provided. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.3
195.46	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 21 'Land Use Change and Nutrient Losses' be amended as follows: Land Use Change and Nutrient Losses Nitrogen Management 21. The Council will <u>manage harmful increases of nitrogen to</u> remedy or mitigate the potential impact of diffuse discharge of nitrogen on freshwater quality objectives by regulating land and water use changes that modelling indicates are likely to result in increased nitrogen concentrations in water bodies. loss (modelled on an annual, whole of property or whole of farm enterprise basis); and <u>In making decisions on resource consent applications, the Council will take into account:</u> a) whether freshwater quality objectives or <u>attribute</u> targets are being met in the catchment where the activity is to be undertaken; b) where any relevant TANK Industry Programme or Catchment Collective is in place, the extent to which the changed nitrogen loss from land use activity is consistent with the Industry Programme or Collective outcomes, mitigation measures and timeframes <u>aimed at preventing increased nitrogen concentration degrading water bodies;</u> c) any mitigation measures required, and timeframes by which they are to be implemented that are necessary to ensure the actual or potential contaminant nitrogen loss occurring from the property, in combination with other contamination losses <u>and catchment processes (e.g. attenuation)</u> in the catchment will be consistent with <u>appropriate</u> in meeting freshwater quality objectives, including performance in relation to industry good practice, efficient use of nutrients and minimisation of <u>reduction of reduceable</u> nutrient losses; and will; d) avoid land use change that will result in increased nitrogen loss that contributes to water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 for dissolved nitrogen not being met. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.3

195.83	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 5 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Status – Controlled Activity</p> <p>The changing of a use of production land on farm properties or farming enterprises that are greater than 10 hectares in the TANK catchments pursuant to Section 9(2) RMA and associated non-point source discharges pursuant to Section 15 of the RMA</p> <p><u>The changing of the use of productive land from</u></p> <p>a. <u>any land use to commercial vegetable production or viticulture, or</u></p> <p>b. <u>woody vegetation to farming; or</u></p> <p>c. <u>any land use to dairy farming, that are greater than 50 hectares in the TANK catchments pursuant to Section 9(2) RMA and associated non- point source discharges pursuant to Section 15 of the RMA</u></p> <p>Conditions/Standards/Terms</p> <p>...</p> <p>•The production land is subject to a Catchment Collective Programme meeting the requirements of Schedule 30B by a TANK Catchment Collective which meets the requirements of Schedule 30A.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for Control/Discretion</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. The measures being undertaken by the TANK Landowner Collective in undertaking measures to meet water quality objectives, including how the effect of the new land use activity on<u>is</u> contributing to the water quality objectives is being collectively addressed including by;</p> <p>a) Efficient use of nutrients and minimisation of<u>reduction of reduceable</u> nutrient losses,</p> <p>...</p> <p>Alternatively, that different farm area thresholds be applied for agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, and silviculture systems, and that the threshold for requiring resource consent for pastoral agriculture farming properties be 50ha minimum.</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Accept in part	14.3
195.84	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 6 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Status – Restricted Discretionary Activity</p> <p>The changing of a use of production land on farm properties or farming enterprises that are greater than 10 hectares in the TANK catchments pursuant to Section 9(2) RMA and associated non-point source discharges pursuant to Section 15 of the RMA</p> <p><u>The changing of the use of productive land from</u></p> <p>a. <u>any land use to commercial vegetable production or viticulture, or</u></p> <p>b. <u>woody vegetation to farming; or</u></p> <p>c. <u>any land use to dairy farming,</u></p> <p><u>that are greater than 50 hectares in the TANK catchments pursuant to Section 9(2) RMA and associated non- point source discharges pursuant to Section 15 of the RMA</u></p> <p>Conditions/Standards/Terms</p> <p>a) The activity does not meet the conditions of TANK 5.</p> <p>b) Any change to a production land use activity over more than 10ha of the property or enterprise area commencing after 2 May 2020 that results in the annual nitrogen loss increasing by more than the applicable amount shown in Table 2 in Schedule 29.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for Control/Discretion</p> <p>...</p> <p>4. Any measures required to reduce the actual or potential contaminant loss occurring from the property, taking into account their costs and likely effectiveness and including performance in relation to industry good practice and requirements for;</p> <p>a) Efficient use of nutrients and minimisation of<u>reduction of reduceable</u> nutrient losses,</p> <p>...</p> <p>Alternatively, that different farm area thresholds be applied for agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, and silviculture systems, and that the threshold for requiring resource consent for pastoral agriculture farming properties be 50ha minimum.</p>	Accept in part	14.3

195.142	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 29 be amended as follows: If the use of production land on farm properties or farming enterprises in the TANK catchments changes over more than 10 hectares per property results in intensification of the stock unit rate by more than 10% per 5-year-period in sub-catchments where TN concentration in surfacewater bodies is already in the NOF D-Band, or is at risk of degradation below current state for TN concentration, information may be requested from the landowner or land manager to demonstrate or model the annual Nitrogen loss (using Overseer or SPASMO or alternative model approved by HBRC) in order to; ...	Accept in part	14.3
196.66	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
196.67	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
196.68	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
196.69	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
196.70	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
196.71	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
196.72	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
196.73	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept	14.3
196.74	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
196.75	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
197.10	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Amend existing and include as required new provisions to give effect to the following intent: • B+LNZ seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose that a 'flat rate per hectare' permitted threshold is applied (e.g. 20 - 25kgN/ha/yr) irrespective of land use and land use change, or alternatively an approach based on natural capital (appendix 1). • Any Nitrogen risk threshold should be tailored to the catchment and specific to working towards achieving freshwater values.	Accept in part	14.3
197.15	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Rule TANK 5 - a)Any change to the production land use activity commencing after 2 May 2020 is over more than 10% of the property or farming enterprise area 20ha or 20% of the property whichever is greater. b)The production land is subject to a Catchment Collective Programme meeting the requirements of Schedule 30B by a TANK Catchment Collective which meets the requirements of Schedule 30A <u>or has a Farm Environment Plan which meets the requirements of Schedule 30 (as amended in accordance with this submission).</u>	Reject	14.3
199.8	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
199.15	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3

199.16	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
201.37	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Strongly suggest that this Policy be more directive - to say that resource consents will only be granted where they will not contribute to an exceedance of a limit/failure to meet an objective or jeopardise achievement of a target.	Reject	14.3
201.47	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Add "Mauri" as a critical value for each catchment/sub-catchment. Include the Taruarau and other River tributaries in their own right. Include Karamu tributaries iwth the Paritua Stream as separate from the Karawarewa. Amend existing PC9 policies and rules to promote the achievement of the Freshwater Quality Objectives in Schedule 26.	Reject	14.3
201.48	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend so Schedule 27 does have a regulatory function.	Reject	14.3
204.8	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
204.15	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
204.16	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
208.8	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
208.12	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
208.13	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
210.42	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to provide more direction and clarity	Reject	14.3
210.86	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 5: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM, and to address the issues of clarity and risk noted in our comments. Amend to provide more scope for public notification of proposals to intensify land use and to provide council more scope to decline consent.	Accept in part	14.3
210.87	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 6: Amend to provide more scope for public notification of proposals to intensify land use.	Accept in part	14.3
210.139	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend for clarity	Accept	14.3
211.64	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
211.65	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
211.66	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
211.67	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
211.68	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
211.69	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

211.70.	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
211.71	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
211.72	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
211.73	Brian	McLay		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
213.8	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read “subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change....” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
213.15	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
213.16	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
214.64	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
214.65	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
214.66	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
214.67	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
214.68	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
214.69	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
214.70.	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
214.71	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
214.72	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
214.73	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
215.64	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
215.65	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
215.66	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
215.67	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
215.68	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3

215.69	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
215.70	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
215.71	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
215.72	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
215.73	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
216.8	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Question nitrogen loss being used as a trigger for resource consent to allow a land use change to occur. Land use change should consider and encourage change based on land use suitability and overall environmental impact and against broader benefits / impacts for the area or region, and not on nitrogen loss alone.	Reject	14.3
217.63	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
217.64	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
217.65	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
217.66	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
217.67	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
217.68	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
217.69	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
217.70	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
217.71	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
217.72	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
218.64	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
218.65	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept in part	14.3
218.66	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
218.67	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
218.68	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
218.69	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
218.70	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
218.71	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3

221.68	WT	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 to provide a definition of what a change to production land use is to clarify what the provisions actually relate to.	Accept	14.3
221.69	WT	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
221.70	WT	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
221.71	WT	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
221.72	WT	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
221.73	WT	Scott		Amend Plan Change 9 so that some land use change is enabled by requiring the management of nutrients to be done at the collective level.	Accept in part	14.3
231.3	Peter	Kay		Policy 21 and associated provisions (Rule TANK 1, 2, 5, 6 and Schedule 29) - support with amendments - oppose schedule 29 and seek that it be deleted, seek that catchment community and FEP are retained and seek that land use change restrictions only apply where the change is greater than 20ha or 10% of the property (which ever is greater) over a three year rolling average period.	Accept in part	14.3
238.8	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend so that Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes may manage land use change in accordance with the 2040 timeline for meeting water quality objectives. Amend 21.d to read "subject to Policy 21 a)-c), avoid land use change...." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.3
238.12	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Accept in part	14.3
238.13	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Accept in part	14.3
239.4	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	Delete references to limitations of 10% of land use change. Any limitations for land use change or connected to actual catchment conditions and potential conditions over the medium term.	Accept in part	14.3
241.3	Penny and John	Reynolds		Oppose land use specific Nitrogen loss restrictions. Oppose the 10 ha limit. We seek that Table 1 in Schedule 29 is deleted and propose a "flat rate per hectare" permitted threshold is applied per property respective of land use and land use change.	Reject	14.3
241.4	Penny and John	Reynolds		This policy needs to be clearer and reflect the practical realities of food production. The rule framework needs to be clear as to what circumstances require regulation; with any regulation only applying to certainties where more than minor effects may arise.	Accept in part	14.3
Table 14.4: Stock exclusion						
2.3	Angus	Wall		Support	Reject	14.4
21.11	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 3 - seek that the word 'bed' in TANK 3 & 4 is defined and that the definition used by Horizon s Council is adopted being ' <i>Active bed means the bed of a river that is intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly unvegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material</i> ' Seek that the provision is changed to align with the National Policy Statement for Essential Freshwater Management, specifically that exclusion only apply to waterways greater than 1m wide, the stocking rate of 18su/ha is deleted and that hill country farms are excluded	Reject	14.4
27.1	Richmond	Beetham		Rule TANK 3 - That the permitted activity rule around grazing cattle on land above 15 degrees is removed specifically the 18SU/Ha on a paddock basis Threshold which captures any sort of rotational grazing of cattle on hill country with permanent and intermittent streams.	Reject	14.4
35.79	Colin	Campbell		I oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear (Rule TANK 3, TANK 7). I seek that these are deleted, or amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way. In particular, I seek clarity about what waterways will need to be excluded from stock access.	Accept in part	14.4

35.83	Colin	Campbell		Rule TANK 3 - seek that the word 'bed' in TANK 3 & 4 is defined and that the definition used by Horizons Council is adopted being ' <i>Active bed means the bed of a river that is intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly unvegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material</i> ' Seek that the provision is changed to align with the National Policy Statement for Essential Freshwater Management, specifically that exclusion only apply to waterways greater than 1m wide, the stocking rate of 18su/ha is deleted and that hill country farms are excluded	Reject	14.4
40.11	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Rule TANK 3 - seek that the word 'bed' in TANK 3 & 4 is defined and that the definition used by Horizons Council is adopted being ' <i>Active bed means the bed of a river that is intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly unvegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material</i> ' Seek that the provision is changed to align with the National Policy Statement for Essential Freshwater Management, specifically that exclusion only apply to waterways greater than 1m wide, the stocking rate of 18su/ha is deleted and that hill country farms are excluded	Reject	14.4
50.22	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	We are 95%, and on our way to 100%, stock proofed around waterways. However we can see how demanding the imposition of the proposed rule would be on other farms. We oppose the exclusion of stock proofing waterways at a 15% slope, we think the exclusion should be set at something more practical circa 7-10%, and a longer time period provided for completion. <u>due to the high cost of fencing.</u>	Reject	14.4
80.1	Graeme	Gleeson		Oppose and Amend Rule TANK 3 - disregards farm system management and practice, and it is mischevious in assessing and identifying risk of contaminant loss which makes application of the rule impractical thereby it will force many farmers to seek a consent rather than continue operating as a permitted activity supported by a farm plan and community group.	Accept in part	14.4
83.9	Jim	Galloway		Amend TANK 3 to allow stock to cross 2 times per month across a stream or river.	Reject	14.4
83.10.	Jim	Galloway		Amend the definition of "bed" relevant to TANK 3. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	14.4
83.11	Jim	Galloway		Amend TANK 3 to align with the NPS for Freshwater Management specifically that it only applies to streams over 1m wide and that hill country is excluded.	Accept in part	14.4
85.6	Matthew	Truebridge		Rule TANK 3 - Clarification and some certainty is required that farm access is not compromised by the need for expensive engineered bridges and crossings. I support a more practical approach where a measure of frequency would be far more reasonable. I seek further clarification for this rule. I further seek the me frame to comply with this rule is extended to 2025.	Reject	14.4
89.1	Dave	Read		Please choose the yearly stocking rate and make this explicit in the regulations. It is essential that it is average stocking rate for the whole year NOT stocking rate on any one day.	Reject	14.4
90.3	Sarah	Millington		The TANK Plan needs to require that stock must be excluded from all riparian zones along streams, rivers and lakes, as well as from wetlands.	Reject	14.4
101.2	Greg	Mitchell	Mitchell Dairy Farms Ltd	We support Stock Exclusion	Accept in part	14.4
106.11	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	We think there should be a stronger requirement than what is written.	Reject	14.4
112.11	Ainsley	Harte		Rule TANK 3 - seek that the word 'bed' in TANK 3 & 4 is defined and that the definition used by Horizons Council is adopted being ' <i>Active bed means the bed of a river that is intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly unvegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material</i> ' Seek that the provision is changed to align with the National Policy Statement for Essential Freshwater Management, specifically that exclusion only apply to waterways greater than 1m wide, the stocking rate of 18su/ha is deleted and that hill country farms are excluded	Reject	14.4
114.8	James	Lyons		Rule TANK 3 - seek that the word 'bed' in TANK 3 & 4 is defined and that the definition used by Horizons Council is adopted being ' <i>Active bed means the bed of a river that is intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly unvegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material</i> ' Seek that the provision is changed to align with the National Policy Statement for Essential Freshwater Management, specifically that exclusion only apply to waterways greater than 1m wide, the stocking rate of 18su/ha is deleted and that hill country farms are excluded	Reject	14.4
116.3	Alexander John	Macphee		Rule TANK 3 - Given that it will be 2 to 3 years from now before the proposed Plan Change 9 is finally accepted as law, would it not be more appropriate to specify a period of say 2 or 3 years from the date of acceptance until these rules are to be enforced. It is possible that acceptance may not even occur before 31 may 2023.	Reject	14.4
118.4	Hugo	Beamish		Tank 3 -;Remove limit of 18SU/Ha.	Reject	14.4

119.15	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 4 - Support	Reject	14.4
120.26	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Exclude stock from all wetlands, lakes and rivers and from riparian margins used for fish spawning (specifically including inanga) regardless of slope with minimum setbacks of at least 10 metres.	Reject	14.4
120.27	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Rule TANK 3 - Exclude breakfeeding from all waterbodies regardless of slope.	Reject	14.4
120.28	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Include defined setbacks from water for all stock exclusion provisions	Reject	14.4
120.120.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Support the need to exclude stock from waterways	Accept in part	14.4
120.121	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Policy 22 to be directive and clear that stock will be excluded from all TANK waterbodies and clearly linked to achieving the water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26	Reject	14.4
120.122	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 so that Stock exclusion conditions are included in FEP standards and setback distances from waterbodies are defined	Reject	14.4
120.123	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend to include provisions with respect to break-feeding of stock and setbacks from water, which may need to be more stringent than the regulations.	Reject	14.4
121.1	James	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to take a 'Whole Farm' approach to measuring stock rate intensity, not at individual paddock rates.	Reject	14.4
123.14	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Exclude stock from all wetlands, lakes and riparian margins used for fish spawning (specifically including inanga (Galaxias maculatus)) regardless of slope with minimum setbacks of at least 10 metres. Exclude break feeding from all waterbodies regardless of slope. Include defined setbacks from water for all stock exclusion provisions.	Reject	14.4
123.57	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete and amend as: "To maintain water quality where objectives are met or to meet targets in Schedule 26 and to provide for the values in Schedule X, stock will be excluded from all waterbodies and their margins by 2023" Or words to similar effect	Reject	14.4
123.98	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 3 - Amend Rule 3 to exclude stock from all waterbodies when breakfeeding on pasture or crops on land of any slope. Amend to exclude stock from all wetlands and lakes (regardless of land slope) with a 10m minimum setback from water. Amend to exclude stock from all riparian margins use for spawning by indigenous fish particularly inanga. Amend to require a minimum setback from all waterbodies subject to stock exclusion. Include stock exclusion from all outstanding waterbodies.	Reject	14.4
123.99	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 4 - Amend matters of discretion to include: -Breakfeeding of crops or pasture on land of any slope. -Wetlands and lakes. -Priority catchment in Schedule 28. -Catchments where water quality targets are not met in Schedule 26. -Waterbodies with riparian margins used for fish spawning (e.g., inanga). -Appropriate setback distances to manage effects. Outstanding waterbodies	Reject	14.4
124.8	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 22(c) - Support	Reject	14.4
124.32	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Delete all provisions relating to the exclusion of stock from lakes, rivers, streams and wetlands.	Reject	14.4
127.20.	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Exclude stock from all wetlands, lakes and rivers and from riparian margins used for fish spawning (specifically including inanga) regardless of slope with minimum setbacks of at least 10 metres. Include defined setbacks from water for all stock exclusion provisions.	Reject	14.4
127.21	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Exclude breakfeeding from all waterbodies regardless of slope.	Reject	14.4

131.10.	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Amend Change 9 so to use digital mapping information to identify paddocks that fall under Rule 3	Reject	14.4
131.13	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Amend Change 9 so to use digital mapping information to identify paddocks that fall under Rule 3	Reject	14.4
140.5	Andrew and Tania	Kerr		Rule TANK 1 - Stock exclusion rules should match the new national standards.	Accept	14.4
141.8	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Opposes TANK 3. More thought is needed.	Accept in part	14.4
146.3	Rebecca	Davies	New Zealand Defence Force	Rule TANK 3 - NZDF seeks a new permitted activity rule and standards be inserted to provide for temporary take, use and discharge of water for water treatment units. Suggested wording is as follows: <u>The take, use and discharge to land or surface water for use of water treatment units.</u> <u>a) The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of the river flow at the point of take at any time.</u> <u>b) The take, use and discharge must be conducted by the New Zealand Defence Force.</u> c) The take must not occur for more than ten consecutive days	Reject	14.4
180.54	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 3 - Add definition of 'active formed channel' to plan	Reject	14.4
195.47	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 22 to read: a)assessment of sources, scale and significance of adverse effects of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen and bacterial inputs to the waterbody that could effectively or efficiently be reduced, <u>where these are reduceable</u> , by stock exclusion, bridging or culverting; ... c) whether stock exclusion is <u>impracticable</u> in the circumstances including in relation to; ... <u>(iv) reliance on stock access to waterways for livestock drinking, where water supply by reticulation or dams is not possible or is impracticable.</u> <u>(v) terrain is difficult to fence due to cliffs, dense vegetation, or hard gravel/rock ground</u> <u>(vi) where the waterway is near a boundary; or the waterway cuts across paddocks; meaning that land then becomes isolated and unusable</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.4
195.81	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 3 be deleted OR amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms (a) The entry into or over the bed of any river lake or wetland by cattle, deer and pigs is a permitted activity provided that; (i) stock are at a stocking rate less than 18su/ha in the paddock adjacent to the river the stock have access to; and or; (ii) <u>Alternative measures are taken to prevent stock from causing bank erosion or sediment losses to water, such as permanent or temporary stock-proof fencing, and providing reticulated water for stock.</u> (ii) The slope over 60% or more of the paddock is greater than 15 degrees of slope. (ii) <u>stock shall not be excluded from any type of wet, damp or boggy ground that is not a wetland, or that might incidentally occur on farm land as a result of land compaction for normal farming operations, nor any ditch, drain, silt-trap, pit, bund, stockwater dam, or treatment pond associated with farming operations.</u> (b) Rivers that are crossed by formed stock races are bridged or culverted by 31 May 2023 . (c) The entry into or over the bed of any river, lake or wetland by cattle, deer and pigs not permitted by condition (a) is a permitted activity until 31 May 2023 .. (d) For rivers, conditions (a) to (c) apply only to rivers with an active formed channel, <u>except that for rivers and streams with an intermittently flowing waterway, stock shall be permitted to cross the dried up bed at times when the waterway is</u>	Reject	14.4
195.82	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 4 be deleted or alternatively amended as follows: Matters for Control/Discretion 1. An assessment of sources, scale and significance of adverse effects of sediment, phosphorus, nitrogen and bacterial inputs to the waterbody that could be effectively or efficiently reduced, <u>where these are reduceable</u> , by stock exclusion,	Reject	14.4
197.14	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Rule TANK 3 - B+LNZ seek that the word 'bed' in TANK 3 & 4 is defined and included in Chapter 9 Glossary: Bed means the bed of a river that is intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly unvegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.	Reject	14.4
210.43	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to make more directive and include reference to schedule 26 targets and timeframes.	Reject	14.4

210.84	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 3: Amend to clearly prohibit dairy cattle access to rivers, lakes and streams; and to prohibit all stock from accessing wetlands. Exclude stock from all fish spawning riparian areas and estuarine environments. Also address the lack of clarity around ephemeral rivers and the definition of active formed channel, and amend to give effect to the NPSEM.	Reject	14.4
210.85	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 4: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM. Amend to give more discretion to council over stock type, waterbody type, habitat type, and other relevant land use activities and natural values.	Reject	14.4
231.6	Peter	Kay		Seek stock exclusion milestone be amended to be 2 years after the plan becomes operative. Also, the output to be reported on for nutrient management may not be necessary, won't always need a nutrient plan, up to the group to isolate it and remedy the problem. Seek deletion of reporting on management unless environmental outcomes are not being achieved.	Reject	14.4
239.3	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	Change stock exclusion requirements to land less than 10 degrees in slope.	Reject	14.4
241.8	Penny and John	Reynolds		Rule TANK 3 - We support requirements to avoid adverse effects on waterways caused by stock but seek to have rules amended to provide clarity eg the definition of the word "bed" and be practical when implemented.	Reject	14.4
Table 14.5: Farm Plans, Industry Programme and Catchment Collectives						
1.1	Ben	Goodwin		I think that a provision needs to be made for farms on the boundary of two catchments, such that the rules of catchment in which the majority of a farming enterprise is in, should apply to the whole farm and the rules of the minor part don't apply. This would reduce the confusion and cost if rules differ from catchment to catchment.	Accept	14.5
8.6	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 23	Accept	14.5
8.7	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 24	Accept	14.5
8.8	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 25	Accept in part	14.5
8.21	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Rule TANK 1	Accept	14.5
8.25	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Industry Programme of Catchment Collective Programme	Accept	14.5
8.26	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Schedule 31 Ngarururo River	Accept in part	14.5
8.27	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Schedule 31 Ngaruroro Groundwater	Accept in part	14.5
14.13	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Schedule 30 - Modify Schedule 30 to better align its requirements to work with Industry Programmes, particularly Sustainable Winegrowing NZ.	Accept	14.5
15.18	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridim Trust	Amend Schedule 30 - Modify Schedule 30 to better align its requirements to work with Industry Programmes, particularly Sustainable Winegrowing NZ.	Accept in part	14.5
18.19	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
20.18	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Schedule 30 - Modify Schedule 30 to better align its requirements to work with Industry Programmes, particularly Sustainable Winegrowing NZ.	Accept in part	14.5
21.8	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 1 - The preparation of a Farm Environmental Plan must not become an expensive, beauracratc document that is a barrier to getting them done. However there must be some consistency around targets and goals in each FEP that meet the objectives of TANK. Farmers should be involved in the preparation of their own Farm Plan and 'own' the document.	Accept	14.5

21.10.	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 2 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5
23.57	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
23.58	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
23.59	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
23.60.	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Rule TANK 1 - Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Reject	14.5
23.61	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
23.62	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
23.63	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
26.19	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
28.19	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
29.13	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Change heading to read "Industry Programmes and Catchment Collectives" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept	14.5
29.14	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Change all "Landowner Collective" references in the Plan to "Catchment Collective".	Reject	14.5
29.37	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	TANK 1 - Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept	14.5

29.38	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	TANK 2 - Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept	14.5
29.49	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
29.51	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 24.d to read "including, where appropriate, sample auditing of member properties." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	14.5
29.59	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend reference to farm plan to read "...development of a Farm Environment Plan....;"	Reject	14.5
29.60.	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	The reference to Schedule 29 as the milestone for stock exclusion and sediment mitigation should refer to Schedule 28.	Accept	14.5
29.61	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	The reference to "actual and reasonable" should be capitalised as this is a defined term in the Glossary.	Accept	14.5
32.57	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
32.58	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
32.59	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
32.60.	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
32.61	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
32.62	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
32.63	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
34.19	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
35.57	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
35.58	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

35.59	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
35.60	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
35.61	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
35.62	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
35.63	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
35.80	Colin	Campbell		Rule TANK 1 - The requirement for the Farm Environmental Plan be prepared by a person with qualifications be removed and propose that farmers are able to prepare their own plans. Farmers should be involved in the preparation of their own Farm Plan and 'own' the document.	Accept	14.5
35.82	Colin	Campbell		Rule TANK 2 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5
37.57	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
37.58	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
37.59	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
37.60	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
37.61	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
37.62	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
37.63	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.57	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.58	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.59	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.60	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.61	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.62	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.63	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
38.75	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Plan Change 9 so that Farm Plans are an add on to the NZ Gap or Global Gap System.	Accept in part	14.5
39.19	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
40.8	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Rule TANK 1 - The requirement for the Farm Environmental Plan be prepared by a person with the professional qualifications to prepare such a plan be removed and propose that farmers are able to prepare their own plan. Farmers should be involved in the preparation of their own Farm Plan and 'own' the document.	Accept	14.5
40.10	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Rule TANK 2 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5

41.19	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
43.57	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
43.58	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
43.59	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
43.60	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
43.61	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
43.62	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
43.63	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.57	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.58	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.59	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.60	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.61	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.62	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
44.63	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
46.11	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States accomplishments of PC9: All farmers and growers will need to either participate in local "catchment collectives" to manage their nutrient loss and soil erosion issues according to HBRC-approved plans, or submit individual Farm Environment Plans for review, approval and monitoring.	Accept	14.5
48.19	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
49.57	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
49.58	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
49.59	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

49.60.	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
49.61	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
49.62	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
49.63	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
49.74	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that the annually done GAP compliance which includes irrigation is recognised.	Accept in part	14.5
50.10.	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Support the proposed self-governing framework of catchment collectives. Devolving responsibility to stakeholder groups to operate within defined guidelines is an excellent initiative. Each catchment will have it's own characteristics and can tailor a plan to meet those characteristics (rather than one size fitting all). We are confident that self-governance, including peer pressure, will produce superior outcomes in a more cost effective manner for each farmer.	Accept in part	14.5
50.11	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Do not support the obligation for each collective catchment FEP or individual FEP to be approved, annual reporting and subsequently audited (3.1). This adds an unnecessary layer of cost. We believe it should operate in the same manner as Workplace Safety Plans which impose a serious responsibility on farmers to construct and to adopt continuous improvement practices. Plans/records should be able to be demanded by HBRC in the event of breach of Plan rules or the investigation of a potential breach.	Reject	14.5
51.14	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	This wording should be changed to: Schedule 30 Catchment Collective, Industry Group and Farm Environment Plan. The TANK plan provides for a Catchment Collective or Industry Group The current heading confuses the message and lacks consistency with the following text.	Accept in part	14.5
53.19	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
54.57	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
54.58	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
54.59	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
54.60.	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
54.61	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
54.62	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
54.63	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
58.19	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to include reference that these timeframes may change as a result of the NPS-FM changes	Reject	14.5
58.22	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend so that the dates and timeframes within this comply with any new NPS-FM changes.	Accept in part	14.5
61.57	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
61.58	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
61.59	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

61.60.	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
61.61	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
61.62	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
61.63	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.4	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	14.5
62.57	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.58	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.59	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.60.	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.61	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.62	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
62.63	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
67.8	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	Amend TANK 1 - land size to be increased to 50ha	Reject	14.5
68.19	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
69.57	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
69.58	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
69.59	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
69.60.	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
69.61	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
69.62	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
69.63	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
71.57	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
71.58	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
71.59	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
71.60.	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

71.61	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
71.62	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
71.63	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.57	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.58	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.59	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.60	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.61	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.62	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
72.63	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.57	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.58	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.59	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.60	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.61	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.62	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
73.63	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
76.19	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives.	Accept in part	14.5
77.57	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
77.58	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
77.59	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
77.60	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

77.61	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
77.62	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
77.63	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.57	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.58	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.59	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.60	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.61	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.62	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
79.63	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
81.19	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the	Accept in part	14.5
83.1	Jim	Galloway		Supports catchment catchment collectives to provide a path for farming without having to obtain a consent	Accept	14.5
83.2	Jim	Galloway		That HBRC to commit funds from the general rate to fund these (Subsections (4)-(5)) functions of Catchment collectives	Accept in part	14.5
83.7	Jim	Galloway		Amend Change 9 so that farmers can prepare their own FEPs and not have to use a professionally qualified person	Accept in part	14.5
83.8	Jim	Galloway		Rule TANK 1 - Amend Change 9 so that the minimum area to need a Farm Environment Plan to be lifted to 50ha	Reject	14.5
84.19	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be	Accept in part	14.5
85.2	Matthew	Truebridge		Rule TANK 1 - support that farmers are provided a Permitted Activity pathway and are able to continue to farm without requiring a Resource Consent.	Accept	14.5
85.8	Matthew	Truebridge		Support Schedule 30, Catchment collectives and farm environmental plans.	Accept in part	14.5
86.57	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

86.58	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
86.59	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
86.60	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
86.61	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
86.62	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
86.63	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
87.18	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be	Accept in part	14.5
88.18	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
91.56	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
91.57	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
91.58	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
91.59	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
91.60	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

91.61	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
91.62	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
93.1	Brent	Paterson		A new catchment governance structure supported by HBRC formed by the chairpersons from each catchment group.	Reject	14.5
94.19	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
95.57	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
95.58	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
95.59	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
95.60	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
95.61	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
95.62	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
95.63	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.57	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.58	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.59	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.60	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.61	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.62	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
96.63	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
97.59	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
97.60	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

97.61	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
97.62	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
97.63	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
97.64	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
97.65	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.23	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Rule TANK 1 - questions how do we accommodate those growers who extensively crop right across the Heretaunga plains and by good practice rotate crops frequently to maintain productivity etc . How are farm plans in those instances expected to be managed, as multiple owners are involved, and the definition of farming enterprise requires common ownership.	Accept in part	14.5
99.83	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.84	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.85	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.86	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.87	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.88	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
99.89	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
100.4	Stephanie	Murphy	Hawke's Bay Airport	Rule TANK 1 - Due to the broad definition of "production land", and "farming enterprises" there is potential for HBAL's land to be considered "production land" and thus when HBAL comes to develop this land in the future, resource consents to be required under the relevant "Use of Production Land" rules. This was unlikely to be the intent of these rules, particularly given the location of the site in the lower Ahuriri Catchment and given that any discharges in this area are to	Accept in part	14.5
101.3	Greg	Mitchell	Mitchell Dairy Farms Ltd	We support Council working with Catchment collectives	Accept	14.5
101.4	Greg	Mitchell	Mitchell Dairy Farms Ltd	Support this, subject to clear costs of what these catchment groups can charge members.	Reject	14.5
102.19	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives.	Accept in part	14.5
103.13	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
104.57	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
104.58	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
104.59	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
104.60	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
104.61	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
104.62	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

104.63	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.57	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.58	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.59	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.60	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.61	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.62	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
105.63	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
106.15	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	We agree with the sentiment of schedule 30 but do not think that the timing of submission and then audit (which may not capture all landowners) will catch infractions early enough Relief sought: We would like auditing to be more rigorous and more detail to be provided on this.	Accept in part	14.5
107.19	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
109.5	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Structure PC9 rules to account for differences in farm size. Providing rules which allow graduated requirements by farm size allow these rules to provide the biggest positive impact from a smaller number of farms which have the greatest overall environmental impact; thus, making these rules more efficient. (TANK 1, TANK 2)	Reject	14.5
109.9	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 1 - Seek that TANK 1 is modified as per the suggested TANK 1, TANK 1A, TANK 1B which identify conditions for 'permitted', 'controlled', and 'restricted discretionary' classifications. Details of amendments in submission.	Reject	14.5
109.10	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 2 - seek that TANK 2 Rules, and the proposed headings of the rules to be modified. This will align the headings of section 6.10 with the table headings of the previous sections. Amendments outlined in submission.	Reject	14.5
109.17	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Seek that a Schedule 30a be created which defines Farm Environment Plans for farms under 200 ha in area differently. Our expectation is that this request will reduce the complexity and confusion of how the 1100 farms under 200ha can meet Farm Environment Plans which meet the condition of a 'Permitted' rule.	Accept in part	14.5
110.57	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
110.58	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
110.59	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
110.60	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
110.61	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
110.62	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

110.63	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
112.8	Ainsley	Harte		Rule TANK 1 - The Farm Environmental Plan be prepared by a person with professional qualifications be removed and that farmers are able to prepare their own plan. Farmers should be involved in the preparation of their own Farm Plan and 'own' the document.	Accept	14.5
112.10.	Ainsley	Harte		Rule TANK 2 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5
114.5	James	Lyons		Rule TANK 1 - The requirement for the Farm Environmental Plan to be prepared by a person with qualifications be removed and propose that farmers are able to prepare their own plans. Farmers should be involved in the preparation of their own Farm Plan and 'own' the document.	Accept	14.5
114.7	James	Lyons		Rule TANK 2 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5
116.2	Alexander John	Macphee		Rule TANK 1 and 2 - strongly support these rules as written.	Accept in part	14.5
116.8	Alexander John	Macphee		The overriding goal was to produce a Land Management Plan that was outcome based. It is imperative that this proposal be accepted and put in place. It is also important that there is room for its format to be modified in the future, as it will not be until it is activated and operating that it can be tested.	Accept in part	14.5
116.9	Alexander John	Macphee		The minimum flow for the Maraekakaho River has been raised. There has been no consultation on this and no reason given. It should be restored to the original level	Reject	14.5
119.13	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 1 - Support	Accept in part	14.5
119.14	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 2 - support	Accept in part	14.5
120.25	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Rule TANK 1 - Require farm plans for all farms >4ha in the TANK catchments	Accept in part	14.5
120.30.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Rule TANK 2 - Regulate and manage all point source discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 within the life of the plan	Reject	14.5
120.101	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend so that Farm Environment Plans are mandatory for all production land uses in exceedance of 4 hectares.	Reject	14.5
120.102	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Rule TANK 1 - Amend so that land uses in catchments with identified water quality issues are regulated activities	Accept in part	14.5
120.103	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 so that it does not permit the discharge of contaminants to water from land use (TANK 1)	Accept in part	14.5
120.113	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Introduce an element of discretion (e.g., through consenting pathways) into the Farm Environment Plan process	Reject	14.5
120.114	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 so that no contaminant loss is acceptable	Reject	14.5
120.115	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	All groundwater must be considered when considering the effects of land use on waterbodies.	Accept	14.5
120.116	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Management of land use activities (and land use change) must be clearly linked to the water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26	Accept	14.5

120.117	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Ambitious but reasonable timeframes for regulating activities must be linked to a clear improvement pathway to maintain and achieve the water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 and to resolve the water quality issues in Schedule 28.	Accept	14.5
120.119	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Schedule 30 is unenforceable	Accept in part	14.5
122.57	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
122.58	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
122.59	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
122.60	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
122.61	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
122.62	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
122.63	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
123.13	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Control the use of production land for farming in all other catchments to maintain water quality.	Accept in part	14.5
123.15	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Require farm plans for all farms >10ha in the TANK catchments.	Reject	14.5
123.58	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete policies 23 and 24	Reject	14.5
123.59	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete policy 25– already included in policy 17 relief	Reject	14.5
123.60	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Oppose. If a catchment collective or industry programme are included as methods for implementing PC9 then this policy will be needed in some form and should require resource consent application and the Council should take enforcement action.	Accept	14.5
123.61	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete Policy 27 and reframe into associated other policy relief	Reject	14.5
123.96	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 1 - Amend Rule 1 so that the use of productive land for farming is a restricted discretionary activity in priority catchments (with water quality issues as specified in Schedule 28) or where water quality targets are not being met. Amend to include the matters of discretion in Rule 2 and include additional provisions for audit and review of all farm plans (including catchment collectives and industry programmes if retained).	Reject	14.5
123.97	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 2 - Amend Rule 2 so that the use of productive land for farming that is not in priority catchments (with water quality issues as specified in Schedule 28) or where water quality objectives in Schedule 26 are being met is controlled. Amend to include additional provisions for audit and review of all farm plans (including catchment collectives and industry programmes if retained) in the matters of control for Rule 2.	Reject	14.5
123.100	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 1 and 2 - Amend to discretionary activity for priority catchments in Schedule 28 and where water quality targets in Schedule 26 are not being met.	Reject	14.5
123.147	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	This devolves responsibility to a third party to manage environmental effects in a nonregulatory framework. This is uncertain and inappropriate.	Accept in part	14.5
124.31	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	2.2. h) - Amend clause h) to read: <i>in the Karamu and Lake Poukawa Catchments; the identification ...</i>	Accept	14.5
126.21	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Re-word the header of Policy 27 as a non-regulatory Method to read: <u>The Council will work collectively with industry groups, landowners, water permit holders, tangata whenua, and other stakeholders to prepare and fund an implementation plan for PC9.</u> Amend Policy 27 so that the timeframes in Table 1 are re-worded and merged into proposed Policies 11 [Riparian land management], 14 [wetland and lake management], 20 [sediment control], 21 [land use change and nutrient losses], 22 [stock exclusion] and 27 [timeframes: water and ecosystem quality]	Reject	14.5

126.28	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Rule TANK 1 and 2 - Re-write TANK1 and TANK2 to accommodate the direction set out in new Policy 17A and amended Policy 17. Specifically, the rule hierarchy might be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permitted activity rule - Properties • Controlled activity rule - Farming enterprises that are located in 'Low' or 'Long term' priority catchments; • Restricted discretionary activity rule - Farming enterprises that are located in 'High' or 'Medium' priority catchments • Discretionary activity rule – land use change 	Reject	14.5
127.19	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Require farm plans for all farms >4ha in the TANK catchments.	Reject	14.5
128.2	Mike	Connor		Concerned with the arbitrary area of 10 ha that I understand has been proposed as the maximum area of land that can have its use changed to a crop that has a higher N loss before triggering requirement for a Resource Consent. I propose that this area be determined as a % of the property size say 10%.	Reject	14.5
129.38	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	In section B 2.2a (re-worded slightly) Managing contaminant losses (especially sediment, nutrients and bacteria) to waterways including through the efficient use of nutrients and incorporating industry good management practice (GMP), especially when carrying out land disturbance activities in relation to critical contaminant source areas.	Accept in part	14.5
129.43	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Overseer - Insert meaning: Overseer means a set of models used to model nutrient flows and Green House Gas emissions to the farm boundary and down to 60cm and which is the Overseer model version publicly available on the Overseer.org website	Reject	14.5
131.2	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Supports encouraging the establishment of catchment collectives	Accept	14.5
131.3	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Amend to include a clear checklist of more definitive and detailed list of requirements to be met for establishing collectives alongside more detailed information on annual performance tracking and reporting requirements.	Accept in part	14.5
131.4	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Amend to expand the list of information to be included in an FEP to provide a detailed prescriptive list. Suggestions for additional information requirements provided.	Accept in part	14.5
131.5	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Farm Environment Plans - amend to state specific qualifications for persons preparing and / or auditing FEPs	Reject	14.5
131.6	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Amend Change 9 to include requirements similar to Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 for Certified Farm Environment Planner	Accept in part	14.5
132.103	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Require properties within TANK catchments, including sensitive catchments (Other than in the Heretaunga Plains unconfined aquifer area, where FEPs are required for intensive vegetable production on areas of 2 hectares or greater), that are 4 hectares or larger, to develop and implement FEPs, and regulate productive land use where there are identified water quality issues or water quality objectives are not being met (i.e., targets apply).	Reject	14.5
135.28	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 23 as follows. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • d) support catchment and farm scale decision making to meet freshwater <u>quality</u> objectives and encourage local solutions and innovative and flexible responses to water quality issues: 	Accept in part	14.5

135.29	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Policy 24 as follows: <i>The Council will continue to work with landowners, industry groups and other stakeholders to manage land and water use activities so that they meet objectives for freshwater/aquatic ecosystems by:</i> <i>a) further supporting the development of Industry Programmes that contribute to meeting applicable freshwater <u>quality</u> objectives and that;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>(i) identify practices that contribute to meeting applicable freshwater <u>quality</u> objectives;</i> • <i>(ii) specify timeframes for completion or adoption of measures to <u>manage</u> mitigate contaminant losses;</i> • <i>(iii) ensure individual performance under an Industry Programme is monitored;</i> • <i>(iv) provide annual reports to the Council on progressive implementation of measures identified in Industry Programmes established under Schedule 30 and progress towards meeting applicable <u>freshwater quality</u> objectives for water quality;</i> • <i>(v) promote adoption of good industry practice;</i> • <i>(vi) ensure that Industry Programmes are consistent with the requirements of Schedule 30;</i> <p><i>b) supporting landowners to establish Catchment Collectives to develop and implement environmental management plans that contribute to meeting applicable freshwater <u>quality</u> objectives and that;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>(i) identify and adopt measures at a property scale and collectively with other land managers that reduce contaminant losses or remedy or mitigate the effects of land uses on freshwater objectives;</i> • <i>(ii) specify timeframes for completion or adoption of measures to <u>reduce</u> mitigate contaminant losses;</i> • <i>(iii) ensure individual performance under a catchment collective is monitored;</i> • <i>(iv) provide annual reports to the Council on progressive implementation of measures identified in landowner collectives established under Schedule 30 and progress towards meeting applicable <u>freshwater quality</u> objectives for water quality;</i> • <i>(v) promote adoption of good agricultural practice;</i> • <i>(vi) ensure programmes prepared by a collective are consistent with the requirements of Schedule 30;</i> <p><i>c) Approving any Landowner Collective or Industry Programme developed under Schedule 30;</i> <i>d) Auditing Landowner Collective or Industry Programmes prepared and approved under Schedule 30 including auditing of member properties.</i></p>	Reject	14.5
135.30	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 25 as notified.	Accept in part	14.5
135.31	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Policy 26 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>b) (i) require the development of a farm environment plan for that property within 6 months or;</i> 	Reject	14.5
135.32	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Delete Policy 27 (including Table 1) in its entirety. <i>The Council will develop an implementation plan for this Plan Change with industry groups, landowners, water permit holders, tangata whenua, and other stakeholders to ensure that the land owners and lease holders are engaged in industry or landowner collective programmes or have prepared farm environmental plans within the timeframes in Schedule 28 and to ensure reporting (as specified in Schedule 30) on the milestones in Table 1 below.</i> Table 1: Milestones and Timeframes</p>	Reject	14.5
135.50	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend permitted activity Rule TANK 1 by changing the land area used within the rule from 10ha, to the land areas that apply to different farming activities under the NES-F (Regulation 8) and to which FFPs will be required by way of regulation in the near future (section 271D of the RMAA).	Reject	14.5
135.51	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend controlled activity Rule TANK 2 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • - amend the activity status from controlled to restricted discretionary; • - change the land area used within the rule from 10ha, to the land areas that apply to different farming activities under the NES-F (Regulation 8) and to which FFPs will be required by way of regulation in the near future (section 271D of the RMAA); and • - amend Matter for Control/Discretion 1 as follows: <p>1. The freshwater water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 for</p>	Reject	14.5

135.59	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain new Conditions (f) to (i) of Rule 7 as notified, while making the following amendment in Conditions (f)(i) and (i)(i): ... Farm Environment <u>Plan</u> , Catchment Collective <u>Plan</u> or <u>Industry Programme</u> prepared in accordance with Schedule 30.	Reject	14.5
135.63	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Schedule 30 as notified, but make amendments throughout the schedule to ensure that consistent terminology, as used in PPC9, is being used.	Accept in part	14.5
135.68	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain the definition of 'Farm Environment Plan' as notified.	Accept	14.5
140.1	Andrew and Tania	Kerr		Support for Catchment groups, to set up and to operate, and to encourage the, to come together to share ideas and works closely with HBRC	Accept	14.5
140.2	Andrew and Tania	Kerr		Support the effective operation of catchment groups to ensure they operate to their full potential	Accept in part	14.5
141.2	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Disagree in part.	Accept in part	14.5
142.20	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Strongly supports Policies 23, 24 and 25	Accept	14.5
142.21	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Strongly supports Policy 26. Define enforcement measures in Policy 26	Accept in part	14.5
143.17	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
165.19	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
179.19	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
180.4	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	All references to 'catchment collectives' should be amended to refer more broadly to 'collectives' and any other necessary changes be made to ensure that collective groups are enabled and recognised at any and every scale they form at.	Reject	14.5

180.32	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'The Council will support the establishment and operation of Industry Programmes and Catchment landowner Collectives and: a) ensure any relevant information or expertise for making sustainable land management decisions is available to land managers; b) support development and use of catchment scale models that assist in identification and management of critical source areas; c) support catchment collective and farm scale decision making to meet freshwater objectives and encourage local solutions and innovative and flexible responses to water quality issues;...	Reject	14.5
180.33	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to more accurately reflects the functional capability of industry programmes to better reflect how industry programmes, such as GAP work in practice, so that those industry schemes can be used by growers to satisfy the farm planning requirements of this proposed plan. Specific wording provided in submission.	Accept in part	14.5
180.34	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: Where individuals are members of a Catchment Collective or Industry Programme but do not undertake their activity in accordance with the approved plan prepared in accordance with Schedule 30, or do not follow the agreed terms of membership the Council will; a) provide a conflict resolution service; b) where an individual is no longer, or is deemed through conflict resolution processes not to be, a member the Council will; ... If a property/enterprise owner is not a member of a landowner collective or industry programme individual is no longer, or is deemed through conflict resolution processes not to be, a member the Council will;	Reject	14.5
180.35	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Move table to Schedule 30, and then delete remainder of policy in its entirety	Reject	14.5
180.53	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 1 - Amend by replacing (throughout plan) terms farm property/farming enterprises with term 'farm.	Accept	14.5
180.70.	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by redrafting and splitting out requirements for landowner collectives and industry programmes. Whose responsibility it is for completing farm plans is made explicitly clear.	Accept in part	14.5
185.57	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
185.58	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
185.59	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
185.60.	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
185.61	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
185.62	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
185.63	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.57	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.58	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.59	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.60.	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.61	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.62	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
186.63	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
192.4	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	The provisions relating to Industry Programmes should be amended so that the requirements in the Plan Change align with existing and established industry programmes such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

192.5	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	The provisions relating to Industry Programmes should be amended so that the requirements in the Plan Change align with existing and established industry programmes such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
192.6	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	The provisions relating to Industry Programmes should be amended so that the requirements in the Plan Change align with existing and established industry programmes such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
194.8	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	May impose requirements for farm plans that are inappropriate for viticulture (or impose requirements that are disproportionate to level of nutrient loss associated with that activity, and go beyond the requirements for 'freshwater farm plans' under Part 9A of the RMA.	Accept in part	14.5
194.15	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PC9 is amended to accommodate or streamline the requirements in PC9 to prepare 'Farm Environment Plans and the requirements under Part 9A RMA to prepare 'Freshwater Farm Plans' under Part 9A of the RMA.	Accept in part	14.5
194.40	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend PC9 to use one term consistently throughout PC9, and add appropriate definitions. For example, it would be beneficial to add 'Catchment Collective' and 'Industry Group' to the Glossary. Likewise it would be beneficial to add 'Catchment Collective Programme' and 'Industry Programme' to the Glossary either as standalone terms or incorporated within the definition of Farm Environment Plan.	Accept in part	14.5
194.41	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Clarification on how/when FEP's can/will be required. Where possible this needs to be consistent with any applicable requirements to prepare a FMP under new Part 9A RMA. Amendments to the policy so that it is not confined to land owners and instead could apply where the leaseholder or operator of a Programme or Collective.	Accept in part	14.5
194.42	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Table 1 of 5.10.3.27 should be amended to provide a consistent and comprehensive approach to milestones and timeframes. The timeframe for the implementation plan to be developed should be set out in an approach similar to that of POL TT16 of the RRMP.	Reject	14.5
194.78	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 1 and 2 - Clarification on how/when FEP's can/will be required.	Accept	14.5
194.107	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Schedule 30, Section B, 2.3 should be amended to differentiate between high and low contaminant and nitrogen loss land uses. This could be amended through reference to Schedule 29 (possible consequential amendment to differentiate between high and low nitrogen loss land uses). Further clarification is sought on how the Farm Environment Plans will work in practice and be implemented/required.	Accept in part	14.5
194.108	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Further clarification sought on how/if additional figures are to be added to Schedule 31. Clarification on how the interim allocation limit of 90 million cubic meters aligns with allocation limits in Schedule 31.	Accept	14.5
194.109	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PRWM considers that a similar policy to Policy TT11 in the RRMP could be applicable to PC9, which provides a methodology for determining whether (or the extent to which) a groundwater take should be treated as hydraulically connected to surface water bodies and thus subject to the same minimum flow restrictions.	Reject	14.5
195.1	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Supports Farm Environment Plans, but not if they are part of a permitted activity requirement applicable to all farms over 10ha without good reason.	Accept in part	14.5
195.2	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend provisions for Catchment Collectives to align with best practice in community catchment management.	Accept in part	14.5
195.48	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 23 to read: a) ensure any relevant information or expertise for making sustainable land management decisions is available to land managers, resource consent holders, and water resource users who are part of Industry Programmes and Catchment Collectives; b) support local investigation and water monitoring programmes where information gaps exist necessary for Industry Programmes and Catchment Collectives; ... e) work with water permit holders and discharge consent holders to encourage and support establishment of catchment collectives... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.5

195.49	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>Amend Policy 24 to read:</p> <p>b) (i) identify and adopt measures at a property scale and collectively with other land managers, <u>consent holders and water resource users</u> that reduce contaminant losses or remedy or mitigate the effects of land use on freshwater objectives;</p> <p>...</p> <p>e) <u>establishing a community catchment group representative governance body manage the functioning of catchment collectives and provide administrative support for these and provide recommendations for future plan reviews to facilitate these duties.</u></p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	14.5
195.50.	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>Amend Policy 26 to read:</p> <p>aa) <u>aim to achieve compliance through Catchment Collective or Industry Programme rules in the first instance</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>c) take appropriate enforcement action <u>where all the processes above have been exhausted.</u></p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	14.5
195.51	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>Amend Policy 27 to be consistent with the NES (Stock Exclusion) 2020, further define wetland, and include dates from <u>when the plan is operative. Specific changes provided.</u></p>	Accept in part	14.5
195.79	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>Amend TANK1 to refer to <u>50</u> hectares</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Amend TANK 1 so that different farm area thresholds be applied for agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, and silviculture systems, and that the threshold for requiring Farm Environment Plans and Catchment Collectives for pastoral agriculture be 50ha, with appropriate Permitted Activity Conditions specified in the Plan.</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	14.5
195.80.	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>Amend TANK2 to refer to <u>50</u> hectares</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Amend TANK 2 so that different farm area thresholds be applied for agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, and silviculture systems, and that the threshold for requiring resource consent for pastoral agriculture farming properties be 50ha minimum.</p> <p>And that the following amendment be made:</p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for Control/Discretion</p> <p>1. a) Efficient use of nutrients and minimisation of <u>reduction of reduceable</u> nutrient losses,</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	14.5

195.143	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Schedule 30 be amended as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>Industry Programme or Catchment Collective Programme</p> <p>...</p> <p>This programme must identify the key water quality and water quantity management issues identified in this Plan that are relevant to;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the catchment(s) or sub-catchment(s) where: • <u>there is a significant risk of degradation of water quality attributes or where water quality attributes are within the NOF D-Band, or</u> • <u>there is overallocation of water.</u> • the nature of the land and water use activities carried out within that catchment • the scale of the effects on water quality or water quantity from the land and water use activities in that catchment <p>The Programme will describe an environmental management strategy relevant to the freshwater water management objectives where the member properties are located <u>that demonstrates:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>how water quality attributes will be prevented from overall degradation (or how water quality attributes will be improved out of the NOF D-Band).</u> • <u>how water overallocation will be reduced</u> <p><u>Permitted activity takes and takes under RMA section 14(3)(b) shall not be affected by measures required to address b) above.</u></p>	Accept in part	14.5
195.144	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>Amend Schedule 30 as follows:</p> <p>2.2 The Plan must address where appropriate;</p> <p>...</p> <p>b) where water quality does not meet standards in Schedule 26, identifying how there will be reductions in losses that contribute to meeting the specified water quality including, where appropriate, reference to;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(ii) LUC (Land Use Capability) and soil type;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(iv) Stock management including <u>increases in</u> rates and densities of different classes of stock;</p> <p>...</p> <p>g) management of stock, including in relation to river or stream crossings and exclusion from waterways <u>except as provided for</u> in a manner that is consistent with Policy 22 and Rules TANK 1 or 3;</p> <p>...</p> <p>3.1 The Catchment Collective plan or Industry Programme will be submitted for approval by the HBRC no later than by the end of the relevant year specified for that catchment in Schedule 28 <u>provided that HBRC has established an operational activity for assessing Catchment Collective Plans in terms of its activities and functions under the Local Government Act 2002.</u> In making decisions to approve the Programme the Council will take into account;</p> <p>...</p> <p>3.2 Where approval is not given, it means the requirements of Rule TANK 1 are not able to be met and land use is therefore subject to either Rule TANK 1 (b)2 or Rule TANK 2 <u>except as provided by 3.3 below.</u></p> <p>3.3 <u>Where HBRC has not yet established an operational activity for processing Catchment Collective Plans (as part of its functions under the Local Government Act 2002) including establishment and support for a catchment collective governance body, the ability of primary producers within the TANK Catchment to farm, shall not be prejudiced by any lack on HBRC's part in establishing such Council activity. Further, any Catchment Collective Plans that have been submitted under this part, while the establishment by HBRC of operational activity for assessing Catchment Collective Plans and a Catchment Collective governance body is still pending, shall be deemed to have interim approval upon submission of a Catchment Collective Plan. Such interim approval shall be subject to adjustment of conditions once HBRC's Catchment Collective Plan assessment programme has been established.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>4.2 Information will be required where appropriate about:</p>	Reject	14.5

195.145	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Schedule 30 as follows: Section C: Farm Environment Plans If a property is not subject to a TANK Industry Programme or a TANK Catchment Collective prepared under Section B of this schedule, <u>and the property is within a catchment(s) or sub-catchment(s) where:</u> • <u>there is a significant risk of degradation of water quality attributes or where water quality attributes are within the NOF D-Band, or</u> • <u>there is overallocation of water,</u> a Farm Environment Plan must be prepared in accordance with Section C. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.5
196.59	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
196.60	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
196.61	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
196.62	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
196.63	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
196.64	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
196.65	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
197.11	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Policies 23, 24 and 25 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5
197.12	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Rule TANK 1 - Schedule 30: Landowner Collective, Industry Programme and Farm Environment Plan. Section C: Farm Environment Plans 1.1 A Farm Environment Plan shall; a) be prepared by a person with the professional qualifications to prepare such a plan or be prepared by the Farm Owner or Manager with assistance/and or review by a suitably qualified and experienced person	Accept in part	14.5
197.13	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Rule TANK 2 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	14.5
199.19	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
201.38	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Reference that these timeframes may change as a result of the NPS-FM 2020.	Reject	14.5
204.19	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be	Accept in part	14.5
205.2	Ben	Hindmarsh	Ahuriri Farming Ltd	Supports policies 23 and 24. Agrees with acceleration and support that HBRC would give to catchment groups, however the groups must abide by a democratic process to appoint the decision makers. Confusion around initial formation of catchment groups. RRMP funded? Beef + Lamb involvement? Suggest a model or framework be used to give structure on clear goals and objectives. Support needed to education facilitators to help run and organise. Need buy in from all landowners.	Accept in part	14.5

207.44	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Support Rules TANK 1-6 subject to: Amend the FEP / Catchment Collective Plan / Industry Programme requirements in Schedule 29 to encourage engagement with water suppliers in their preparation and for a copy of the FEPs / Catchment Collective Plans / Industry Programmes to be provided to the respective water suppliers. [Refer submission point under Schedule 30]	Reject	14.5
207.45	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Support Rules TANK 1-6 subject to: <i>Add</i> the below words at (1)(g) in TANK 2, (4) in TANK 4, (2)(g) in TANK 5 and (4)(g) in TANK 6: “Measures to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the quality of the source water used for a Registered Drinking Water Supply, <u>irrespective of any treatment process for the Registered Drinking Water Supply</u> ”	Reject	14.5
207.48	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend 2.2. to read: f) Measures required to reduce risk of contamination of the source water for any Registered Drinking Water Supply. <u>Landowners are encouraged to engage with the relevant Registered Drinking Water Supplier to understand potential risks of activities on the source water and to identify appropriate risk mitigation measures</u>	Accept	14.5
210.44	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete and/or move components to a ‘methods’ section. Replace with a system that gives effect to NPSFM.	Accept in part	14.5
210.45	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete and/or move components to a ‘methods’ section	Reject	14.5
210.46	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete. Replace with a system that gives effect to NPSFM.	Accept in part	14.5
210.47	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move to a ‘methods’ section if required.	Reject	14.5
210.48	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete and move intention to create implantation plan to a ‘methods’ section (while retaining key actions for implementation elsewhere in the plan). <u>Integrate timeframes into the relevant policies.</u>	Reject	14.5
210.82	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 1: Amend to make consistent with the NPSFM and to increase Council’s scope to assess whether an activity and associated discharge is appropriate. This could be achieved by making the use of productive land for farming a restricted discretionary activity in some catchments or where water quality targets are not met a full discretionary activity. Amend to include matters of discretion. Provide scope for council reviews of all farm plans.	Reject	14.5
210.83	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 2: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM, in particular by including explicit reference to effects on life-supporting capacity, ecosystem processes, and indigenous species including their associated ecosystems from the NPSFM, rather than just referencing ‘Schedule 26’. Amend Schedule 26 to include the values and catchments in Schedule 27, and to include measures of fish community integrity, using the Fish Index of Biological Integrity, and habitat quality, using the Habitat Quality Index.	Accept in part	14.5

210.140.	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove all reference to stream 'maintenance' schemes. Amend entire management of land uses to be more consistent with NPSFM and NZCPS and give council scope for more control, and compliance, monitoring, and enforcement. Ensure farm plans are tied to enforceable conditions in rules and resource consents which set out measureable outcomes to be achieved by the farm environment plan. Where flexibility is provided for to finalise or amend farm plans ensure this is only for consented activities where an independent certification process can be applied to the conditions of consent.	Accept	14.5
210.153	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Farm Environment Plan : Amend to address submission concerns on Schedule 30 above.	Reject	14.5
211.57	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
211.58	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
211.59	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
211.60.	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
211.61	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
211.62	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
211.63	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
213.19	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Schedule 30 should be less prescriptive, more facilitative and more industry risk profile-based in respect of Industry Programmes. The Programme Requirements in Section B of Schedule 30 as they relate to Industry Programmes should be re-cast as a more of a guideline, with an acknowledgement that detailed requirements can vary depending on the Industry's risk and emissions profile as it relates to catchment objectives. Amend all references to Farm Environment Plan in this Plan Change to "freshwater farm plan" and otherwise align the Plan Change requirements to those of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2020 and related S.360 regulations.	Accept in part	14.5
214.57	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
214.58	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
214.59	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
214.60.	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
214.61	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
214.62	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
214.63	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
215.57	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
215.58	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
215.59	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
215.60.	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
215.61	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

215.63	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
216.19	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Supports the use of groups being able to work together, allowing for potential solution identification and implementation, assuming science supports the effectiveness of that solution. Groups however, could take a range of forms, by catchment, product groups, horticultural groups or broader to include pastoral systems as well.	Accept in part	14.5
216.20	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Encourages the use of industry schemes (e.g. GlobalGAP) where possible for growers to provide evidence of good agricultural practice and used by growers to satisfy the farm planning requirements of this proposed plan.	Reject	14.5
216.21	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	As industry schemes (e.g. GlobalGAP) are annually audited by a third party, and suggest that this would therefore not be required under this plan.	Reject	14.5
216.22	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Where appropriate, support the opportunity for stream depletion effects to be managed collectively, but believe it will be extremely difficult for schemes to be developed by consent applicants, and therefore suggest exploring the development of these schemes in a progressive manner by HBRC, in consultation with affected growers.	Accept	14.5
217.56	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
217.57	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
217.58	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
217.59	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
217.60	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
217.61	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
217.62	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
218.57	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
218.58	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
218.59	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
218.60	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
218.61	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
218.62	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
218.63	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
219.57	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
219.58	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
219.59	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
219.60	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
219.61	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5

219.62	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
219.63	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
220.57	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
220.58	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
220.59	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
220.60.	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
220.61	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
220.62	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
220.63	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
221.57	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
221.58	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
221.59	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept	14.5
221.60.	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
221.61	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
221.62	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
221.63	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	14.5
224.6	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	NZ Wine Growers and HB Wine Growers have already submitted that Farm Environment Plans can be collectively managed through the NZ Wine Growers SWINZ programme.	Accept in part	14.5
231.2	Peter	Kay		Support Catchment Community Initiatives / Industry Schemes / Farm Environment Plans and seek that they be retained.	Accept in part	14.5
231.10.	Peter	Kay		Rule TANK 1 and 5 - Seek that date is retained as the property of the catchment collective, and cannot be shared without the permission of the collective. Seek that the land management plan be retained with amendments - enable the plan to	Reject	14.5
233.23	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Section B 2.2 f) changed as follows: "Measures required to reduce risk prevent the contamination of the source water for any Registered Drinking Water Supply"	Reject	14.5
233.24	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Add new bullet point as a matter the Council will take into account in approving plans or programmes: "e) the effectiveness of measures proposed to prevent drinking water source contamination"	Reject	14.5
233.25	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Include an auditing frequency requirement linked to performance. Recommendations about frequency of auditing, including proposed table and provisions, provided.	Accept in part	14.5
235.1	Graeme	Wedd	Rotoma Station Trust	Support the catchment collective. We are outcome focused about water quality. Agree with taking ownership of any problems.	Accept in part	14.5
239.1	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	Support for catchment collectives within TANK catchments. Significant time may be needed to form a group to the standards required so need to consider compliance timeframes.	Accept in part	14.5
240.6	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Ensuring mana whenua hapu values have been adequately identified, included and taken into effect within farm plans, collectives, and industry programmes.	Accept in part	14.5

241.5	Penny and John	Reynolds		We support the requirement of FEPs . We oppose this wording and seek to have “ a landowner “ included as a person suitably qualified to prepare such a plan.	Accept in part	14.5
Table 14.6: Schedules 26 and 27						
10.12	David	Renouf		Add to Schedule 26: Freshwater Quality Objectives Water quality attributes, to all Freshwater Quality Management Units and Water Quality Targets. •Total Phosphorus mg/L (upland rivers 0.026 mg/L – Lowland rivers 0.033 mg/L) •Total Suspended Solids ,g/L, which measures the water column, this causes harm this is totally different from <u>deposited</u> sediment measurement. Ngaruroro River upstream of Fernhill Bridge 10 mg/L Ngaruroro River downstream of Fernhill Bridge 25 mg/L Tutaekuri River upstream of Redclyffe Bridge 10 mg/L Tutaekuri River downstream of Redclyffe Bridge 25 mg/L Ref: 5.4 Table 8. HRC RRMP	Accept in part	14.6
29.17	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend the table to adopt a consistent, explicit and comprehensive approach to inclusion of milestone timeframes, preferably by reference to the operative date of the Plan.	Reject	14.6
58.6	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Clarify how the the determination of past, current, or future state instream applies.	Accept in part	14.6
58.35	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	No specific decision requested but states that there is no guidance on the required frequency of sampling	Accept in part	14.6
58.36	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend Schedule 26 based on the components of Schedule 27 that apply in the coastal environment currently, based on NPS-FM and NZCPS requirements.	Accept	14.6
97.79	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that maps are anomalous and ambiguous	Accept in part	14.6
109.15	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Support OBJ 6 and Schedule 27 - seek that future plan changes are proposed and executed with this set of proposed PC8 changes.	Accept in part	14.6
117.5	Alison	Johnston	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Considers that retention of the operative limit under Band B would be appropriate as it is suitable in the Karamu Catchment.	Accept in part	14.6
120.15	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include schedules of FM Us and freshwater values and clearly define where they apply	Accept in part	14.6
120.16	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include the Ahuriri and Waitangi Estuaries in separate and distinct FMU's	Reject	14.6
120.17	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 so that water quality attributes listed in Schedule 27 that relate to estuarine health in the Ahuriri and Waitangi estuaries be listed in Schedule 26, and that objectives are met within the life of the plan.	Accept in part	14.6
120.18	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include a schedule of outstanding waterbodies and wetlands and their significant values for protection	Accept in part	14.6
120.19	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Include all water quality objectives in Schedule 26 and identify limits and targets to be achieved within the life of the plan <u>where objectives are currently not met.</u>	Accept in part	14.6
120.20	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Set objectives and targets in Schedule 26 for the Ahuriri catchment and estuary	Accept in part	14.6
120.21	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 26 to ensure it is correct, fit for purpose, and contains all water quality objectives and targets for the TANK area (including those in proposed Schedule 27).	Accept	14.6
120.29	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Regulate and manage all stormwater discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 within the life of the plan.	Accept in part	14.6
120.43	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Remove all references to trigger flows in Schedule 26.	Accept in part	14.6

120.104	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 26 to contain all of the freshwater objectives for all waterbodies in the TANK catchments and include the objectives in Schedule 27	Accept in part	14.6
120.105	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 26 to clearly identify targets (where objectives are not currently met) and timeframes for achieving targets.	Accept in part	14.6
120.145	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Delete the first paragraph following the heading Schedule 26: Freshwater Quality Objectives.	Accept in part	14.6
120.146	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Specify within Schedule 26 where the numeric attribute states in the table column 'Water Quality Objective or/Target' are considered targets, based on assessment of the state of current water quality. E.g., " ≤ 1.6 m (target)", i.e. expressly identify which are targets and which are limits.	Accept	14.6
120.147	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Change timeframes for which targets aim to be achieved to be within the life of PC9.	Accept in part	14.6
120.148	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Delete the 'Critical value' and 'Also relevant for' columns from Schedule 26 and identify these freshwater values in a separate Schedule within PC9, defining where they apply. OR Delete only the 'Also relevant for' column and amend the 'Critical value' column to reflect the freshwater values for which the most stringent attribute state is set. Delete all reference to 'statistical GL', 'MCI', 'Algal growth' and 'Toxicity'	Accept in part	14.6
120.149	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 26 to specify a period of record for each attribute which compliance with the attribute state will be measured over.	Accept in part	14.6
120.150	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 26 Freshwater Management Units 'Lowland streams' to 'Lowland tributaries' for consistency of terms, clarify if Schedule 26 FMUs are the same as FMUS as defined in the NPSFM, house the Schedule 26A - 26D planning maps within Change 9, and clarify the boundaries for the Freshwater Quality Management Units.	Accept	14.6
120.151	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Include a hard (expressly stated) limit for attributes that specifically reflect the need to assess cumulative effects.	Accept in part	14.6
120.152	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Any and all references in the Schedule need to be clarified as to whether they refer to the attributes stated in the NPSFM 2017 or NPSFM2020.	Accept	14.6
120.153	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Retain all of the listed attributes in Schedule 26 and include Schedule 27 attributes AND amend the temperature attribute to also include the maximum temperature attribute from Schedule 27 in Schedule 26.	Accept in part	14.6
120.154	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Include additional river monitoring sites that represent tangata whenua defined attributes (to be developed)	Accept in part	14.6
120.155	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Delete reference to flows from the application of the water clarity and turbidity objectives for all management units and simply specify 'median' in all cases, and apply a period of record	Reject	14.6
120.156	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Delete 'statistical GL' from the critical value column and add as a footnote.	Accept in part	14.6
120.157	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Add Clarity objectives for the Ahuriri catchment of an annual median of >1.6 m	Accept	14.6
120.158	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Retain deposited sediment (%) as notified and add attribute states for the Ahuriri catchment.	Accept	14.6
120.159	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend periphyton biomass attribute states to: Delete >50 - max 1 p.a. Amend the periphyton biomass attribute for the upper Tutaekuri River to make attribute states for periphyton consistent	Accept	14.6
120.160	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend periphyton cover attribute state to (tracked changes provided): Delete 'seasonal max' from the attribute so the value of Uu is provided for year-round Delete reference to Uu from the Application column Delete Recreation as the critical value and amend to replace with Uu (the most stringent value). Resolve inconsistencies in Schedule 26 and appropriately acknowledge Ngati Kahungunu values and attributes.	Accept in part	14.6
120.161	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend cyanobacteria attribute to: Delete recreation and replace with Uu.	Reject	14.6

120.162	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend macrophytes attribute to 'Submerged nuisance macrophytes' and amend FMU to include all lowland rivers and streams in the TANK catchments, not just the Karamu.	Accept in part	14.6
120.163	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend MCI attribute to: Remove reference to (index) Include a sb MCI for Ahuriri Retain attribute states as notified.	Accept in part	14.6
120.164	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend DIN and DRP attributes to: Delete 'algal growth' and amend the critical values for DIN and DRP to ecosystem health. Amend the DRP attribute states for the lower Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri Rivers and tributaries to 0.01 mg/L Include DIN and DRP (or TN and TP) attributes states for the Ahuriri catchment .	Accept in part	14.6
120.165	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend nitrate and ammonia attributes to: Amend the critical value for nitrate and ammonia from to ecosystem health. Amend the nitrate attribute state for the Karamu catchment to the NPSFM A band. Amend 'Lowland stream' to 'Lowland tributaries' Include A band nitrate and ammonia attributes for the Ahuriri catchment.	Accept in part	14.6
120.166	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend E. coli attributes to: Apply all four attribute states for E. coli from the NPS FM to all catchments. Include an E. coli/Enterococci attribute for Ahuriri to achieve a Microbiological Assessment Category B	Accept	14.6
120.167	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend dissolved oxygen attributes to: Delete reference to the 7-day mean min and 1 day min from the Application column Amend the attribute state for the Kara mu catchment (lowland tributaries) to the B band state from the NPS FM. Include an attribute state for the Ahuriri catchment at the B band from the NPS FM OR include dissolved oxygen attributes from Schedule 27 in Schedule 26 for lowland tributaries (C band) and Ahuriri.	Accept in part	14.6
120.168	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend temperature attributes to: Include maximum temperature attributes from Schedule 27 in Schedule 26. Add a maximum temperature attribute for Karamu (lowland tributaries) and Ahuriri of < 23°C (B band). Add a <3°C increment compared to reference state for the Ahuriri estuary to Schedule 26.	Reject	14.6
120.169	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Retain pH attribute states as notified	Accept	14.6
120.170	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Retain BOD attribute states as notified	Accept	14.6
120.171	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Retain metals, metalloids and toxins attributes as notified	Accept	14.6
120.172	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Retain Nitrate nitrogen (groundwater) as notified and ensure objectives which do not allow degradation of the Heretaunga Aquifer are included in PC9.	Accept	14.6
120.173	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule 26 where objectives apply to: Clarify whether Freshwater Quality Management Units are FMUs as per the NPS FM. Create separate FMU's for both the Ahuriri and Waitangi estuaries and include objectives and targets for all attributes for both FMU's. Clarify which FMU the upper Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri tributaries apply to.	Accept	14.6
120.174	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Delete Schedule 27 and include all attributes as freshwater objectives and/or targets in Schedule 26.	Accept	14.6
120.181	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Add new Tangata Whenua Monitoring Schedule. Table headings provided.	Accept in part	14.6
123.11	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Include all water quality objectives in Schedule 26 and identify targets to be achieved by 2040 where objectives are currently not met.	Accept in part	14.6
123.17	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Regulate and manage all point source discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 by 2040.	Accept in part	14.6

123.25	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend in a way that: - the mauri of waterbodies is protected and restored to provide for Te Hauora o te Taiao, Te Hauora o te Wai and Te Hauora o te Tangata and to provide for the values in Schedule X -safeguards life-supporting capacity and aquatic ecosystem processes -the connectivity between land, surface water, groundwater, freshwater and the coast - Ki uta, ki tai is recognised - provides for the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga.	Reject	14.6
123.26	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objectives 4 and 6 - Delete Objectives 4 and 6 and replace with new objectives A and B (see points 123.27 and 123.28).	Reject	14.6
123.122	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete the first paragraph following the heading Schedule 26: Freshwater Quality Objectives. Or if retained, amend as "Schedule 26 is a first step with objectives being targets will be attained by 2040"	Accept in part	14.6
123.123	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Specify within Schedule 26 where the numeric attribute states in the table column 'Water Quality Objective or/Target' are considered targets, based on assessment of the state of current water quality. E.g., " ≤ 1.6 m (target)", i.e. expressly identify which are targets and which are limits.	Accept in part	14.6
123.124	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete the 'Critical value' and 'Also relevant for' columns from Schedule 26 and identify these freshwater values in a separate Schedule within PC9, defining where they apply. OR Delete only the 'Also relevant for' column and amend the 'Critical value' column to reflect the freshwater values for which the most stringent attribute state is set. Delete all reference to 'statistical GL', 'MCI', 'Algal growth' and 'Toxicity'	Accept in part	14.6
123.125	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend Schedule 26 to specify a period of record for each attribute which compliance with the attribute state will be measured over.	Accept in part	14.6
123.126	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend Schedule 26 Freshwater Management Units 'Lowland streams' to 'Lowland tributaries' for consistency of terms, clarify if Schedule 26 FMUs are the same as FMUs as defined in the NPSFM, house the Schedule 26A - 26D planning maps within Change 9, and clarify the boundaries for the Freshwater Quality Management Units.	Accept in part	14.6
123.127	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Retain all of the listed attributes in Schedule 26 and include Schedule 27 attributes AND amend the temperature attribute to also include the maximum temperature attribute from Schedule 27 in Schedule 26.	Accept in part	14.6
123.128	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete reference to flows from the application of the water clarity and turbidity objectives for all management units and simply specify 'median' in all cases, and apply a period of record	Reject	14.6
123.129	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete 'statistical GL' from the critical value column and add as a footnote.	Reject	14.6
123.130	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Add Clarity objectives for the Ahuriri catchment of an annual median of >1.6 m Define the number and time frame for these samples	Accept in part	14.6
123.131	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	There is no deposited sediment attribute for the Ahuriri catchment. It is not clear whether freshwater values in this catchment will be protected with respect to deposited sediment.	Accept in part	14.6
123.132	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend periphyton biomass attribute states to: Delete >50 - Amend the periphyton biomass attribute for the upper Tutaekuri River to <50 mg/m ²	Accept	14.6
123.133	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend periphyton cover attribute state to (tracked changes provided): Delete 'seasonal max' from the attribute so the value of Uu is provided for year-round Delete reference to Uu from the Application column Delete Recreation as the critical value and amend to replace with Uu (the most stringent value). Resolve inconsistencies in Schedule 26 and appropriately acknowledge Ngati Kahungunu values and attributes.	Accept in part	14.6
123.134	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend cyanobacteria attribute to:	Reject	14.6
123.135	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend macrophytes attribute to 'Submerged nuisance macrophytes' and amend FMU to include all lowland rivers and streams in the TANK catchments, not just the Karamu.	Accept in part	14.6

123.136	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend MCI attribute to: Remove reference to (index) Include a sb MCI for Ahuriri otherwise retain attribute states as notified.	Accept in part	14.6
123.137	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend DIN and DRP attributes to: Delete 'algal growth' and amend the critical values for DIN and DRP to ecosystem health. Amend the DRP attribute states for the lower Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri Rivers and tributaries to 0.01 mg/L Include DIN and DRP (or TN and TP) attributes states for the Ahuriri catchment .	Accept in part	14.6
123.138	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend nitrate and ammonia attributes to: Amend the critical value for nitrate and ammonia from to ecosystem health. Amend the nitrate attribute state for the Karamu catchment to the NPSFM A band. Amend 'Lowland stream' to 'Lowland tributaries' Include A band nitrate and ammonia attributes for the Ahuriri catchment.	Accept in part	14.6
123.139	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend E. coli attributes to: Apply all four attribute states for E. coli from the NPS FM to all catchments.	Accept in part	14.6
123.140	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend dissolved oxygen attributes to: Delete reference to the 7-day mean min and 1 day min from the Application column Amend the attribute state for the Karamu catchment (lowland tributaries) to the B band state from the NPS FM. Include an attribute state for the Ahuriri catchment at the B band from the NPS FM OR include dissolved oxygen attributes from Schedule 27 in Schedule 26 for lowland tributaries (C band) and Ahuriri.	Accept in part	14.6
123.141	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend temperature attributes to: Include maximum temperature attributes from Schedule 27 in Schedule 26. Add a maximum temperature attribute for Karamu (lowland tributaries) and Ahuriri of < 23°C (B band). Add a <3°C increment compared to reference state for the Ahuriri estuary to Schedule 26.	Accept in part	14.6
123.142	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Retain pH attribute states as notified	Accept	14.6
123.143	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Attribute states: Notrate--nitrogen (groundwater) - Retain with amendments: The TANK Plan provides for a Water User Collective to work collectively by or on behalf of permit holders to meet local water quality, quantity and environmental objectives for surface water bodies, springs and wetlands affected by groundwater abstraction Create a monitoring plan that addresses the number, location and depth of monitoring bores required to adequately assess whether the Nitrate-N target in groundwater is being met. Also sampling and lab analysis should be according to current standards.	Accept in part	14.6
123.144	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Where the objectives apply - Clarify whether Freshwater Quality Management Units are FMUs as per the NPS FM Include objectives and targets for all attributes for the Ahuriri catchment.	Accept in part	14.6
123.145	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete Schedule 27 and include all attributes as freshwater objectives and/or targets in Schedule 26	Accept	14.6
126.9	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 5 and replace with the following wording to read: Existing over-allocation of TANK waterbodies and TANK estuarine systems is phased out in accordance with freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 and allocation limits in Schedule 31, and future overallocation of TANK waterbodies and TANK estuarine systems is avoided.	Reject	14.6
126.10.	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 6	Accept	14.6
126.29	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Schedule 26 to: •Clearly identify and map the spatial extent of the FMUs for PC9 and include the resulting maps as part of PC9. •Make subsequent amendments that are necessary to relabel terms such as "surface water management units" [in Table 1 of Schedule 26] and "freshwater quality management units" [in Schedules 26A to 26D]. •Insert the "Limit/Objectives" for each "water quality attribute" in Table 2 of Schedule 27 as the new freshwater objectives for Te	Accept in part	14.6
126.30.	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Schedule 26B to: Include Te Whanganui-a-Orotu and the urban catchment as part of Schedule 26B.	Accept in part	14.6

126.31	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Develop new Schedule 26AA that includes short-term numerical attribute targets for FMUs [including the Ahuriri catchment and Te 126.31 Whanganui-a-Orotu] to be achieved by 2030.	Reject	14.6
126.32	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Schedule 27	Accept	14.6
127.14	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Amend Schedule 26 to ensure it is correct, fit for purpose, and contains all water quality objectives and targets for the TANK area (including those in proposed Schedule 27). Identify targets to be achieved by 2040 where objectives are currently not met	Accept in part	14.6
127.15	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Set objectives and targets in Schedule 26 for the Ahuriri catchment and estuary	Accept in part	14.6
131.11	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Supports aspirational goals for water quality and recommends that the evidence for the chosen attribute values is clearly identified	Accept in part	14.6
131.12	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Should the achievability of any of these water quality values be in question, the plan change should include allowance for confirming progress toward the attribute 'goals' in 2030 to allow re-setting of attributes or policies in order to meet practical goals.	Accept in part	14.6
132.4	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add a Schedule of values with accurate and correct definitions and delineation of where the values apply.	Accept	14.6
132.5	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend provisions relating to the roles for some groups specified in proposed schedules to be in a non-regulatory section of PC9 and that the duties and functions of HBRC are clearly met within the plan provisions.	Accept in part	14.6
132.19	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure that Schedules 26A to 26E, Schedule 27 relating to the Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri catchments, and Schedules 31A to 31E are included as part of PC9 (but in amended form as requested in our submissions), with each having regulatory functions.	Accept in part	14.6
132.20	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	For decision-making processes which rely on or refer to these schedules, ensure they are considered in parallel with other schedules in the operative RRMP that inform management of the same catchment or sub-catchment.	Accept	14.6
132.28	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide more detail in PC9 maps and schedules to show the coastal environment boundary, the location of the Tutaekuri-Waimate Stream, and of major tributaries of the major rivers.	Accept in part	14.6
132.29	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Show the spatial extent of the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System where it extends out into the coastal environment/Hawke Bay.	Accept in part	14.6
132.66	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Acknowledge aquifer extension into Hawke Bay in proposed schedules	Accept in part	14.6
132.70	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Either include in a table, or within a proposed schedule for water quality, the parameters and limits within operative Table 8 that apply to water bodies in TANK catchments .	Reject	14.6
132.99	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide a new schedule that shows outstanding water bodies (as specified in Table 3B) and strengthen the outstanding water body provisions in PC9 to promote better protection for their water quality and for their outstanding values and significant values. Acknowledge in provisions for managing water quality in outstanding water bodies, the linkage between groundwater abstraction, and potential decline in groundwater quality.	Reject	14.6
132.104	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend Schedule 27: Freshwater Quality Objectives so that the Schedule does have a regulatory function (e.g., include as objectives and targets in Schedule 26).	Reject	14.6
132.105	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide new Schedule 26-F that includes detailed maps of all TANK catchments and sub-catchments and indicate which values/uses apply to each sub-catchment, catchment, water body or FMU. Specific waterbodies and values to be included provided.	Accept in part	14.6
132.106	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	In Schedule 26-F, indicate where the limits are not currently achieved, and where they are a target. Prescribe measurable attributes and limits, with numerical limits and targets from the NOF A and B bands for water quality where relevant. Where existing water quality is lower than the equivalent B band for water quality, set a target in the B band, to be achieved within a reasonable timeframe.	Accept in part	14.6
132.108	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	In proposed schedules, clearly delineate 12 separate Freshwater Management Units within the Ngaruroro catchment, the Tutaekuri catchment and the Karamu catchment, in line with the Values and Attributes Report commissioned by HBRC and lodged as an Iwi Management Plan.	Accept in part	14.6
132.110	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend proposed objectives, policies, and methods to reflect changes to FMU delineation.	Accept in part	14.6
132.156	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include more detailed maps that clearly show the boundary between parts of the TANK catchments that are regulated through PC9 and its rules/schedules/tables, and those parts that are in the coastal landward margin but subject to management provisions in the HBCEP.	Accept in part	14.6
132.172	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide a new Schedule 26-F that lists all TANK rivers and their tributaries, and specifies the values that apply within each, and part of the schedule that specifies the attributes and attribute states/limits that support those values. Link the Schedule to the water quantity and water quality objectives, limits, targets, and rules. Provide a similar Schedule or part of the above Schedule for lakes and wetlands in the TANK catchments, but outside of the coastal environment.	Accept in part	14.6

132.197	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add Table 3B: Outstanding Water Bodies within the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu Catchments	Reject	14.6
135.5	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 4 as follows: Land and water use, contaminant discharge and nutrient loss activities are carried out so that the quality of the TANK freshwater bodies is maintained where <u>the freshwater quality objectives in Schedule 26</u> are currently being met, or is improved in degraded waterbodies so that they <u>meet the freshwater quality attribute states</u> targets in Schedule 26 by 2040, provided that: • a) For any specific water body where the <u>freshwater quality attribute state</u> is found to be higher than <u>the freshwater quality objective</u> that given in Schedule 26, the <u>existing higher state</u> is to be maintained; and • b) Maintenance of a state is at the measured state.	Accept in part	14.6
135.6	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete OBJ TANK 6 in entirety. The quality of the TANK freshwater bodies set out in Schedule 27 will be achieved through future plan changes.	Accept	14.6
135.60.	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete Schedule 27 in its entirety. And, as a consequential amendment, all references to Schedule 27 within PPC9.	Reject	14.6
142.4	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Supports OBJ4	Accept in part	14.6
142.24	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Allow for changes to less stringent thresholds if data sets indicate it can be achieved while maintaining critical values.	Reject	14.6
142.25	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Allow for changes to less stringent thresholds if data sets indicate it can be achieved while maintaining critical values.	Reject	14.6
142.26	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	The demarcation describing Upper Ngaruroro River to be where the river is no longer bounded by Crown land on both banks. Agree with setting measurable objectives but reserve comment for the appropriateness of levels proposed at time of hearing of submissions.	Accept in part	14.6
142.27	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	The demarcation describing Upper Ngaruroro River to, be where the river is no longer bounded by Crown land on both banks. Agree with setting measurable objectives but reserve comment for the appropriateness of levels proposed at time of hearing of submissions.	Reject	14.6
180.14	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	It is unclear where the target attribute states are to be achieved – if this includes all current monitoring locations, or at a subset of monitoring sites at a smaller sub-catchment scale. Amend the maps in Schedule 26 to show the location of monitoring sites. It is unclear whether or not modelled state data will be used where actual monitoring data is not available, and if ‘modelled’ state data is used does ‘maintenance’ mean that it can’t decline within the relevant NOF band? This needs to be clarified.	Accept in part	14.6
180.67	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Add the location of the monitoring and information on the existing state.	Accept	14.6
191.11	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Increase the habitat provision for a range of freshwater species accompanied with gradual water flow.	Reject	14.6
194.20.	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 6 should be revised so that it is an outcome statement that responds to an identified resource management issue. For example: ‘the long term water quality objectives in Schedule 27 are achieved over time’.	Accept in part	14.6
194.101	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Schedule 26 should be renamed ‘Surface Water Quality Objectives’ to reflect the title of the maps at Schedule 26A-26D which relate to Surface Water Management Units.	Accept in part	14.6

194.102	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	There needs to be consistent use of the terms across TANK and the Planning Maps.	Accept in part	14.6
194.103	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rename Schedule 27 'Long Term Freshwater Quality Objectives', or words to similar effect.	Accept in part	14.6
195.20.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 4 be amended as follows: ... b) Maintenance of a state is at the measured state ² <u>assessed as the median of the last 5 years measured data taking into account natural variability and sampling error.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.6
195.21	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 5 be retained as notified	Accept	14.6
195.22	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 6 and Schedule 27 be deleted	Accept	14.6
195.126	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the following amendments be made to Schedule 26: Water Quality Objective/Target Water clarity for Lower Ngaruroro and Lower Tutaekuri Rivers <u>except for Ngaruroro River at Fernhill: = 3.75m</u> Water clarity for Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri tributaries, <u>except for Tutaekuri Waimate Stream at Chesterhope, Mangatutu Stream at Mangatutu Stream Bridge, Mangaone River at Rissington: = 3.75m</u> Water clarity for Ngaruroro River at Fernhill, Tutaekuri Waimate Stream at Chesterhope, Mangatutu Stream at Mangatutu Stream Bridge, Mangaone River at Rissington: <u>Current State or = 1.6m, whichever is the lesser.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.6
195.127	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water quality Objective/Target for deposited sediment be deleted or aligned with National Bands in the NPS FM 2020.	Reject	14.6
195.128	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for Periphyton cover be amended as follows: ... Periphyton cover (seasonal max, %PeriWCC) for Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri Tributaries <u>except for Maraekakaho Stream: = 30 %</u> <u>Periphyton cover (seasonal max, %PeriWCC) for Maraekakaho Stream: > 40% and = 80 %</u> ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.6
195.129	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for DIN be amended as follows: ...	Accept in part	14.6
195.130.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for DIN be amended as follows: ...	Accept in part	14.6
195.131	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for E. coli be amended to specify application to rivers and tributaries stream order 4 or greater. And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.6
195.132	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for Dissolved oxygen (mg/L or %) from continuous data be retained as notified And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.6
195.133	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for Temperature (°C) 5-day CRI from continuous data be retained as notified And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6

195.134	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for pH be retained as notified And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6
195.135	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for BOD (ScBOD ₅) be retained as notified And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6
195.136	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for Heavy metals and metalloids, pesticides and organic contaminants, radioactive contaminants be retained as notified And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6
195.137	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality attribute for Guideline value for any aesthetic determinand (Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand DWSNZ) be retained as notified And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6
195.138	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for E. coli be retained as notified. And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6
195.139	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Water Quality Objective/Target for Nitrate- nitrogen (concentration of nitrate- nitrogen (mg N-NO ₃ /l) be retained as notified. And that Schedule 26 be otherwise aligned with the NPS FM 2020. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	14.6
195.140.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 27 be deleted And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.6
198.11	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Include all water quality objectives in Schedule 26 and identify targets to be achieved by 2040 where objectives are not currently met	Accept	14.6
198.12	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Regulate and manage all point source and stormwater discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 by 2040	Accept in part	14.6
201.19	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	The quality of the TANK freshwater bodies is maintained or improved where objectives are currently being met, or is improved in degraded waterbodies so that they meet water quality attribute states in Schedule 26 reviewed by 2030 provided that: 1. For any specific water body where the attribute state is found to be higher than that given in Schedule 26, the higher state is to be maintained or improved; and maintenance or improvement of a state is at the measured state	Accept	14.6
201.20.	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Delete objective 5	Reject	14.6
201.23	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	In conjunction with meeting the water quality states specified in Schedule 26, the mauri, water quality and water quantity are maintained and enhanced where necessary to enable: a) The life supporting capacity of water b) Ahuriri estuary sediments to be healthy and not accumulate excessively; c) healthy ecosystems that contribute to the health of the estuary; d) healthy and diverse indigenous aquatic plant, fish and bird populations; e) people and communities to safely meet their domestic water needs; f) primary production water for community social and economic wellbeing are provided for; g) contribution to the healthy functioning of the Ahuriri estuary ecosystem and enable people to safely carry out a wide range of social, cultural and recreational activities including swimming and the collection of mahinga kai in the estuary.	Reject	14.6

203.3	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	14.6
210.4	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Combine Schedules 26 and 27 so that all of the attributes have a regulatory function (making it an appropriate schedule to refer to in the objectives above), and redesign the schedule so that it is divided by FMU, rather than by attribute.	Accept	14.6
210.112	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify or remove the introductory wording.	Accept	14.6
210.113	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify the wording used to refer to objectives/targets/limits in Schedule 26 and throughout the plan.	Accept	14.6
210.114	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify the wording used to refer to FMUs in Schedule 26 and throughout the plan.	Accept	14.6
210.115	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend wording around timeframes to be consistent with NPSFM.	Accept	14.6
210.116	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Include all TANK catchments in Schedule 26 (i.e. bring the Karamu and Ahuriri catchments across from Schedule 27). Apply all attributes in Schedule 26 to the water bodies shifted over from Schedule 27.	Accept in part	14.6
210.117	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	It would be much clearer if this schedule was divided by water body or FMU, rather than by the attribute being measured.	Accept in part	14.6
210.118	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify timeframes for measuring attributes against targets (e.g. 'measured over 5 years as the median value' or whatever is most appropriate).	Accept in part	14.6
210.119	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move values in the 'also relevant for' column to another schedule of values for each water bodies.	Accept in part	14.6
210.120	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Retain all attributes.	Accept	14.6
210.121	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Clarify what is meant by 'reference state' for water temperature and introduce a maximum.	Accept in part	14.6
210.122	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Insert a new attribute for physical habitat, ' <u>Natural Character/Habitat Quality Index</u> ', for all areas. It would be useful to include an associated value or narrative description: " <u>river form (including pool, run, and riffle sequences, and riparian margins) and function (including hydrological regime and fluvial processes) is suitable to support fish and macroinvertebrates through their life phases and protect, and where degraded restore, ecosystem health</u> " or (for	Reject	14.6
210.123	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Any other consequential amendments to ensure the protection of physical habitat quality is included in the plan. This may be through policies or methods.	Accept in part	14.6
210.124	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Water clarity and turbidity: Apply to all catchments (i.e. those in Schedule 27).	Accept in part	14.6
210.125	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove flows from the water clarity and turbidity targets/limits for all FMUs.	Reject	14.6
210.126	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	15% threshold should apply to the Upper Ngaruroro and Upper Tutaekuri River year-round	Accept in part	14.6
210.127	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	MCI: Retain as proposed but remove tautology.	Accept in part	14.6
210.128	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	MCI: Apply to all catchments (i.e. those in Schedule 27)	Accept in part	14.6

210.129	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	MCI: Amend Upper Ngaruroro target to 130	Accept	14.6
210.130	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	DIN: Amend to state that critical value is 'ecosystem health' Apply to all catchments (i.e. those in Schedule 27)	Accept in part	14.6
210.131	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Nitrate: Retain as proposed	Accept in part	14.6
210.132	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Nitrate and Ammonia: Change the critical value for nitrate and ammonia from Toxicity (NOF) to 'ecosystem health' Apply NPSFM A band for nitrate to all catchments (including those currently in schedule 27).	Accept in part	14.6
210.133	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	E.Coli: Retain limits for upper rivers.	Accept in part	14.6
210.134	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	E.coli: Apply limits to all catchments (i.e. those in Schedule 27)	Accept in part	14.6
210.135	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Matauranga Maori: Develop with iwi as soon as possible.	Accept in part	14.6
210.136	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	pH, BOD, Metals... : Retain	Accept in part	14.6
210.137	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move all catchments in Schedule 27 across to Schedule 26. Apply all attributes to all catchments.	Accept in part	14.6
225.3	Mary	Tukiwaho		This needs to be re-written with the acknowledgement and support of ALL tangata, whanau me nga hapu katoa o Ngati Kahungunu, these people understand how quality works and at this point in time HBRC and HDC have failed Heretaunga people time after time. year after year with shocking water quality.	Reject	14.6
225.4	Mary	Tukiwaho		This also needs to be re-written and re-designed due to the fact that it is practically the same as Schedule 26. The so-called "Protection Level" is non-existent.	Accept in part	14.6
233.6	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Add bullet point c): "Where measured states require improvement to meet the attribute stated in Schedule 26, improvement must be measurable within 5 years of this Plan becoming operative. For measured states that have not improved within 5 years, a review of Plan effectiveness should be completed with policy and rules review to be commenced."	Reject	14.6
240.12	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Tributaries that flow into the Ahuriri (Te Whanganui a Orotu) within the Napier City area are excluded as a tributary and not subject to limits. E.g Purimu, Old Tutaekuri, Taipo, Tamihinu.	Accept in part	14.6
240.14	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Overlay sensitive catchment areas within the Tutaekuri and Ahuriri (Te Whanganui a Orotu) in TANK schedules 26 and 31. Apply cumulative land-use limits/totals for all nitrogen sources - 120 kg/ha/yr maximum; Less for unconfined aquifers and where groundwater concentrations are above 3.8 mg/litre.	Reject	14.6
240.15	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Include the headwaters of the Tutaekuri as a sensitive catchment and subject to restrictions of animal effluent application, intensive farming activities, and total N limits of 80 kgs/ha/yr.	Reject	14.6
240.16	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Include ANZECC guideline substances and concentrations as limits.	Accept in part	14.6
240.17	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Exception for Nitrates, but an acknowledgement of nitrates as a contaminant and as a hazardous substance when above concentrations of 5.6 mg/l.	Accept in part	14.6
Table 14.7: Point Source Discharges						
58.15	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to state a no greater than 20% change in QMCI downstream (after reasonable mixing) of the point source discharge site when compared with a reference site immediately upstream of the discharge site.	Reject	14.7
120.106	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend so that all point source discharges are subject to the objectives and targets in Schedule 2, timeframes to achieve those targets, and continuous improvement.	Accept in part	14.7

123.46	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend to include reference to reducing contaminant from point source discharges where objectives in Schedule 26 are not being met currently in order to meet targets by 2040.	Reject	14.7
126.16	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 10 to read: The Council will manage point source discharges (that are not stormwater discharges) so that after reasonable mixing, contaminants discharged either by themselves or in combination with other discharges do not cause the <u>freshwater</u>	Accept in part	14.7
135.22	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 10 as follows: • c) when it is an existing activity, identification of the mitigation measures, where necessary, and timeframes for their adoption that contribute to the meeting of freshwater quality objectives.	Reject	14.7
146.1	Rebecca	Davies	New Zealand Defence Force	Retain as notified	Accept in part	14.7
195.37	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 10 in 'Managing Point Source Discharges' be retained as notified	Accept in part	14.7
201.34	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the extent to which the discharge will avoid contamination that will have an adverse effect on or contribute to adverse effects on, the life-supporting capacity of freshwater including on ecosystems associated with fresh water; The rest of the bullet points are outlined in the submission.	Reject	14.7
203.12	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend clause b. to refer to maintenance and upgrading. <i>b. the degree to which a discharge is of a temporary nature, or is associated with necessary maintenance, <u>replacement or upgrading work</u>.</i>	Reject	14.7
210.31	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to reference meeting Schedule 26 targets where objectives are not currently being met and include timeframe.	Reject	14.7
Table 14.8: Riparian Land Management						
21.6	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planting and wetlands. Seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. We seek more information as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.8
29.57	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend POL 11.h to read "...assists in weed control."	Reject	14.8
40.6	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planting and wetlands. Seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. We seek more information as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.8
45.8	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planting and wetlands. Seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. We seek more information as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.8
58.7	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Insert "the habitat of trout and salmon" as an additional clause	Reject	14.8
67.4	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	Amend change 9 to improve riparian management i.e. no extensive tree felling creating flood risk	Reject	14.8
67.5	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	Amend Change 9 to allow for riparian planting with informative signage to foster public awareness	Accept in part	14.8
67.7	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	Riparian management - Amend change 9 to allow for: i. no permanent buildings ii. no equipment, machinery or vehicle servicing onsite iii. no machinery wash/cleaning areas iv. no fuel, oil or chemical storage	Reject	14.8

85.10.	Matthew	Truebridge		Wet land enhancement and investment to land owners. We have benefitted from Local and central government funding for land retirement and riparian planning for environmental protection which has allowed us to fast track stock exclusion from waterways.	Accept	14.8
97.86	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	We support the intent of OBJ TANK 8, We would also like the addition of “maintain water quality and quantity for food and fibre production” somewhere near the top of the list	Accept in part	14.8
99.4	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Should be addressed/managed through a catchment collective group or landowner collectives. This will involve even those landowners who have no stream, tributaries or wetlands running through or bordering their properties. The regional council also has an important role to play in the achievement of this objective as providers of expert knowledge about riparian planting.	Accept in part	14.8
99.8	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports and encourages the council to work alongside catchment collectives to improve riparian management.	Accept in part	14.8
106.10.	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	We think there should be a stronger requirement than what is written.	Reject	14.8
112.6	Ainsley	Harte		Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planting and wetlands. Seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. We seek more information as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.8
114.3	James	Lyons		Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planting and wetlands. Seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. We seek more information as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.8
120.56	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Restore and revegetate immediate area surrounding lowland springs, and ensure access to these springs for cultural reasons is improved	Reject	14.8
120.128	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend to link to stock exclusion, cultivation, and setbacks from water and address catchment-wide land use	Accept in part	14.8
120.129	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Require riparian management, adequate setbacks and wider catchment management where there are specific water quality issues or targets. Suggestions for other sediment control mechanisms provided.	Accept in part	14.8
120.130.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Specifically link riparian management to providing for freshwater values.	Accept in part	14.8
123.30.	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	“Riparian margins are healthy and contribute to achieving the objectives in Schedule 26 and providing for the freshwater values in Schedule X, including ecosystem health, human health and mauri” or words to similar effect.	Accept in part	14.8
123.47	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend to include reference to reducing contaminant from point source discharges where objectives in Schedule 26 are not being met currently in order to meet targets by 2040. 11b - Amend to include shading of other catchment tributaries	Accept in part	14.8
123.48	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	14.8
123.49	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Values are not listed in Policies 11 and 12. PC9 needs a schedule of identified freshwater values and where they apply (Schedule X) which can then be referenced by this policy.	Accept	14.8
124.5	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 13 (c) and (d) - Support	Accept	14.8
124.7	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 12 - Support	Accept	14.8
126.12	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Delete Objective 8	Reject	14.8
141.6	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	To be accessed case by case. Maybe not fencing in difficult terrain but just planting trees.	Accept in part	14.8
142.6	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Amend the objective so that it is clear that improvement or regulation is not required where freshwater quality objectives in Schedule 26 are currently being met as described by OBJ 4	Reject	14.8
145.7	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	The planting of trees and shrubs by waterways should not affect or interfere with drain efficiency or waterflow. Riparian planting may well limit access by drain clearing machinery or may be an impediment to the widening or deepening of drains that could be required. This needs to be signalled in PC9.	Accept in part	14.8
180.16	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Supports the intent of OBJ TANK 8, however question what ‘appropriate management’ entails. Amend to say ‘is improved by appropriate management of riparian margins that to: a) reduces effects of contaminant loss from land use activities etc.....’	Accept in part	14.8

180.26	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	HortNZ supports and encourages the council to work alongside growers to improve riparian management (where it is appropriate taking into account biosecurity matters), and as highlighted earlier, encourage the council to start providing this support as soon as they can, to enable landowners to start making improvements ahead of this plan change becoming operative. HortNZ also notes a need to potentially clear indigenous vegetation for biosecurity purposes, which is addressed in relation to the specific rules later in this submission.	Accept in part	14.8
195.24	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend OBJ TANK 8 so that water quality is improved where there is degradation of water quality or where water quality attributes are within the NOF 'D' Band, and maintained elsewhere. Specific wording provided.	Reject	14.8
195.38	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 11 to read: Where practicable, the Council will promote and support the establishment of riparian vegetation, including in conjunction with stock exclusion, and setback regulations, that... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.8
195.39	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 13 to read: ... c) regulating cultivation, stock access and indigenous vegetation clearance activities that have a significant adverse effect on functioning of riparian margins in relation to water quality and aquatic ecosystem health in adjacent waterbodies <u>through rules for setbacks and stock exclusion</u> ; And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.8
201.22	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Aquatic ecosystem health and mauri of water bodies in the TANK catchments is improved by appropriate management through: a) riparian marginal vegetation b) reducing effects of contaminant loss from land use activities; c) improve aquatic habitat, protection and enhancement of indigenous species including fish spawning habitat; d) reduce stream and stop bank erosion; e) enhance natural character and amenity values including cultural opportunities for economics; f) improve indigenous biodiversity; g) reduce water temperature especially in the lowland tributaries of the Karamu; h) reduced nuisance macrophyte growth through shading i) enable opportunities for the collection of mahinga kai, rongoa and raranga.	Accept in part	14.8
201.35	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend bullet points and add new bullet points starting with a) working with industry groups and land owner collectives to identify where riparian management needs to be improved; The rest of the bullet points are in the submission.	Reject	14.8
210.32	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Support in part. Amend as per our comments (move to methods)	Reject	14.8
210.33	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Support in general but some matters could be addressed in a methods section.	Accept in part	14.8
216.10	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	PC9 needs a clear outline of expectations regarding riparian planting: land, cost, timeframe and resources.	Accept in part	14.8
216.11	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	HBRC should work together with NZAPI, HortNZ and other horticulture sectors and industry groups on appropriate species selection for ecosystems services and suitable plantings in a horticultural landscape.	Accept in part	14.8
240.19	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		The lack of promoting and/or requiring indigenous vegetation for restoration, riparian and erosion control.	Accept in part	14.8
Table 14.9: Wetlands						
17.6	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planting and wetlands. Seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. We seek more information as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.9
29.54	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	OBJ 15.g: Consider relocating detailed wetland targets into a policy for drafting consistency.	Reject	14.9
33.1	Bruce	McGregor		Policy 3 - retain as proposed.	Accept	14.9

35.78	Colin	Campbell		Policies 5.10.2 - Support with amendments objectives to increase riparian planning and wetlands. I seek that these provisions are implemented through non regulatory methods and not regulation. I seek more information is provided as to how Council intends to facilitate meeting the targets specified i.e. funding assistance and support.	Accept in part	14.9
58.9	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Insert "recreational" into the list of values	Accept in part	14.9
58.14	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to refer to catchments where a lake or wetland is a receiving environment, including most sensitive receiving environment for catchments above the lake or wetland.	Accept in part	14.9
58.16	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend (a) to state "as a habitat for indigenous and valued introduced" species. This may also require a subsequent change to the definitions.	Reject	14.9
58.17	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to include Hawke's Bay Fish and Game Council on the list	Reject	14.9
113.9	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Land utilisation and management practices could be more appropriately determined by an individual or site specific plan rather than a generic approach as signalled by the provisions of schedule 24 - wetland mapping area for Poukawa (2015). The determination of the setback area from the water edge (and incoming freshwater requirements) is unclear when viewed in conjunction with the lake (verge) and the outline of the wetland as shown in schedule 24 (Pc5).	Reject	14.9
113.10.	Teree	Brown	Te Tumu Paeroa	Recommends the following; That council engage directly with Te Tumu Paeroa and the Poukawa 13B Trust to develop and determine a site specific plan for Poukawa Waiu, including land utilisation and improvement of the water quality of the lake; Mitigation and alignment of the restoration plan to Te Mana o te Wai	Accept in part	14.9
120.131	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Totally review land drainage and wetland management provisions to give effect to national policy direction and regulation and adequate protect groundwater	Accept in part	14.9
123.36	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete and redraft as an outcome " <u>Wetlands and lakes are maintained or restored and their extent in the TANK catchments is increased to support the freshwater values in Schedule X including healthy ecosystems, indigenous species and their habitats, mahinga kai (etc)</u> " or words to similar effect. Redraft policies on the management of activities (land use, damming, diversion and the taking of water) and on increasing wetland extent to support this objective. <u>Clarify use of Waahi Taonga</u>	Accept	14.9
123.42	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 3 - The significant values and ecosystem health of wetlands and lakes will be protected and enhanced where necessary by: a) <u>Working with landowners in wetland and lake catchments</u> b) <u>Managing and regulating land use activities in wetland and lake catchments to reduce sediment and nutrient inputs, improve water quality and support indigenous macrophyte growth in shallow lakes</u> c) as currently worded d) <u>Meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 in downstream waterbodies affected by wetland or lake water quality</u> e) <u>Enable landowners to protect, increase and restore existing wetland and create new wetlands</u> Add attribute states for lakes to Schedule 26	Reject	14.9
123.50.	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 14 & 15 - Include description of wetland and lake values in Policy 3. Policy 14e - Amend to include enhancement of lake water quality and include attributes for lakes in Schedule 26.	Accept in part	14.9
124.4	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 3(e) - Support	Accept	14.9
124.6	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 15(d) - Support	Accept	14.9
124.21	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Add as clause (g): primary production water needs and water required for associated processing and other urban activities to provide for <u>community social and economic well-being</u>	Accept	14.9
124.23	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Add after clause (f): and in doing so will: <u>(g) continue to enable existing primary production land use activities adjacent to wetlands</u>	Reject	14.9

135.14	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Ravensdown is supportive of the intent of this objective to manage activities in the TANK catchment, where connected to the 'Wetland and lake waahi taonga', to ensure that mauri, water quality, flows and levels in these freshwater bodies are maintained and improved.	Accept	14.9
142.11	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Supports OBJ15	Accept in part	14.9
142.17	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Partially agree. Amend f) to: indigenous fishery habitat	Reject	14.9
145.5	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	If HBRC wish to construct wetlands, this should be subject to notified consent with public input. A proviso to protect landowners from such issues needs to be included in PC9.	Accept in part	14.9
180.17	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Delete specific areas specified in (g) to be restored and created, unless evidence can be provided that shows where these areas are, and that no adverse off-site effects will result from the work.	Accept in part	14.9
195.27	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 15 be amended by adding the following Note: <u>Wet, damp, or boggy ground, and drains swales and stock drinking water dams within pastoral farmland, are not intended to be captured within the meaning of 'Wetland and lake waahi taonga' in this objective.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	14.9
195.40.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policies 14 and 15 in 'Wetland and Lake Management' be retained as notified	Accept in part	14.9
201.28	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the healthy functioning of the life supporting capacity of water and ecosystems; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	14.9
201.36	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend bullet pints startign with a) drought resilience, soil moisture retention and groundwater recharge; The rest of the bullet points are outlined in the submission.	Reject	14.9
210.35	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Reword and merge with Policy 3 or split into method/policy components. Amend to include reference to wetlands' value in creating drought resilience, for soil moisture retention, and for groundwater recharge. Amend (f) to read "f) fish habitat and spawning"	Reject	14.9
232.5	Levi	Walford	Matahiwi Marae	That an imposition be put in place for abstractions from all Aquifer Systems - especially the Heretaunga Plains, so that the springs that feed into the rivers are not restricted	Accept in part	14.9

Table 15.2: General Water Quantity

3.2	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain provisions - OBJ TANK 16(a) and (b)	Accept in part	15.2
3.14	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend clause (a): 'the development of Maori the Hawke's Bay community's economic, cultural and social well-being is supported through regulating the use and allocation of the water available at high flows for taking, storage and use'	Reject	15.2
6.7	Daniel	Soltau		Amend Clause (d) include new consents into the flexible and efficient use	Reject	15.2
8.3	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support OBJ TANK 16(c) and (d)	Accept in part	15.2
8.4	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support OBJ TANK 17(b), (c) and (d).	Accept in part	15.2
8.30.	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose OBJ TANK 17 - Amend clause (a): the development of Maori the Hawke's Bay community's economic, cultural and social well-being is supported through regulating the use and allocation of the water available at high flows for taking, storage and use	Reject	15.2
11.1	Matt	Edwards		Moving to annual allocations.	Reject	15.2
11.7	Matt	Edwards		With respect to the urban areas, further reduction of available water for irrigation into the future. How the available 90 million cubic metres of water is divided between the sectors is pertinent but I could not get information on this from Council despite asking. The Tank Plan is to further exacerbate this situation because there is provision to increase the amount available for the urban areas to provide for population growth. This will have the effect of further restrictions being applied to the amount of water available for irrigation further inhibiting crop production and therefore income into H. Bay.	Reject	15.2
12.7	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend OBJ TANK 16 - ... b) The allocation and reservation of water for domestic supply including for marae and papakainga and for municipal supply including provision for the social infrastructure necessary to support these people and communities so that existing and future demand as described in HPUDS (2017) can be met within the specified limits; c) Primary production on versatile soils; ...	Reject	15.2
12.8	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support OBJ TANK 17 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	15.2

12.9	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support OBJ TANK 18 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	15.2
13.8	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend OBJ TANK 16 - ...	Accept in part	15.2
14.3	Ryan	Fraser		Amend OBJ TANK 16 - Amend to accord viticultural soils equal priority with versatile soils. Amend to include water bottling in the lowest priority use category.	Reject	15.2
15.5	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend OBJ Tank 16 - Amend to accord viticultural soils equal priority with versatile soils. Amend to include water bottling in the lowest priority use category.	Reject	15.2
15.20.	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	We were a mixed pip and stone fruit orchard and in 2000/2001 following several difficult years we took up an opportunity to remove the trees and grow grapes. These have now run their course and we wish to return to orcharding. We are concerned that we will not be able to do this if our water supply is limited to our recent previous volume.	Reject	15.2
17.4	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies 5.10.7 and rules - The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes. Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management which fail to provide for stock water as a priority take.	Reject	15.2
18.6	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
20.5	Bruce	Nimon		Amend OBJ Tank 16 - Amend to accord viticultural soils equal priority with versatile soils. Amend to include water bottling in the lowest priority use category.	Reject	15.2
21.4	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies 5.10.7 and rules - The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes. Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management which fail to provide for stock water as a priority take.	Reject	15.2
23.75	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the current proposals fail to adequately take into account the impacts on the horticultural industries and the subsequent flow on effects of these impacts on the horticultural industries based on the Heretaunga Plains.	Reject	15.2
26.4	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
26.6	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
28.4	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
28.6	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
29.4	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	HBWG has consistently opposed the proposed approach to allocation of water and diffuse discharges and continues to do so.	Reject	15.2
29.7	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read Primary production on versatile and <u>viticultural</u> soils, or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read <u>Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses</u> , or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2

29.8	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend OBJ TANK 18.e to read 'water harvesting, storage and controlled release.' or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
30.1	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Retain the objective but add comma following primary production in clause d) d) Other primary production, food processing, industrial and commercial end uses.	Accept	15.2
30.2	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Retain the objective	Accept in part	15.2
30.3	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Retain the objective, specifically water harvesting and storage.	Accept in part	15.2
32.2	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
33.2	Bruce	McGregor		Oppose OBJ TANK 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies and rules - Stock drinking water should be top priority.	Reject	15.2
34.4	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
34.6	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
35.2	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of agriculture and horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
35.76	Colin	Campbell		Opposes provisions which relate to water takes and management and which fail to provide for stock drinking water as a priority take.	Accept	15.2
36.1	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Reduction in allocation may affect the commercial viability of the business and may affect future expansion opportunities which will be beneficial to the community as a whole. Wish to ensure there is a level playing field.	Reject	15.2
37.2	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
38.2	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
39.4	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
39.6	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
40.4	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies 5.10.7 and rules - The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes. Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management which fail to provide for stock water as a priority take.	Reject	15.2
41.4	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
41.6	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
42.2	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2

43.2	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
43.74	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that they do not want to lose the ability to put water on when it is needed to irrigate crops	Reject	15.2
43.75	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that they fear Change 9 is not going to give them enough water to carry on growing apples for export.	Reject	15.2
44.2	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
44.76	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but implies that reduced water allocations could have negative economic effects.	Reject	15.2
45.6	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies 5.10.7 and rules - The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes. Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management which fail to provide for stock water as a priority take.	Reject	15.2
46.10.	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States accomplishments of PC9: Higher requirements for efficient water use by irrigators will be in place.	Accept in part	15.2
46.12	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	Believe the Plan could have done more to require water conservation/efficiency measures by all water users, including residents and the territorial authorities who serve them. Everyone needs to treat water as a finite resource and think seriously about how they can use it more efficiently	Reject	15.2
48.4	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
48.6	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
49.2	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
50.4	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Do not believe sufficient recognition has been given to potential upside impact of water on the growth of the farming economy, the wider Hawkes Bay economy (e.g. investment, job creation) and thereby its critical importance to the recovery of New Zealand's economy.	Reject	15.2
50.6	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	See more focus on how to expand the water allocation available to the farming community as a means of growing the Hawkes Bay economy, thereby improving the fortunes of all residents in the region.	Reject	15.2
50.7	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Consider objectives and policies should be better balance and more enabling of sustainable economic development, and sustainable land change use. Many land use changes can be positive for the environment particularly in reduction of nitrate usage and reduction of carbon emissions and positive for the regional economy (e.g. employment opportunities).	Reject	15.2
53.4	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
53.6	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
53.20.	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend OBJs TANK 16 & 17 to reflect the need for urban water use to reflect the values of the plan change by way of metering at the consumer level as occurs in other territorial authorities.	Reject	15.2

54.2	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
58.11	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend objective to include Te Mana O Te Wai and/or mauri of waterway, or as recommended by tangata whenua.	Reject	15.2
58.12	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to place the present and future mauri of the waterway ahead of the needs of future generations or as recommended by tangata whenua.	Accept in part	15.2
61.2	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
61.76	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but implies that reducing water allocations would have negative effects for the economy, individual growers and the sustainability of the industry.	Reject	15.2
62.2	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
62.76	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but implies that reduced water allocations may have negative impacts for the economy and New Zealand's food security.	Reject	15.2
63.1	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	No specific decision requested but states support for Objective 16, particularly the priority order.	Accept in part	15.2
63.2	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend subclause (b) to read: (b) The allocation and reservation of water for domestic supply including for marae and papakainga, and for municipal supply so that existing and future demand as described in HPUDES (2017) <u>and successive versions and/or any requirements prescribed under a NPS on Urban Development</u> can be met within the specified limits;	Reject	15.2
63.50.	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 to provide further options and opportunities for our business and urban communities to be able to sustainably grow within the limits of the water resources.	Reject	15.2
63.51	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Objective 16 to ensure that sufficient water is allocated for domestic and municipal supplies to allow for future and existing growth demands.	Reject	15.2
68.4	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
68.6	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
69.2	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
71.2	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
71.74	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision sought but implies a preference for basing water allocation on reasonable use (based on IRRICALC) than historic actual use.	Reject	15.2
72.2	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
72.74	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but suggests that if horticulturalists do not have enough water to irrigate their crops the economy and local food supply will be negatively affected.	Reject	15.2
72.75	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but suggests that horticulturalists need the ability to change land use and adapt water to suit evolving crops.	Reject	15.2
72.80.	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that we need to be 100% sure of the data we use to model stream flow levels and aquifer volumes so that any solutions are based accordingly and appropriately recognizing all aspects involved.	Reject	15.2

73.2	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
73.74	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but suggests that if horticulturalists do not have enough water to irrigate their crops the economy and local food supply will be negatively affected.	Reject	15.2
73.75	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but suggests that horticulturalists need the ability to change land use and adapt water to suit evolving crops.	Reject	15.2
73.80	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states that we need to be 100% sure of the data we use to model stream flow levels and aquifer volumes so that any solutions are based accordingly and appropriately recognizing all aspects involved.	Reject	15.2
75.4	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
75.6	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
76.4	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
76.6	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
77.2	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
79.2	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
79.74	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but implies that relying on historical water use to determine allocation would severely impact the sustainability of horticulture on the Heretaunga Plains.	Reject	15.2
79.75	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision sought but states that high quality soils should be used to produce high value crops, not limited by historic water uses.	Reject	15.2
81.4	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
81.6	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
82.2	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Objective 16(b) to read: "The allocation and reservation of water for domestic supply for marae and papakainga, for municipal supply so that existing and future demand as described in HPUDS (2017), and for the existing and likely future water demand of	Reject	15.2
84.4	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
84.6	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
86.2	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
86.74	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but implies opposition to any reduction from current water allocations.	Reject	15.2

87.4	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
87.6	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the	Reject	15.2
88.4	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
88.6	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
90.1	Sarah	Millington		From now on, allocation should be based on what is available after ecosystem health needs and essential human needs (including cultural needs) are met rather than in response to demands for ag/hort utilisation.	Accept in part	15.2
90.6	Sarah	Millington		Include a policy that prohibits extraction of groundwater for the purposes of water bottling in the TANK catchments, including a clear plan for phasing out the existing permits and extractions.	Reject	15.2
91.1	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
91.76	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states that not having irrigation water is not an option.	Reject	15.2
91.77	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Nospecific decision requested but implies that Change 9 should be amended to recognise and future proof other types of horticulture that may need more water than what is currently being modelled by providing flexibility around land use change and a flexible policy for increases in water allocation if it is required for development of intensive horticultural berry farms.	Reject	15.2
94.4	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
94.6	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
94.20.	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	The ability to change into alternative horticulture without penalty.	Reject	15.2
95.2	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
95.79	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but implies that Change 9 should be amended to recognise and future proof other types of horticulture that may need more water than what is currently being modelled by providing flexibility around land use change and a flexible policy for increases in water allocation if it is required for development of intensive horticultural berry farms.	Reject	15.2
96.2	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
96.76	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but implies reduction in water allocations could have negative economic impacts.	Reject	15.2

97.2	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
99.5	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Priorization introduced to this objective, and water harvesting and storage should be recognized as being the most important means of securing water for future generations. Reductions in water use, and thus steps towards achieving greater water security will be achieved through the matters identified in a), b) and c), however 'gains' are unlikely to be significant, as many horticultural growers are already achieving (or are beyond) good management practice with respect to their water use efficiency, with the technology that is currently available.	Reject	15.2
99.28	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	RRMP 32 and 33 - given the low level of knowledge about the quality of drainage water that the proposed changes to the rule are deleted, and their inclusion revisited at the me the plan is reviewed.	Reject	15.2
102.4	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
102.6	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
103.3	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
103.5	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
103.18	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	No specific relief requested but concerns raised around the impact on land used for viticulture.	Reject	15.2
104.2	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
104.76	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but implies changes to water takes and allocation could have negative economic effects.	Reject	15.2
105.2	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
105.76	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but implies that security of water supply is important for maintaining economic impacts.	Reject	15.2
106.4	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	Before there is any allocation – the stream should flow at all times of the year. After that there needs to be a community and Maori allocation. Relief sought - Community and Maori allocation first. Reduce over-allocation and abstraction from groundwater and surface water that contribute to low flows in, or no water being available in the karewarewa and Paritua streams.	Reject	15.2
106.16	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	No water bottling.	Reject	15.2
107.4	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2

107.6	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
109.11	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies and rules - Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management and which fail to provide for stock drinking water as a priority take. The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes	Accept in part	15.2
110.2	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
110.76	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but implies stream depletion models may be overly restrictive on those in tidally influenced areas.	Reject	15.2
110.77	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but implies reductions to water allocations may have negative economic effects.	Reject	15.2
111.3	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Full moratorium on water consents being granted for water bottling companies taking/drawing from the Heretaunga Aquifer and awa Ngaruroro and its tributaries	Reject	15.2
112.4	Ainsley	Harte		OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies 5.10.7 and rules - The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes. Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management which fail to provide for stock water as a priority take.	Accept in part	15.2
114.1	James	Lyons		OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies 5.10.7 and rules - The continuous provision of water is critical to animal welfare and should be a priority take above other non-essential takes. Oppose provisions which relate to water takes and management which fail to provide for stock water as a priority take.	Accept in part	15.2
117.3	Alison	Johnston	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Generally supportive of OBJ Tank 16 but opposes the priority order of water allocation groups listed under (a) to (e) of this objective. Seeks the relief of bringing forward the priority order of primary production food processing from (d) to (b) in OBJ Tank 16.	Reject	15.2
119.3	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Supports Plan provisions that acknowledge the impact of water quantity on safe drinking water. Excessive pumping of groundwater over time can diminish water quality through an increase in the concentration of naturally occurring compounds or as saltwater intrusion as examples.	Accept in part	15.2
119.6	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support, in recognition that the quality of source drinking water is directly impacted by water quantity and the allocation framework needs to be protected. Further research (SkyTem) is being undertaken to understand the effects and impacts of water quantity on water quality pertaining to the SPZs and request that the Panel recommend to HBRC the prioritising of the implementation of the research findings	Accept in part	15.2
120.32	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 and reflect in the rules framework that proportional claw backs across all existing consents ensure that Te Mana o Te Wai is given full and proper effect and that the Mauri and other cultural values of the waterbodies within the TANK catchments are restored and protected.	Accept in part	15.2
120.33	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 so that the first in, first served allocative model is reformed in the lifespan of Change 9 and renewal of water take permits needs to be on a case by case, discretionary basis rather than implicitly provided for by PC9.	Reject	15.2
120.34	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	There are a number of interrelated concerns as to how water is allocated which need to be accounted for and remedied and ensure alignment between PC9 and the RRMP	Reject	15.2
120.76	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Any cultural allocation to Ngati Kahungunu shall not have a stipulation as to its use and the policy should not be used as a tokenistic method of addressing the cultural needs and aspirations of Maori.	Reject	15.2
122.2	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
122.76	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but states that regulations should allow farmer and growers the flexibility to make changes to not only meet financial needs but also changing environmental needs.	Reject	15.2

123.2	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Ensure all water takes are required to cease at minimum flows except essential water takes for human drinking water supplies (which should be required to reduce during water shortage and at minimum flows). Abstractions which deplete streams should cease when minimum flows are reached in all cases.	Reject	15.2
123.3	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Ensure all water takes (including those for water storage and stream flow maintenance schemes) are within low flow and high flow allocation limits.	Accept in part	15.2
123.6	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Set high flow allocations for all rivers that ensure hydrological alteration of the flow regime is minimised and maintained close to natural flow regimes.	Accept in part	15.2
123.7	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Do not allow transfer of water permits into over-allocated ground and surface water management units.	Accept in part	15.2
123.37	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objectives 16, 17 and 18. Delete from objectives and move in PC9 to include as a policy and apply also to groundwater. Add new objectives E and F (see points 123.38 and 123.39).	Reject	15.2
123.38	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objective E - include as a new objective. "Flows and levels in surface waterbodies are maintained or enhanced to safeguard lifesupporting capacity and ecosystem health, recognise Te Mana o te Wai and to provide for the values in Schedule X and water is allocated efficiently within the limits in Schedules 31 and 32 and all water is used efficiently" or words to similar effect	Reject	15.2
123.39	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objective F - include as a new objective. "Future overallocation of surface water will be avoided and any existing overallocation will be phased out by 2040" or words to similar effect Alternatively, this objective could be combined with the suggested objective relating to overallocation of groundwater	Accept in part	15.2
124.3	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	OBJ TANK 16 (c) and (d) - Support	Accept in part	15.2
124.22	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Amend clause (a): the development of Maori the Hawke's Bay community's economic, cultural and social well-being is supported through regulating the use and allocation of the water available at high flows for taking, storage and use	Reject	15.2
127.6	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Reduce overallocation of water in the TANK catchments by introducing (over the 10 year life of the Plan) a capped allocation maximum of 70 million cubic litres per annum	Reject	15.2
127.7	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Introduce (over the 10 year life of the Plan) a new system of allocation of water in the TANK catchments that does not rely exclusively on 'first in, first served' basis.	Reject	15.2
127.8	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Allocate a cultural allocation (cultural share) to mana whenua and lwi to recognise and provide for rangatiratanga and kaitiakitanga within a capped allocation maximum of 70 million cubic litres per annum;	Reject	15.2
127.9	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Allocate a cultural allocation (cultural share) to te Wai / water itself, on the premise that all of the awa that form the TANK catchment are spiritual and physical entities to which and mana whenua have rights	Reject	15.2
132.13	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete all proposed provisions that enable or that are associated with flow enhancement (except existing consented flow enhancement activities, and enhancement of the Paritua Stream), stream augmentation, groundwater enhancement schemes, and prescribe construction of dams larger than 250,000 m3 as non-complying activities.	Reject	15.2
132.15	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga seek the avoidance of adverse effects where these are occurring such that limits are not being achieved, particularly when consents have expired or are due for renewal.	Reject	15.2
132.17	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Remove "actual and reasonable" from assessment criteria for resource consents to abstract water, and from consent renewal or extension processes.	Reject	15.2
132.31	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	No specific decisions requested but raise issues relating to water allocation.	Reject	15.2
132.48	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Take into account total permitted, controlled and restricted discretionary volumes above 60 m3 per week in a/location limits/quantum	Accept in part	15.2

132.91	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure restrictions on water abstraction in the operative RRMP, continue to apply through PC9 when flows in rivers/streams are at or fall below their minimum flow. Allow for abstraction to continue for domestic household use and firefighting, but restrict stock water use.	Reject	15.2
132.134	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prohibit any additional consents to take water from the HPAS for bottling and exporting purposes.	Reject	15.2
132.141	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Apply total instantaneous rate of take limits for TANK rivers and their tributaries, and ensure rates of take are based on sustainable management principles and within sustainable allocation limits. Ensure that these equate directly to allocatable volume totals and allocation rates for each surface water body. Include rates of take for abstraction permits regulated by other flows up to median flows.	Reject	15.2
133.3	Wi	Huata		PC9 does not right the wrong where some individuals and businesses have enormous water consents and others have none. Existing water rights holders need to apply along with first time applicants for water rights.	Reject	15.2
135.15	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 16 as follows: c) Primary production on versatile soils;	Reject	15.2
135.16	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain OBJ TANK 17 as notified.	Accept in part	15.2
135.17	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain OBJ TANK 18 as notified.	Accept in part	15.2
138.5	Marei	Apatu		Too many consents are being rolled over. PC9 to enable resource consents to take groundwater are called in to reduce their allocations on a pro rata basis (evenly).	Reject	15.2
142.12	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Partially agree. Priority c) and d) are-combined become priority c), priority e) becomes priority d)	Reject	15.2
142.13	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Partially agree. Amend OBJ TANK 17 a) to read 'in consideration of the development of Maori economic, cultural and social well-being...'	Reject	15.2
142.14	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Supports OBJ18	Accept in part	15.2
143.4	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
143.6	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
145.6	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	Add to list of provisions - (f) Regular review of the moratorium on drilling new bores based on scientific and/or circumstantial evidence that may be presented to Council.	Reject	15.2
147.4	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	For water allocation provisions in the plan - less water is taken out of our awa, the Kahumoko/Karewarewa, the Turamoe and the Awanui so there is enough left to support our taonga species and provide for their habitat.	Accept in part	15.2
162.2	Letitia	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to water quantity and allocation.	Reject	15.2
165.4	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
165.6	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
179.4	Wim	Barendsen	Otawahao Farms Ltd	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2

179.6	Wim	Barendsen	Otawahao Farms Ltd	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
180.6	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	PC9 does not adequately recognise the critical importance of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments, and there are some changes required to the proposed plan to ensure that sufficient water is available (particularly transfer of consented water and new water that can be taken at times of high flow), and some flexibility in terms of land use change is enabled to provide for that.	Reject	15.2
180.8	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	PC9 also effectively locks everyone into historic patterns of water and land use, which arguably is a pattern of water and land use that has resulted in some adverse effects on the environment. This plan change needs to provide opportunities for change that will enable improvements in freshwater management to be achieved. If the changes set out in this submission are incorporated into the plan change, then that could potentially be addressed.	Reject	15.2
180.18	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to clearly state that subsections a)-d) are <u>not</u> listed in any order of priority.	Reject	15.2
180.19	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to state that sub-sections <u>are</u> in order of priority, and reorder to list as follows: a) Water harvesting and storage; b) Flexible water allocation and management regimes; c) Aquifer recharge and flow enhancement; d) Water conservation, water use efficiency, and innovations in technology and management; e) Water reticulation	Reject	15.2
180.27	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding a definition of 'flushing flow' to the plan	Reject	15.2
180.28	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'The Council will achieve or maintain the freshwater targets or freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 <u>by working with landowners, landowner collectives, industry groups, and other stakeholders and will implement the following measures:</u> a) <u>establishing programmes and processes through Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Landowner Collectives and Industry Programmes to ensure land managers;</u> (i) <u>adopt industry good management practice;</u> (ii) <u>identify critical source areas of contaminants at all relevant scales;</u> (iii) <u>adopt effective measures to mitigate or reduce contaminant loss where this is necessary to achieve good management practice;</u> (iv) <u>prepare nutrient management plans in catchment not meeting targets for dissolved nitrogen;</u>	Reject	15.2
185.2	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
186.2	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
186.76	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but implies that changes to water allocations can have economic effects.	Reject	15.2
191.3	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	No specific decision requested but raises concerns relating to water quantity.	Reject	15.2
191.4	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	No specific decision requested but raises concerns with dams, flooding and safety	Reject	15.2
191.5	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	No specific decision requested but raises concerns with insufficient water to provide for mahinga kai	Reject	15.2
192.3	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Clause 17(a) is amended to refer to the economic, cultural and social well-being of the Hawke's Bay Community being supported through regulating the use and allocation of the water available at high flows for taking, storage and use.	Reject	15.2
193.18	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policies concerning consent renewal reliant on good water allocation records should not be enacted unless those records exist	Reject	15.2
193.20	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	This plan offers little opportunity to effect change, especially around new water use, even from storage	Reject	15.2
193.24	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	The consequence of policies as worded regarding reallocation of consents on the basis of "Actual and Reasonable" will not allow the use of previously allocated but not utilised water to be used to augment surface water flows (as is currently practiced by the Twyford Water Users group) because there will no longer be un-utilised water. The Global consents model that has been lauded a success by the HBRC will no longer be effective, unless as a collective they seek to augment with water from elsewhere (Storage)	Reject	15.2

194.3	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Takes an unnecessarily restrictive approach to the determination of “actual and reasonable” allocation volumes. In particular, the reliance on water usage in the previous ten year period raises concerns in terms of the likelihood of more frequent and/or severe droughts in the future due to climate change, and also in relation to the accuracy of water meters.	Accept in part	15.2
194.11	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PC9 unduly locks-in existing viticultural land uses, because they have an existing low water usage, and the provisions of PC9 would inappropriately restrict any change in land use and/or crop density, reestablishment, and the ability to attain more water than currently allocated, due to the definition of actual and reasonable.	Reject	15.2
194.12	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Concerned about economic issues: water allocation restrictions will have economic costs, actual and reasonable use will have economic opportunity costs, transfer of permit provisions raises issues around the capital value of high water use existing land uses, cost in constraining new or expanding irrigation-dependent crops, not allowing the market to determine the highest and best use of water.	Reject	15.2
194.28	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 16 should be amended to recognise that not all primary production occurs on versatile soils, and/or to clearly include viticulture (even on ‘non versatile soils’) in the third listed priority (c). For example (if it was intended that this objective draw a distinction between versatile and other soils), clause (c) could be amended to read: “primary production on versatile soils, and viticulture on other soils”.	Reject	15.2
194.29	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Consequential amendment is needed to the provisions of PC9 to ensure they align with OBJ TANK 17.	Accept in part	15.2
194.30.	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Consequential amendment is needed to the provisions of PC9 to ensure they align with OBJ TANK 18.	Accept in part	15.2
195.28	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend to prioritise domestic, livestock, and firefighting supply, and existing demand over future demand. Specific wording provided.	Accept	15.2
195.29	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 17 be amended as follows: The allocation and use of water results in <u>the sustainable management of freshwater quantity within limits, while enabling;</u> •the development of Maori economic, cultural and social well-being that is supported through regulating the use and allocation of the water available at high flows for taking, storage and use; ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.2
195.30.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 18 be amended as follows: ... e) Water harvesting and storage and use. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	15.2
196.2	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
197.5	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	OBJ 16, 17 and 18 and associated policies and rules - Amend existing and include as required new objectives, policies and rules to give effect to the following intent: •Provide for stock drinking water as a priority(permitted activity) take; • Establish take volumes (eg 70L per animal per day) which provide for animal health and wellbeing... • Enable these volumes to be taken as permitted activity; • Enable priority takes below minimum flows; or • Amend minimum flows to 1st limit takes for non priority uses; and • Enable priority takes to down to limits required to safeguard ecological health.	Accept in part	15.2

197.6	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Include new or amend existing objectives for Water quantity and allocation - Water quantity is managed to enable people, industry and agriculture to take and use water to meet their reasonable needs while ensuring that: a)For surface water: i. minimum flows and allocation regimes are set for the purpose of maintaining or enhancing (where degraded) the existing life supporting capacity of rivers and their beds, and providing for communities' values for freshwater. These values include community wellbeing, cultural values, economic values, and existing use and investment; ii. in times of water shortage where limits are being approached or are breached, takes are restricted to those that are essential to the health or safety of people and communities, and drinking water for animals, and other takes are progressively reduced; iii. the amount of water taken from waterbody does not compromise its existing life-supporting capacity or physical form and function;	Reject	15.2
198.4	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Include clear objectives and policies to phase out over-allocation of surface and groundwater and to avoid future overallocation, safeguard life-supporting capacity and ecosystem health, protect the significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands	Accept in part	15.2
198.5	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Ensure that water takes are required to cease at minimum flows (except essential water takes for human water drinking supplies) and that all water takes are within low flow and high flow allocation limits	Reject	15.2
199.4	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
199.6	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
201.15	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Stream flow maintenance - does not support stream flow 'augmentation', 'enhancement' or 'maintenance' as a solution to low flow issues. Policies are problematic and no reference to Mana Whenua values on these policies. If augmentation/enhancement/maintenance flow is very large or maintained for long periods negative consequences will occur. These practices are experimental and lack long term data that looks at cumulative effects.	Reject	15.2
201.29	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) Environmental flows - Te man o te Wai; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	15.2
201.30.	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) the development of Maori economic, cultural and social well-being which is recognised and prioritised by Council through: I. regulating the use and allocation of the water available at high flows for taking, storage and use; II. reviewing water perm relating to ground and surface water takes; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Accept in part	15.2
201.31	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend and reorder bullet points starting with a) water conservation, water use efficiency, and scientifically robust innovations in technology and management that sustainably enhances the environment; The rest of the bullet points outlined in the submission.	Reject	15.2
203.6	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil	Retain objectives 16 to 18 as notified.	Accept in part	15.2
204.4	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
204.6	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
204.21	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Farmers who have used water inefficiently should be penalised not efficient users.	Reject	15.2
207.1	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	No specific decision requested but states support for Objective 16, particularly the priority order.	Accept in part	15.2

207.2	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend subclause (b) to read: (b) The allocation and reservation of water for domestic supply including for marae and papakainga, and for municipal supply so that existing and future demand as described in HPUDES (2017) <u>and successive versions and/or any requirements prescribed under a NPS on Urban Development</u> can be met within the specified limits;	Reject	15.2
207.70.	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	The pathway or provision for new opportunities needs to be resolved so as to not prevent the economic and social wellbeing of the Hastings District. In specific circumstances the re-allocation of water to new takes may be appropriate <u>and the status of supplementary takes from augmentation schemes needs to be clearer.</u>	Reject	15.2
207.71	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Broader matters beyond 'current use' need to be considered during replacement processes for non-irrigation takes. These assessments should provide for the consideration of growth planned at time of the original consent so as to not undermine previous decisions and efforts in relation to economic development and to avoid potential implications on the <u>social wellbeing of the Hastings District.</u>	Reject	15.2
207.73	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Need to recognise that the nature of urban growth demands, including the statutory obligation to provide for it, are different to other sectors and that water takes for municipal and industrial purposes therefore require different <u>management tools.</u>	Reject	15.2
207.74	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Need to recognise HPUDES as providing guidance around minimum demands when planning for municipal growth, but that changes are inevitable and more frequent than plan cycles and should be considered in a positive and proactive manner.	Reject	15.2
207.80.	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	HDC supports the HBRC's and the TANK Group's objective to improve water quality and to the manage allocation of water in the Greater Heretaunga Freshwater catchments. But seeks that Change 9 is amended to better enable the community to transition to a new future around water use, while still providing for growth and enhancement in community wellbeing and prosperity to be considered.	Reject	15.2
208.4	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
208.6	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
208.11	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Reject	15.2
209.3	Waiariki	Davis		Restore groundwater levels.	Accept in part	15.2
209.4	Waiariki	Davis		Restore artesian pressure.	Accept in part	15.2
211.2	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
211.48	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.2
213.6	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
214.2	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
214.76	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but implies changes to water takes and allocation could have negative economic effects.	Reject	15.2
216.2	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	The value of horticulture, its contribution to export earnings, its role in providing for domestic food supply and security, and the ability to feed people in the future particularly in a changing climate, is not currently reflected in the proposed	Reject	15.2
216.7	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Allocation based on the 'lesser amount of actual and reasonable' will directly impact land use change, land value, and growth, effectively locking the plains into historic patterns of water and land use. PC9 needs to provide opportunities for change that will enable improvements in freshwater management to be achieved and without adverse effects of the <u>industry's potential for growth.</u>	Reject	15.2

216.13	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Annual allocations rather than a flat line reduction (based on monthly allocations) will allow growers to irrigate to their actual use patterns. Industry can use the same amount of water (or less) over a time period if allocation is made appropriately to work with industry's pattern of use.	Reject	15.2
216.16	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Stream or river depletion assessments - inclusion of provision for the ongoing ability for individuals to manage their own effects.	Reject	15.2
216.23	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Believe that we need to allow time for changes to be implemented, but also we believe it is critical to work with growers as they require assurance that they can continue to operate, environmentally and economically sustainably, for them to make investments in infrastructure etc.	Reject	15.2
217.2	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
217.3	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Reject	15.2
218.2	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
219.76	Michael & Julie	Russell		The ability to change land use and crop rotations with the understanding that sufficient irrigation water allocation is available for us to continue to do as we are currently practicing.	Reject	15.2
220.2	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
220.76	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	No specific decision requested but implies that changes to water allocation may have economic effects.	Reject	15.2
221.2	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.2
221.76	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but implies that changes to water allocations could have economic effects.	Reject	15.2
222.2	Owen Jerry	Hāpuku		PC9 would then need to have a provision in it that requires both a reduction in over-all quantity of water abstracted from the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System (reductions in current use) PLUS a method to ensure expiring consents are dealt with in such a manner that these reductions are applied as resource consents come up for renewal.	Reject	15.2
224.3	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	It seems realistic to cap water use based on the driest season which is now noted as 2019/20. With the advent of climate change and the possibility of longer and more intense droughts this benchmark requires a provision to be periodically reviewed.	Reject	15.2
224.4	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	The assumption used in the irrigation NZ Irricalc water allocation tool will need to be carefully considered.	Reject	15.2
233.8	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Add additional bullet point a) the life supporting capacity of water bodies	Reject	15.2
233.9	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Add new bullet point a) Sustainable water allocation	Accept in part	15.2
238.4	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.2
238.6	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend OBJ TANK 16.c to read "Primary production on versatile and viticultural soils", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend OBJ TANK 16.e to read "Water bottling and other non-commercial end uses", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.2
240.9	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Better protection of springs and surface water recharge. Springs that provide recharge to surface water, their contributions to surface water require protection. As written springs gradually diminish due to reduction in aquifer pressures due to groundwater abstraction. Then they go into reversal and draws water from streams/rivers down into the aquifer.	Accept in part	15.2

240.11	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Acknowledgement of overuse of groundwater and effects on the efficacy of springs, including the advent of spring reversal and surface water recharge due to groundwater abstraction.	Accept in part	15.2
241.15	Penny and John	Reynolds		Believe the general emphasis should be on mitigation rather than restriction. The best way to improve the current perceived over allocation is to encourage water storage rather than the theme of the plan which is all about limitations and restrictions.	Reject	15.2
3.3	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - Policy 37(e)	Accept in part	15.3
Table 15.3: Heretaunga Plains Groundwater Levels and Allocation Limits						
3.15	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend clause (g) to refer to reducing existing levels of water use to actual and reasonable water needs, as provided for in 5.10.6 Policy 37(d)(ii).	Reject	15.3
3.16	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend Policy 37(d)(ii): "apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 (except as provided by Policy 50 and except where a consent renewal application subject to s124 has sought to change the intended use of the abstracted water)."	Reject	15.3
3.17	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend Policy 5.10.6 Policy 39 to be consistent with RRMP POL TT11 and Table 5.9.7.	Reject	15.3
5.1	Daniel	Soltau		Remove Clause (f) - avoiding further adverse effects by not allowing new water use Include a clause that allows for new consents to be considered, on the basis of growing horticultural products. Include a clause that allows for small horticultural enterprises to apply for new consents	Reject	15.3
6.1	Daniel	Soltau		Amend Clause (b) and (c) to reallocate water that becomes available to be used for new consent requests by smaller food growers on less than 5Ha. Locally owned small enterprises should be given priority over foreign owned enterprises that ship their profits overseas.	Reject	15.3
6.2	Daniel	Soltau		Amend Clause (b) to be five years	Reject	15.3
8.9	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 37(d)	Accept in part	15.3
8.14	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 27(a)	Accept in part	15.3
8.31	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 36(f) - Amend clause (f) so that it does not preclude the use of a consented but as yet unused allocation of water that relates to future planned primary production developments.	Accept in part	15.3
8.32	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 36(g) - An unqualified reference to "reducing existing levels of water use" does not provide adequate guidance to decision-makers.	Reject	15.3
8.33	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 36 - Amend Policy 36, and other Plan provisions as may be necessary, to enable water that has been allocated for irrigation on an actual and reasonable basis to also be abstracted, stored and thereafter used for irrigating crops in the eventuality of an irrigation ban being imposed later in the season, provided that in doing so a consent holder does not exceed their seasonal allocation.	Reject	15.3
8.34	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 37(d)(ii) - Amend clause (d)(ii) to refer to "up to 2 May 2020".	Reject	15.3
8.35	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 37(e) - Amend clause (e) to read (or similar): "... schemes, including through an individual consent holder's use of stored water to augment stream flows."	Accept in part	15.3
8.36	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 39 - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 45 and Schedule 36 to enable an individual consent holder to mitigate their stream depletion effects, including though the use of stored water captured at times of high river flow.	Reject	15.3
8.37	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 40 - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 45 and Schedule 36 to enable an individual consent holder to mitigate their stream depletion effects, including though the use of stored water captured at times of high river flow.	Reject	15.3
8.39	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Schedule 36 - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 45 and Schedule 36 to enable an individual consent holder to mitigate their stream depletion effects, including though the use of stored water captured at times of high river flow.	Reject	15.3

8.40.	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 39 - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 41 so that it is clear that HBRC will fully implement Policy 41 <u>before</u> requiring individual consent holders to mitigate stream depletion effects by way of contributions to “stream flow	Accept in part	15.3
8.41	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 40 - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 41 so that it is clear that HBRC will fully implement Policy 41 <u>before</u> requiring individual consent holders to mitigate stream depletion effects by way of contributions to “stream flow <u>maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes”</u> .	Accept in part	15.3
8.42	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 41 - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 41 so that it is clear that HBRC will fully implement Policy 41 <u>before</u> requiring individual consent holders to mitigate stream depletion effects by way of contributions to “stream flow <u>maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes”</u> .	Accept in part	15.3
11.6	Matt	Edwards		No further allocations from the Heretaunga Plains aquifer. It seems Council has in general denied resource consent applications for water since 2017. For applications pertaining to production of saleable export commodities, how is this an	Reject	15.3
13.9	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support 5.10.6 Policies - retain as proposed.	Accept	15.3
14.7	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 37d(ii) - Amend the definition of “Actual and Reasonable” to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of:	Reject	15.3
14.8	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 39 - Amend to apply flow maintenance requirement only to suitable lowland streams, remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River should be augmented in whole or in part and require Council to take a central role in establishment of flow maintenance schemes in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe	Accept in part	15.3
15.9	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 37- Amend the definition of “Actual and Reasonable” to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke’s Bay-specific IRRICALCmodel at 95% security of supply; -the expiring consent being replaced	Reject	15.3
15.10.	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 39 - Amend to apply flow maintenance requirement only to suitable lowland streams, remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River should be augmented in whole or in part and require Council to	Accept in part	15.3
16.4	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 to reduce allocations and abstractions that contribute to low flow that are affecting traditional waterbodies.	Accept in part	15.3
16.13	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 so that when rivers are depleted there are strategies implemented to restore to original state.	Accept in part	15.3
18.4	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	I am concerned that PC9’s approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.3
18.9	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend Policy 36.f to read “avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
18.10.	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read “(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...”. or similar wording to	Reject	15.3
18.12	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
19.2	John	Palmer		Believes a mechanism based on pro rata reduction across all stream depleting takes would be fairer to all users. My understanding is the maximum take rate is required to drop from 1581 L/s to 1300 L/s. This is just under a 18% drop in flow which could be uniformly applied to all takes. My contention is that a much fairer and more flexible approach both for individuals and for the area as a whole is the pro rata reduction mechanism I have previously outlined.	Reject	15.3
20.9	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 37- Amend the definition of “Actual and Reasonable” to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke’s Bay-specific IRRICALCmodel at 95% security of supply; -the expiring consent being replaced	Reject	15.3

20.10.	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 39 - Amend to apply flow maintenance requirement only to suitable lowland streams, remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River should be augmented in whole or in part and require Council to take a central role in establishment of flow maintenance schemes in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels (excluding an allowance for basic human needs) based on annual consent volume	Accept in part	15.3
22.1	Peter	Clayton	PB & BG Clayton	Water allocation reductions - I recommend the irracalc model is used for water allocation purposes and the 90% allocation level be raised, preferably to 100%	Reject	15.3
23.9	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
23.42	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
23.43	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
23.44	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
23.45	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
23.46	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
23.47	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
23.48	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
23.49	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
23.50.	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
23.51	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
23.53	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
23.54	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
23.56	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
23.74	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision sought but states that the ability to access the reliable supply of water in sufficient quantities to irrigate crops is absolutely critical to the pip and stone fruit industries in Hawke's Bay.	Reject	15.3
23.76	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3

23.77	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
26.9	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
26.10.	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
26.12	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
28.9	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
28.10.	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
28.12	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Reject	15.3
29.19	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use <u>efficiency.</u> " or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
29.20.	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 37.a to read "adopt an interim allocation limit of 90 million cubic meters per year based on the actual and reasonable modelled peak water use prior to 2017;" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
29.21	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 37.b to read "avoid re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit (<u>not including water made available by high flow take and release and by offset or managed aquifer recharge</u>) or within the limit of any connected water body until there has been a review of the relevant allocation limits within this plan;" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3

29.22	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 37.c to read "manage the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit as an over-allocated management unit and prevent any new allocations of groundwater (<u>not including water made available by high flow take and release and by offset or managed aquifer recharge</u>)" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
29.23	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: - the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; - the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept in part	15.3
29.24	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 38 to read "restrict the re-allocation of water (<u>not including water made available by high flow take and release and by offset or managed aquifer recharge</u>) to holders of permits to take and use water in the Heretaunga Water Management Unit issued before 2 May 2020" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
29.25	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	HBWG understands that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. HBWG supports, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC. Note that consequential changes in the TANK rules 9 & 10 will be required, to remove the Stream Flow Maintenance Scheme membership condition.	Accept in part	15.3
29.26	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 41 to read: "The Council will remedy the stream depletion effects of groundwater takes in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit on the Ngaruroro River, in consultation with mana whenua, land and water users and the wider community through: a) further investigating the environmental, technical, cultural and economic feasibility of a water storage and release scheme to off-set the cumulative stream depletion effect of groundwater takes <u>to the extent required to maintain the Ngaruroro River at or above the Minimum Flow specified in Schedule 31;</u> " Note that consequential changes in the TANK rules 9 & 10 will be required, to remove the Stream Flow Maintenance Scheme membership condition.	Reject	15.3

29.27	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 42.d to read "the extent of any stream flow maintenance, <u>groundwater augmentation</u> and habitat enhancement schemes..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 42.e(ii) to read "effectiveness of <u>any</u> stream flow maintenance schemes and <u>groundwater augmentation schemes</u> in maintaining water flows <u>and levels</u> ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept in part	15.3
32.9	Kent	Griffiths		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
32.42	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
32.43	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme .	Reject	15.3
32.44	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
32.45	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
32.46	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
32.47	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
32.49	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
32.50	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
32.51	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
32.53	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
32.54	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
32.56	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
32.74	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision sought but states that it is essential as an apple grower over the few months of high heat to irrigate my crops a little often.	Reject	15.3
32.75	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3

32.76	Kent	Griffiths		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
34.9	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
34.10	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
34.12	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Reject	15.3
34.20	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: •the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; •The volume of expiring consent being replaced", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept in part	15.3
35.9	Colin	Campbell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
35.42	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
35.43	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
35.44	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
35.45	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
35.46	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
35.49	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
35.50	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
35.51	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
35.53	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
35.54	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3

35.56	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
35.74	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
35.75	Colin	Campbell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
36.4	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Concerns around Policy 37.a) - We consider that reducing annual allocation down to 90M m3 should be a target or goal, rather than a "limit". Enforcing 90M m3 as a limit does not leave room for augmentation against stream depletion over and above adequate irrigation needs (as per Irricalc modelling). Any new limit will also only be achieved over time, as consents are replaced. It may also be that over time, more information or science becomes available that is relevant to any hard "limit". It is also unclear what is meant by an "interim" limit. Does that suggest flexibility in the future for both a higher and a lower limit.	Reject	15.3
36.5	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Concerns around Policy 37. c) If there are to be no new allocations, this would have a significant effect on future land use/industry expansion. Mr Apple	Reject	15.3
36.6	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Concerns around Policy 37.d) ii) - When renewing consents, it is proposed that new water allocations will be the lower of either the Irricalc volume or the	Reject	15.3
36.7	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Concerns around Policy 37.e) - We are supportive of providing for stream flow maintenance, ie flow augmentation. It is unclear if this will only be	Reject	15.3
36.10.	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Clarify/amend Policy 39. b) It is understood that domestic takes are to be reduced from 20m3 to 5m3/day. Does this include Recognised Seasonal Employer (RSE) accommodations? If not, then are these accommodation sites taken into consideration when calculating reasonable water allocations? What is an individual's water use considered to be daily? We can have 90 or more seasonal employees staying on-site. They generally use water from our "general" commercial water-takes, which can add up. We would want to make sure that water remains available for them, and that our commercial use is not unduly penalised, because in response to worker accommodation issues, we are providing that on-site.	Reject	15.3
37.9	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
37.42	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would	Reject	15.3
37.43	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
37.44	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
37.45	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
37.46	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

37.47	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
37.49	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
37.50	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
37.51	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
37.53	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
37.54	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
37.55	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
37.56	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
37.74	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No particular decision requested but states that having certainty around water supply is essential for the ongoing growth of the horticulture industry	Accept in part	15.3
37.75	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
37.76	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
38.9	Roger	Brownlie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
38.42	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
38.43	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
38.44	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
38.45	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
38.46	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
38.47	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

38.49	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
38.50	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
38.51	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
38.53	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
38.54	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
38.56	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
38.74	Roger	Brownlie		Amend allocation of water to be on the crop grown rather than past water use.	Reject	15.3
38.77	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
38.78	Roger	Brownlie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
39.9	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
39.10	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
39.12	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
41.9	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
41.10	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept	15.3
41.12	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
42.8	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Plan Change 9 so that permit holders who are required to cease abstraction because they are linked to the Ngaruroro River "low flow trigger limit" are exempt from policy 39(a)(i)	Reject	15.3
42.9	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Plan Change 9 so that permit holders who are required to cease abstraction because they are linked to the Ngaruroro River "low flow trigger limit" should be exempt from policy 39 a (i).	Reject	15.3
42.10	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Change 9 so that the costs associated with implementing an augmentation scheme is funded by all permit holders who benefit from such a scheme, including municipal and industrial users	Reject	15.3
42.11	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Change 9 so that costs associated with implementing an augmentation scheme are funded by all permit holders who benefit from such a scheme, including municipal and industrial users	Accept in part	15.3
42.14	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	No specific decision requested but suggests a consistent and reliable water supply is essential for horticulture irrigation.	Reject	15.3

43.9	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
43.42	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
43.43	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
43.44	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
43.45	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
43.46	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
43.47	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
43.49	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
43.50	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
43.51	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
43.53	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
43.54	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
43.56	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
43.76	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
43.77	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
44.9	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
44.42	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
44.43	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3

44.44	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
44.45	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
44.46	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
44.47	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
44.49	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
44.50	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
44.51	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
44.53	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
44.54	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
44.56	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
44.74	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
44.75	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
46.2	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States the accomplishments of PC9: Puts a "sinking lid" in place whereby new consents for Heretaunga aquifer water are barred, to avoid exacerbating existing stress on the aquifer, while all existing consents will be reviewed and adjusted	Accept	15.3
47.4	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Policy 37 a)-d) - This limit is an arbitrary number without reference to location and identification of specific adverse effect. There is no provision for mitigation to exceed 90 million cubic metres.	Reject	15.3
47.5	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Policy 38 a)-b) - This limit is an arbitrary number without reference to location and identification of specific adverse effect. There is no provision for mitigation to exceed 90 million cubic metres.	Reject	15.3
47.7	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Policy 36 f) - This is a broad-brush approach without reference to location, adverse effects and mitigation.	Reject	15.3
47.8	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Policy 42 g) - The plan change should not be based on theoretical over-allocation but on actual use and real-world adverse effects and mitigation.	Accept in part	15.3
48.9	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read "reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
48.10	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3

48.12	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
48.20	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Allow new water use if it is used to enhance the current business or maintain / improve a level of business supporting the local community. Do not reduce current levels of water usage.	Reject	15.3
48.21	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Allow business with existing land use enough water to be able to continue farming in the way that it has been operating in the past 10 years.	Reject	15.3
49.9	John	Parsons		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
49.42	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
49.43	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
49.44	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
49.45	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
49.46	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
49.47	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
49.49	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
49.50	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
49.51	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
49.52	John	Parsons		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
49.53	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3

49.54	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
49.55	John	Parsons		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
49.56	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
49.75	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that water allocation is equitable and all land are is treated equally over the plains where consents already exist.	Reject	15.3
49.76	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but suggests that using IRRICALC will allow for variations that occur in actual water use as a result of the age of trees.	Accept in part	15.3
49.77	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
49.78	John	Parsons		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
53.9	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
53.10	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
53.12	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
53.21	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend policy to read Policy 5.10.6.37.c) manage the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit as a fully allocated resource and to control any new allocations of groundwater to fit within the allocation limits indicated by there view conducted under 5.10.6.37.b).	Reject	15.3
54.9	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
54.42	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
54.43	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
54.44	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
54.45	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3

54.46	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
54.47	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
54.49	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
54.50	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
54.51	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
54.53	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
54.54	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
54.56	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
54.74	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
54.75	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
58.10	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend objective to state "subject to limits, targets, and flow regimes that reflect Te Mana o Te Wai or the mauri of the waterway" or as recommended by tangata whenua.	Reject	15.3
58.23	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend Policies 36 and 37 to cap groundwater use at 70M cubic metres until the hydrological investigations and aquifer modelling have been undertaken.	Reject	15.3
58.24	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend policies 39 and 40 to include clauses that read: "A numeric assessment of the degree of aquifer/streamflow depletion at the point of take versus the length and value of the habitat restored by streamflow enhancement"	Accept in part	15.3
58.25	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Remove Policy 42 in its entirety.	Reject	15.3
59.1	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Policy 37(a) to read: ... reasonable water use prior to 2017 2 May 2020.	Reject	15.3
59.2	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Policy 37 to specify a clear time-frame/deadline for a confirmation of the new permanent limit.	Reject	15.3
59.3	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Policy 37(b) to read: avoid the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body until there has been a review of the relevant allocation limits within this plan;	Reject	15.3
59.4	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Delete Policy 37(c).	Reject	15.3
59.5	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Policy 37(d)(ii) to read: apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use but will not grant water if the take exceeds the allocation limit for the catchments as stated in a and b that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 (except as provided by Policy 50);	Reject	15.3
59.6	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 37(e) with the following recommendation: Reference to proposed stream flow maintenance schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
59.7	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 40(e)(iii).	Accept	15.3
59.8	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 41(a).	Accept	15.3
61.9	Greg	Simpson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3

61.42	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
61.43	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
61.44	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
61.45	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
61.46	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
61.47	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
61.49	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
61.50	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
61.51	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
61.53	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
61.54	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
61.56	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
61.74	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
61.75	Greg	Simpson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
62.9	Jonty	Moffett		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
62.42	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
62.43	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
62.44	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3

62.45	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
62.46	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly	Accept in part	15.3
62.47	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly	Accept in part	15.3
62.49	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
62.50	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
62.51	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
62.53	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
62.54	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
62.56	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
62.74	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
62.75	Jonty	Moffett		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
63.3	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend subclause (f) to allow new takes under 'exceptional circumstances' or similar terminology and introduce an additional Policy to guide what these circumstances may be (refer relief sought in relation to Policy 37). Suggested wording as follows: Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
63.4	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 37 to: •Treat the interim 'limit' as a target •Still manage the resource as over-allocated (generally) subject to exceptions – particularly those supported by Policy LW2 of the RPS. •Better acknowledge that new allocations based on actual use over previous years may not be a reasonable approach for all replacement processes. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
63.6	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy to outline what is proposed to be investigated/enabled prior to replacement processes to achieve a reduction in allocation as a result of those processes.	Reject	15.3
63.7	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 39 as follow to: 1. Re-order the sequence of the Policy (shift ba and c to a and b) 2. Provide for a Water Conservation Strategy approach for municipal takes rather than a requirement to cease. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
63.8	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 40 to enable transfers of allocated but un-used water if this to assist augmentation. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
63.9	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 41 so there is a clear intention to be working towards this such that its implementation can be considered as part of the Plan review in 10 years when the groundwater limit is to be defined as this is likely to be a very relevant factor. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
63.10	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 so that there is a more strategic approach around investigating and establishing flow enhancement schemes to inform/enable this review.	Accept in part	15.3

63.11	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy to include consideration of information on the long term sustainable equilibrium of the groundwater resource. Suggested wording provided.	Accept in part	15.3
63.53	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 so that the current wording of an 'interim' aquifer limit of 90 million m3 is treated as a target, with a view to developing a formal limit in accordance with policy 42	Reject	15.3
63.57	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Requests more information about how the Stream Mitigation Scheme will be implemented, and effects for costs and meeting legislative requirements.	Accept in part	15.3
63.58	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 so that municipal takes be excluded from this provision and instead, that a water conservation strategy approach be required, until certainty and clarity are provided regarding implementation and costs.	Reject	15.3
66.2	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 37(a) so that date of 2017 is 2 May 2020 and there is a timeframe specified for confirmation of the new permanent limit.	Reject	15.3
66.3	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 37(b) to read: "avoid the re-allocation of any water surrendered to the Council that might become available within the interim ground water allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme."	Reject	15.3
66.4	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Delete Policy 37(c)	Reject	15.3
66.5	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 37(d)(ii) to read: "apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use but will not grant water if the take exceeds the allocation limit for the"	Reject	15.3
66.6	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 37(e) reference to proposed stream flow maintenance schemes	Reject	15.3
66.7	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	States support for Policy 40(e)(iii)	Reject	15.3
66.8	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 40(e) to read: "further investigating the environmental, technical, cultural and economic feasibility of a water storage and release scheme to offset the effects of flow below the minimum flow (2400L/s) cumulative stream depletion effect of groundwater takes"	Reject	15.3
68.9	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read "reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
68.10.	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
68.20.	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	To allocate a fair volume of water for the current land use and a resource consent water permit that has weekly take volumes representative of Irricalc.	Reject	15.3
69.9	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
69.42	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
69.43	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
69.44	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
69.45	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3

69.46	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
69.47	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
69.49	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
69.50.	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
69.51	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
69.53	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
69.54	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
69.56	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
69.75	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
69.76	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
70.1	Mike	Glazebrook		Policies 36 and 37 need to have added the same proviso that was agreed at the time of the moratorium. That is to say, in effect, "there will be no further allocations of groundwater without mitigation".	Reject	15.3
71.9	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
71.42	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
71.43	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
71.44	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
71.45	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
71.46	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
71.47	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

71.49	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
71.50	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
71.51	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
71.53	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
71.54	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
71.56	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
71.75	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
71.76	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
72.9	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
72.42	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
72.43	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
72.44	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
72.45	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Accept in part	15.3
72.46	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
72.49	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
72.50	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
72.51	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
72.53	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
72.54	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3

72.56	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
72.81	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
72.82	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.3
73.9	Bevan	Davidson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.3
73.42	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the	Reject	15.3
73.43	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the	Reject	15.3
73.44	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
73.45	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
73.46	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
73.47	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
73.49	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
73.50	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
73.51	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
73.53	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
73.54	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
73.56	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
73.81	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
73.82	Bevan	Davidson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.3
75.9	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
75.10	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
75.12	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3

76.9	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
76.10.	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
76.12	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
76.20.	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	We ask that council put maximum effort into establishing accurate records of actual water use to enable fair allocation of existing resources. We also ask that any change of land use be assessed in terms of overall impact on the wider Hawkes	Reject	15.3
76.21	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	We ask that council take into account the fact that grapes have a very low water requirement and that many grape growers already employ a range of techniques to ensure that they only supply their vines with exactly the amount of water they require. Grape growers should not be penalised for efficiently managing a crop with an inherently low water requirement . The 2019/20 season would provide a reasonable baseline for the highest potential water use in any future season. The Irricalc model should be used in conjunction with 2019/20 data to provide a baseline for future allocations of water to vineyards.	Accept in part	15.3
77.9	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
77.42	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
77.43	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
77.44	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
77.45	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
77.46	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
77.47	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
77.49	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
77.50.	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
77.51	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
77.53	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3

77.54	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
77.56	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
77.74	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
77.75	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
79.9	Richard	Penreath		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
79.42	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
79.43	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
79.44	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
79.45	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
79.46	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
79.47	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
79.49	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
79.50.	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
79.51	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
79.53	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3

79.54	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
79.56	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
79.76	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
79.77	Richard	Penreath		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
81.9	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
81.10	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
81.12	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
82.6	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Policy 36(f) and (g) to permit increased water take and use by regionally significant industry, provided such increased water use accords with best industry practice, (including in relation to the efficiency of the take and use of water) and does not cause an allocation limit in the Plan to be exceeded.	Reject	15.3
82.8	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Policy 37(a) - Delete the words "based on the actual and reasonable water use prior to 2017" from Policy 37(a)	Accept in part	15.3
82.9	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Delete Policy 37(b) and amend Policy 37(c) to add the words "that cause the allocation limit in Policy 37(a) above to be exceeded", or words to like effect.	Reject	15.3
82.10	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Policy 37(d) (ii) to read: "Apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use (for irrigation users) and water use (for all users) authorised in the ten years up to 2 May 2020 (except as provided by Policy 50)."	Accept in part	15.3
82.11	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Policy 36(g) to refocus the policy on achieving reductions in cumulative water abstraction that target those periods in which cumulative abstractions will have greatest effect on other abstractors and on ecological and other ground or surface water values. We expect that such rewording would focus on reducing summer groundwater takes from the unconfined aquifer, particularly those assessed as causing a direct and virtually immediate effect on surface water flows.	Reject	15.3

82.13	Trevor	Robinson	Low Corporation Limited	Delete Policy 39 and substitute: A Council commitment to assess and develop stream augmentation options in consultation with all sectors of the community including iwi that are efficient, cost effective, and which ensure satisfactory ecosystem outcomes in the surface water bodies affected by groundwater takes from the Heretaunga Aquifer during summer low flow periods; and Require applications to renew consents for groundwater abstraction in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit to demonstrate and implement industry good practice standards in the interim in accordance with Policy 52'.	Accept in part	15.3
84.9	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
84.10	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
84.12	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
84.21	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend the policy to give efficient users of the resource a greater proportion of their calculated needs and also to allow some leeway in the event of a crop change that would require higher water use. This could be achieved by an "averaging" of water use so that inefficient users would need to become significantly more efficient and already efficient users would not have their property values constrained by a lack of allocation for more water intensive crops. This particularly applies to smaller blocks where water storage is impractical and they are more likely to convert to more intensive high value crops.	Reject	15.3
86.9	Peter	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
86.42	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
86.43	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
86.44	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
86.45	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
86.46	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

86.47	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
86.49	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
86.50	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
86.51	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
86.53	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
86.54	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
86.56	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
86.75	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
86.76	Peter	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
87.9	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
87.10	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept	15.3
87.12	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
88.9	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
88.10	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
88.12	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
90.4	Sarah	Millington		That Council takes a very precautionary approach to augmentation, and seeks instead to use sustainable strategies to address the issue.	Accept in part	15.3
91.8	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
91.41	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3

91.42	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
91.43	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
91.44	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
91.45	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
91.46	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
91.48	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
91.49	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
91.50	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
91.52	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
91.53	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
91.55	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
91.80	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
91.81	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
92.1	Sally	Gallagher	Apollo Foods Limited	We would request that if a review is conducted of current water consents around water allocation that the Regional Council takes into consideration the following: Apollo Foods is a new business and it is unlikely that in the short term will be able to demonstrate full use of the current water allocation within consent WP170342T. This puts at risk the 30 million investment that has been made in Hawke's Bay to develop and grow a food beverage business with appropriate food processing infrastructure. Any review needs to take in to account the future potential of a specific consent, not just history. This is critical to allow any new business the opportunity to grow with the appropriate support from regional authorities.	Reject	15.3
94.9	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3

94.10.	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
94.12	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
95.9	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
95.42	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
95.43	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
95.44	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
95.45	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
95.46	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
95.47	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
95.49	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
95.50.	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
95.51	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
95.53	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
95.54	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
95.55	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
95.56	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
95.74	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
95.75	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
96.9	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3

96.42	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
96.43	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
96.44	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
96.45	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
96.46	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
96.47	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
96.49	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
96.50	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
96.51	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
96.53	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
96.54	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
96.55	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
96.56	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
96.74	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
96.75	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
97.9	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
97.10	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
97.43	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3

97.44	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
97.45	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
97.46	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
97.47	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
97.48	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
97.50	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
97.51	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
97.52	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
97.54	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
97.55	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
97.56	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
97.57	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
97.58	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
97.89	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend so that the term reasonable, issued alongside crop modelling, be used.	Reject	15.3

99.12	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	That new water use is proposed to be allowed through high flow takes, so f) must be reworded to enable that water to be taken. The wording of this policy as agreed by the TANK collaborative group was to 'restrict' new allocations, rather than avoid, and Twyford Water supports amendment to reflect that. Twyford Water also opposes the requirement to 'reduce existing levels of water use' set out in g) as this precludes the use of new stored water and fails to recognise that the interim allocation limit of 90 million cubic meters is intended to align with previous actual water usage and that the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer is considered to be overallocated based on cumulative consented volume but not on cumulative consented actual use.	Accept in part	15.3
99.13	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Strongly of the view that the specific reference to '90 million cubic meters per year' should be deleted, and the wording amended to actual and reasonable use allocation be inserted without a numeric number. Questions the avoidance of re-allocation of water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation, within the life of this plan. Twyford Water submits that this water could and should be made available if it is to be used for primary production purposes, or for use in stream flow maintenance and enhancement schemes. Arguably the re-allocation of water is not the allocation of new groundwater (and therefore would be consistent with c), and given the difficulty of gaining access to any new water, Twyford Water submits that ensuring that water that has already been used can be re-allocated to support the survival of the horticultural industry in the TANK Catchments. The "New high flow water" will be the tool to mitigate the over abstraction of the aquifer and through enhancement of lowland streams will add additional water to the environment above and below the surface.	Reject	15.3
99.14	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Questions the basis of restricting re-allocation to existing (as at 2 May 2020) water permit holders, particularly given suggestion above that re-allocated water could be allocated for stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes – these may well be ones that do not currently exist, and therefore do not currently hold water permits.	Reject	15.3
99.15	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports maintaining (a)(i) and providing ongoing ability for individuals to manage their own effects. Twyford Water also supports the ability for stream depletion effects to be managed collectively, but believes it will be extremely difficult for schemes to be developed by consent applicants, and therefore submits that these schemes are developed in a progressive manner by HBRC – based on water permit expiry dates. It is critical that HBRC takes on a central role in their development. Important to ensure that the stream depletion calculator, that will be used to calculate the stream depletion effect of each take, has been developed using robust scientific approaches, and it has been adequately peer reviewed, given how significant the impact of its calculations are going to be for water permit holders.	Accept in part	15.3
99.16	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Opposes the current wording of this policy, as 'remedying' the effects of all groundwater takes on the Ngaruroro which would be a huge undertaking and the only time when this would be beneficial is at the trigger of the low flow of 2400l/s. The wording of the policy needs to be amended so that the trigger point for remedying the effects is at the low flow level of 2400l/s which then clearly will see a beneficial gain of the river flow for environmental outcomes.	Accept	15.3
99.31	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports a collective approach to the management of the stream depletion effects of groundwater takes. Twyford Water acknowledges how successful the augmentation scheme established in our area has been, it does note that it cannot be expected that the same approach to scheme development, nor uptake will occur elsewhere within the	Reject	15.3
99.35	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
99.68	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow	Reject	15.3
99.69	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow	Reject	15.3
99.70	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
99.71	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3

99.72	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
99.73	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
99.75	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
99.76	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
99.77	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
99.79	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
99.80.	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
99.82	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
99.100.	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
99.101	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
99.105	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend as follows: In managing the allocation and use of groundwater in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit, the Council will; a) adopt an interim allocation limit based on reasonable use b) restrict the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body to primary production purposes, or for use in stream flow maintenance and enhancement schemes. c) manage the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit as an over-allocated management unit and prevent any new allocations of groundwater; d) when considering applications in respect of existing consents due for expiry, or when reviewing consents, to; (i) allocate groundwater on the basis of the maximum quantity that is able to be abstracted during each year or irrigation season expressed in cubic meters per year; (ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use (using Irricalc) e) mitigate stream depletion effects on lowland streams by providing for stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
99.106	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amended so that the trigger point for remedying the effects is at the low flow level of 2400l/s which then clearly will see a beneficial gain of the river flow for environmental outcomes. "remedy" should come from "all users" of water on the Heretaunga plains including suburban households and industry.	Reject	15.3
102.9	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read "reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
102.10.	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
102.12	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
103.7	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3

103.17	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	No specific relief sought but concerned that without the benefit of HBRC's leadership (supported by other stakeholders of course), the plan change could be implemented but without some of the initiatives that mitigate some of the likely impacts.	Accept in part	15.3
104.9	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
104.42	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
104.43	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
104.44	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
104.45	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
104.46	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
104.47	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
104.49	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
104.50	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
104.51	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
104.53	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
104.54	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
104.56	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
104.74	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
104.75	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
105.9	Scott	Lawson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
105.42	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3

105.43	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
105.44	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
105.45	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
105.46	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
105.47	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
105.49	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
105.50	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
105.51	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
105.53	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
105.54	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
105.56	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
105.74	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
105.75	Scott	Lawson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
107.9	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
107.10	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
107.12	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
110.9	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
110.42	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3

110.43	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
110.44	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
110.45	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
110.46	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
110.47	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
110.49	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
110.50	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
110.51	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
110.53	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
110.54	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
110.56	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
110.74	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
110.75	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
120.6	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include directive provisions that reduce over abstraction and allocation of the Heretaunga Aquifer by introducing a capped total groundwater allocation limit of a maximum of 70 million m3 per annum	Reject	15.3
120.7	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include directive provisions that cease mining groundwater and phase out overdrafting within the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System, including mining of springs and spring fed streams.	Accept in part	15.3
120.41	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Limit groundwater allocation to 70 million m3 per year from the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer	Reject	15.3
120.42	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Ensure all water takes are required to cease at minimum flows, except essential water takes for human drinking water supplies (which should be required to reduce during water shortages and at minimum flows).	Reject	15.3
120.44	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Abstractions which deplete streams should cease when minimum flows are reached in all cases	Reject	15.3
120.54	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Remove and do not enable managed aquifer recharge or flow maintenance policies and schemes.	Reject	15.3
120.55	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Protect and enhance lowland springs such that there should be no negative effects on spring flows from water allocation	Reject	15.3

120.58	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Phase out, during the life of PC9, the grand-parenting and first in, first served regime in favour of an improved allocative model that enables recognition of the cultural and biodiversity values identified in this submission	Reject	15.3
120.67	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Remove the presumption that all existing consent holders will be able to renew their water take permits regardless of use or volume	Reject	15.3
120.69	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Implement a framework by which existing takes will be phased out (along with over-allocation and over abstraction) and consequently enable a (low flow) tangata whenua allocation to be provided for.	Reject	15.3
120.75	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Remove provisions relating to 'stream flow maintenance and enhancement' and the ability to transfer water take permits between catchments. Instead address the effects of stream depletion and over-abstraction and require riparian habitat enhancement through consent standards for Farm Environment Plans	Reject	15.3
122.9	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
122.42	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
122.43	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
122.44	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
122.45	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
122.46	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly	Accept in part	15.3
122.49	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
122.50.	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
122.51	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
122.53	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
122.54	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
122.56	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
122.74	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
122.75	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
123.70.	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 36, 37 and 38 - Delete and include policy to give effect to the NPSFM 2014 section B	Reject	15.3
123.71	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 36, 37, 38, 39 and 42 - considers the actual and reasonable use of groundwater and the maximum sustainable abstraction from a groundwater system are two separate things (see point 123.72 and 123.73).	Reject	15.3
123.72	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 36 - Add "Groundwater dependent ecosystems" to list. Policy 36 a) - Delete "aquifer depletion", means the same thing. Policy 36 b) - include water levels in wetlands Policy 36 d) - Stop at seawater intrusion, delete words after this, not needed. Add a clause - to include leaching of pollutants into groundwater	Accept in part	15.3

123.73	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 37 – provide evidence to support that the allocation limit is less than the maximum sustainable yield of the groundwater system, and will not result in adverse effects, particularly to connected surface water bodies. This assessment should also include a comparison of the maximum sustainable yield against all groundwater abstraction, not just irrigation lakes. Policy 37 e) - insert mitigate stream depletion effects on lowland stream and wetlands.	Reject	15.3
123.74	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete all references to stream flow maintenance from PC9. Policy 39 a) - Insert wording to the effect that flows need to be above cut off trigger when schemes start.	Reject	15.3
123.75	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete all references to stream flow maintenance from PC9.	Accept	15.3
123.76	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete and include policies to manage stream depletion effects through sustainable allocation of water resources	Reject	15.3
123.77	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	42 g) - Provide a date when the over allocation of groundwater will be phased out.	Reject	15.3
123.156	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	The comment that a permit may have stream depletion effects on more than one stream is very important. As it appears to contradict the term 'Affected Stream' in the glossary.	Reject	15.3
124.9	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 37 (a) and (d) - support	Accept in part	15.3
124.24	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Amend clause (g) to refer to reducing existing levels of irrigation water use to reasonable crop water needs, as provided for in 5.10.6 Policy 37(d)(ii).	Reject	15.3
124.25	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Amend Policy 5.10.6 Policy 39 to also enable individual consent holder stream augmentation mitigation or offsetting actions.	Reject	15.3
129.2	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Delete policy 39 and replace with new policy in relation to assessing applications to take groundwater in the Heretaunga Plains that includes the following direction: A commitment by Council to: (a) consult with iwi and other relevant parties to investigate the environmental, technical, cultural and economic feasibility of options for stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes including water storage and release options and groundwater pumping and discharge options that: (i) maintain stream flows in lowland rivers above trigger levels where groundwater abstraction is depleting stream flows and: (ii) improve oxygen levels and reduce water temperatures. (b) determine the preferred solutions taking into account whether: (i) wide-scale aquatic ecosystem benefits are provided by maintaining stream flow across multiple streams (ii) multiple benefits can be met including for flood control and climate change resilience (iii) the solutions are efficient and cost effective (iv) scheme design elements to improve ecological health of affected waterbodies have been incorporated (v) opportunities can be provided to improved public access to affected waterways. (c) develop and implement a funding mechanism that enables the Council to recover the costs of developing, constructing and operating stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes from permit holders, including where appropriate, (i) management responses that enable permit holders to manage local solutions and (ii) commitment to develop any further plan change within an agreed timeframe if necessary to implement a funding solution. (d) ensure that stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes are constructed and operating within ten years of the operative date of the Plan while adopting a priority regime according to the following criteria: (i) solutions that provide wide-scale benefit for maintaining stream flow across multiple streams (ii) solutions that provide flow maintenance for streams that are high priority for management action because of low oxygen levels. (e) review as per Policy 42 if no stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes are found to be feasible	Accept in part	15.3
132.37	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Retention of Policy 77, insofar as clauses a) and d) from Policy 77 are included in groundwater a/location policies in PC9.	Accept in part	15.3
132.53	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Set total allocation volume limits from the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System as regulated through the RRMP at 70 million m3 per year.	Reject	15.3
132.54	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	For the management purposes for the HPAS, separate quantities/limits for abstraction from the unconfined aquifer, from quantities/limits for the abstraction from the semi-confined and confined aquifer.	Reject	15.3

132.55	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Restrict all irrigation takes from groundwater within TANK catchments to a specific irrigation season of 01 November to 30 April in any year (not including irrigation from offline water storage dams)	Reject	15.3
132.56	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Take into account total permitted, controlled and restricted discretionary volumes above 60 m3 per week in a/location limits/quantum	Accept in part	15.3
132.58	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Manage groundwater within the Mateo area separately from the remainder of the Heretaunga Groundwater	Reject	15.3
132.63	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include water-short areas from Schedule VI and appropriate limits and assessment criteria in PC9 for resource consent applications/renewals/extensions to take groundwater in these groundwater management zones.	Reject	15.3
132.74	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Set separate allocation limits for the unconfined and confined parts of the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System, and allow for separate management of Moteo groundwater	Reject	15.3
132.98	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Superimpose HPAS spatial extension under the coastal marine area as shown in Figures 3-26 and 3-27 from the Heretaunga Aquifer Groundwater Model Scenarios Report (page 52). Use different shading to reflect where the aquifer is regulated under the RCEP.	Accept in part	15.3
132.116	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Replace "stream flow maintenance" and "flow augmentation" with "surface flow mitigation" within the proposed plan, and move any such provisions in proposed Policy 39 and schedules to a non-regulation section of the plan so that research, hui, meetings, formulation of ideas etc, can be undertaken outside of objectives and management methods. In proposed Policy 39, remove references to trigger flows, so they are no longer applicable to flow maintenance or flow augmentation apart from for existing activities	Reject	15.3
132.117	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	For the Ngaruroro River, impose the following restrictions for high flow allocations: a) Restrict high flow abstraction to flows above 24,000 litres per second, as measured at the Fernhill Bridge. b) Provide a total instantaneous rate of take limit for high flow takes. c) Limit the total amount of high flow take to 5 Million m3 per year, and to the periods 15 May to 15 October in any year. d) Provide for additional flow for the river on a 1:1 ratio for high flow takes as identified in the MWH report, May 2010. e) Do not allow high flow takes that are outside of allocation limits for any purpose.	Reject	15.3
132.124	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Reserve a quantity of freshwater (both volume and total allocation rate) from the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System for regulating under the HB Coastal Environment Plan, including for domestic uses, and acknowledge these amounts when setting total abstraction quantities for PC9 and the RRMP. Set this volume at 2 Million m3 per year.	Reject	15.3
132.125	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Take a precautionary approach for setting and managing the cumulative allocation volumes and rates, and abstraction from the HPAS, as the aquifer is being mined. Amend total abstraction volume from the HPAS, so that no more than 70 Million m3 abstraction per annum is allowed, inclusive of volumes regulated through the RCEP.	Reject	15.3
132.126	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include limit and criteria provided in Heretaunga Plains groundwater allocation policies, surface water allocation policies and align with relevant schedules.	Reject	15.3
132.127	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Require a financial contribution from all those who use Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System water for irrigation, of 10 cents per cubic metre to help pay for meetings, research, flow mitigations, oxygenation schemes and infrastructure, additional pumping capex and opex, and aquatic ecosystem enhancement, as well as any trials and experiments associated with these.	Reject	15.3
132.128	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Apply a total instantaneous rate of take limit in litres per second for water abstraction from the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System during the irrigation season that does not exceed 12,500 lps and a substantially reduced allocation rate (and volume limit) for the other six months of the year.	Reject	15.3
132.129	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include effects on Mauri, and on groundwater dependent ecosystems, in consent application criteria, consent renewal processes, and decision-making.	Reject	15.3
132.130	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prescribe an irrigation season and seasonal constraints for groundwater use, to prevent unsustainable abstraction, excessive surface water depletion, and mining of the aquifer.	Reject	15.3
132.149	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Require all abstraction for irrigation to cease when flows recede below minimum flows.	Reject	15.3
132.151	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete all trigger flow references in PC9 provisions/schedules, including their use for flow enhancement or augmentation schemes.	Reject	15.3

132.152	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete flow augmentation/enhancement scheme provisions from proposed PC9 except for existing activities for Twyford/Ruapare, and for existing and proposed mitigations, for enhancing flows in the Paritua Stream. Make any additional schemes noncomplying activities.	Accept in part	15.3
132.153	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Enable 200 lps abstraction from the Ngaruroro River on a permanent basis for mitigating stream depletion in the Paritua Stream, and to assist groundwater recharge around the southern edge of the HPAS.	Accept in part	15.3
132.159	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	On resource consent expiry for groundwater takes for irrigation in the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System area, reduce amounts of water ²¹ on a pro-rata basis of 12.5 percent, derived from average annual abstraction and use from the last 7 years. In addition, call-in all consents to abstract groundwater from the HPAS and reduce their abstraction amounts by 12.5% also, apart from those abstracting from water short areas (as identified in Schedule VI) where a 15% reduction shall apply - when PC9 becomes operative. Make consequential amendments to other PC9 provisions to enable the above to occur.	Reject	15.3
132.170.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prescribe a cumulative rate of take limit for abstraction from the HPAS during the irrigation season, and a substantially reduced rate of take for the rest of the year.	Reject	15.3
132.174	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Where streams are dry due to excessive abstraction of groundwater, reduce surface water depleting takes to help restore groundwater tables and levels, and enable the surface water body to flow again.	Accept in part	15.3
132.183	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	In groundwater allocation Policy 37, make the following amendments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In clause a) replace "90 million" with "70 million" and delete "based on the actual and reasonable water use prior to 2017". • In clause b) add "Adopt a precautionary approach" before "avoid re-allocation." • In clause d) (i), delete "each year or" and "per year". In clause d) (ii), delete "of actual and reasonable use" and after "reflects" add "sustainable management of groundwater". Delete "authorised in the ten years up to August 2017". • In clause e), replace "maintenance" with "mitigation". • Add new clause, "f) The management of water abstraction volumes and rates from groundwater recharge zones to prevent reductions in mid-term (5-year) groundwater storage, and water mining of the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System". 	Reject	15.3
132.184	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	In Policy 38, delete proposed clause b). " in accordance with a review of all applicable permits within ten years of ", and Add, " <u>a prescribed irrigation season of 01 November to 30 April for each year</u> " before "the plan policies and rules". Replace the word "either" with "by".	Reject	15.3
132.185	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Impose a management charge of 5 cents per cubic metre on surface water allocated for irrigation purposes, to be used for water management and monitoring purposes, including cultural monitoring.	Reject	15.3
134.4	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	Policies 36, 37 and 38 - further examples where rules and restrictions have been written without any reference to mitigation of the activity.	Reject	15.3
134.5	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	Policy 37a - annual allocation of 90M3 should NOT be the limit. Does not leave room for augmentation against stream depletion over and above adequate irrigation needs (as per Irrcalc modelling). It is a round number not based on science. All restrictions need to identify the adverse effect and leave an opportunity for mitigation of that adverse effect. Disagree iwth treating the Heretaunga plains water management as an over-allocated unit preventing any further allocations of ground water without reference to adverse effects, mitigation and actual water usage.	Reject	15.3
134.6	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	PC9 should allow for releasing more environmental flows into streams and rivers from water storage and be an integral part of PC9 to allow growers including the kupu family to farm in the mdoern age as we have for hundreds of years.	Accept in part	15.3
135.39	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 36 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • f) avoiding mitigating further adverse effects by not allowing restricting new water use • g) reducing existing levels of water use; • h) mitigating the adverse effects of groundwater abstraction on flows in connected water bodies; • i) gathering information about actual water use and its effects on stream depletion; • j) monitoring the effectiveness of stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes; • k) including plan review directions to assess effectiveness of these measures. 	Reject	15.3

135.40.	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 37 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • b) avoid-minimise re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body until there has been a review of the relevant allocation limits within this plan; c) manage the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit as an over-allocated management unit and prevent restrict any new allocations of groundwater; 	Reject	15.3
135.41	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete Policy 38 in its entirety. The Council will restrict the re-allocation of water to holders of permits to take and use water in the Heretaunga Water Management Unit issued before 2 May 2020 and will review permits or allocate water according to the plan policies and rules either: a) upon expiry of the consent; or b) in accordance with a review of all applicable permits within ten years of; whichever is the sooner.	Reject	15.3
135.42	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend policy 39 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a)(ii) enable <u>encourage</u> consent applicants to develop or contribute to stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes that; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. contribute flow to lowland rivers where groundwater abstraction is depleting stream flows; and 2. improve oxygen levels and reduce water temperatures; • b) assess the relative the contribution to stream depletion from groundwater takes and require stream depletion to be off-set equitably by consent holders while providing for exceptions for the use of water for essential human health; and 	Reject	15.3
135.43	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 41 as notified.	Accept	15.3
135.44	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete Policy 42 in its entirety.	Reject	15.3
143.8	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend Policy 36.f to read “avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read “ reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency.” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
143.9	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read “(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...”. or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
143.11	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
145.8	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	Science does not conclusively prove that the aquifer is at limits or over allocated. Gives examples to show the aquifer is not depleted.	Reject	15.3
145.10.	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	Very adequate process is available to HBRC to ensure that groundwater abstraction is not over done, and this can still be utilised for new bores that may need to be installed to provide for needs now and in the future.	Reject	15.3
165.9	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend Policy 36.f to read “avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read “ reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency.” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3

165.10.	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
165.12	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
179.9	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
179.10.	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
179.12	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
179.20.	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Seeks a more scientific approach to determine the amount of water that can sustainably be extracted from the aquifer. A reconsideration of the IRRICALC calculations and an allocation for planting and/or replanting.	Reject	15.3
180.38	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to ensure consistency with other sections of the plan including f) must be reworded to enable that water to be take and to 'restrict' new allocations, rather than avoid. Specific wordign provided in submission.	Reject	15.3
180.39	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to avoid the policy being unnecessarily restrictive given that our knowledge about what a sustainable groundwater limit might be is still incomplete. Specific wording provided in submission.	Reject	15.3
180.40.	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'The Council will restrict the re-allocation of water to holders of permits to take and use water in the Heretaunga Water Management Unit issued before 2 May 2020 and will review permits or allocate water according to the plan policies and rules either: ...	Reject	15.3
180.41	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: e) enable permit holders to progressively and collectively through Water User Collectives develop and implement flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes as water permits are replaced or reviewed, in the order consistent with water permit expiry dates.)	Reject	15.3
180.42	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: The Council will <u>further consider the option of remedying</u> the stream depletion effects of groundwater takes in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit on the Ngaruroro River, in consultation with mana whenua, land and water users and the wider community through: a) further investigating the environmental, technical, cultural, <u>social and economic</u> feasibility of a water storage and <u>release scheme to off-set the cumulative stream depletion effect of groundwater takes</u> .	Accept in part	15.3
180.73	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend schedule by deleting substantial amount of detail	Reject	15.3
180.75	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'baseline commercial vegetable growing area' - Insert definition as follows: ' <u>Means the maximum total aggregated area of land used for a commercial vegetable growing operation, including the full sequence of crops and pasture used as part of a rotation, in any 12 month consecutive period within the period of 1 May 2015 to 1 May 2020 and under the control (owned or leased) of a single farm</u> '.	Reject	15.3
183.5	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but implies that Change 9 should be amended to remove the ten year "roll over" for existing water resource consents.	Reject	15.3
185.9	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
185.42	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3

185.43	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
185.44	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
185.45	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
185.46	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
185.47	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
185.49	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
185.50	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
185.51	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
185.53	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
185.54	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
185.56	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
185.74	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
185.75	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
186.9	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
186.42	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
186.43	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
186.44	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
186.45	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
186.46	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

186.47	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
186.49	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
186.50	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
186.51	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
186.53	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
186.54	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
186.56	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
186.74	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
186.75	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
191.10	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	More water in the aquifer so that the springs that feed into the Ngaruroro River and then the streams flows again.	Accept in part	15.3
192.2	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Sufficient water must be made available to provide for horticulture. If water becomes available for reallocation, priority should be given to the use of water for horticulture.	Reject	15.3
192.7	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Amend Policy 36(f) to make it clear that it does not apply to consented takes for planned primary production developments	Reject	15.3
192.8	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Amend Policy 36(g) to refer to reducing existing levels of water use for irrigation to reasonable crop water needs.	Reject	15.3
192.9	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Amend Policy 37(d)(ii) to read: "apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects the water use authorised in the 10 years up to 2 May 2020 (except as provided by Policy 50);"	Accept in part	15.3
192.10	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	If water becomes available within the interim allocation limit and its intended use is primary production or would be used for stream flow maintenance, the plan should enable that water to be re-allocated. Policy 37(b) should be amended accordingly.	Reject	15.3
192.11	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Amend Policies 39, 40 and 41 so that they require the Council to fully implement Policy 41 before individual consent holders are required to develop or contribute to stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
193.3	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Allow existing levels of use to continue. Any new use needs to be from alternative water sources (most likely water storage).	Reject	15.3
193.4	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policy 37 a) and b) Retain 90 million m ³ per year as a target. Delete paragraph b)	Reject	15.3
193.5	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Delete the clause regarding the highest recorded water use in any of the 10 years preceding August 2017	Reject	15.3

193.6	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policy 39 b) - There should be a stated volume per head per day, thereafter municipal authorities are responsible to offset equitably the cost of these "unknown" schemes. Develop the stream depletion maintenance and enhancement programmes based on water supply originating from stored water. Begin the programme with the most responsive and cost effective surface water bodies, and monitor effectiveness. Policy needs to be considered in terms of possible financial	Accept in part	15.3
193.17	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policies around water allocation and consent renewal need to be reconsidered so that they are more equitable to those water users in primary industry	Reject	15.3
194.44	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 36(f) - Amend 5.10.6.36(f) along the lines of 'avoiding further adverse effects by encouraging efficiency' OR 'avoiding further adverse effects by not allowing new water use, excluding water made available through high flow take and release' (to align with 5.10.7.45(a)).	Reject	15.3
194.45	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 36(g) - Amend 5.10.6.36(g) along the lines of 'encouraging efficient water use'.	Reject	15.3
194.46	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 37 - general approach - Amend the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to provide for the efficient allocation and use of water.	Reject	15.3
194.47	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 37(a) - 5.10.6.37(a) should be amended along the lines of 'adopt an interim allocation limit of 90 million cubic meters per year based on <i>estimated/modelled</i> water use prior to 2017'. Clarification on how the interim allocation limit of 90 million cubic meters aligns with the provisions of PC9, particularly Schedule 31.	Reject	15.3
194.48	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 37(b) - 5.10.6.37(b) should be amended along the lines of 'restrict or limit re-allocation of any allocated but unused groundwater that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit'. The term 're-allocation' also needs to be either defined or clarified in the provisions; PRWM submits that in the context of	Reject	15.3
194.49	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 37(c) - 5.10.6.37(c) should be amended along the lines of 'manage the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit as an overallocated management unit (based on cumulative consented volume) and prevent any new allocations of groundwater above the interim allocation limit'.	Reject	15.3
194.50	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 37(d)(i) - 5.10.6.37(d) should be amended to reflect its intent more clearly.	Accept in part	15.3
194.51	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 37(d)(ii) - 5.10.6.37(d) should also be expressed as a standalone policy so as to apply to all applications rather than just those located within the HPWMU.	Accept in part	15.3
194.52	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 38 should be amended along the lines of 'restrict the reallocation of allocated but unused groundwater...' It is also necessary to define or clarify the meaning of the term 're-allocation'.	Reject	15.3
194.53	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Clarification on the intent of Policy 39.	Accept	15.3
194.55	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	New policy/clause for assessing stream depletion effect - Insert a new policy/clause that reflects POL TT11 of the RRMP.	Reject	15.3
194.56	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted.	Accept	15.3
194.57	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend the policy to refer to offsetting 'in full or in part' (or equivalent language to indicate that something less than a 100% offset would be able to be considered).	Reject	15.3
194.58	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 5.10.6.42(d) should be amended along the lines of 'the extent of any stream flow maintenance, augmentation, or habitat enhancement schemes'. Policy 5.10.6.42(e)(ii) should be amended along the lines of 'effectiveness of stream flow maintenance schemes and augmentation schemes in maintaining water flows and improving water quality'.	Accept in part	15.3
194.112	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend the fourth paragraph to refer to TANK 9, not TANK 8. PRWM considers that a similar policy to Policy TT11 in the RRMP could be applicable to PC9, which provides a methodology for determining whether (or the extent to which) a groundwater take should be treated as hydraulically	Reject	15.3
195.60	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policies 36, 37 and 38 to focus them on avoiding increases/further overallocation and reducing existing overallocation, and to for total amount allocated by water permits, and permitted and allowed water use activities. Specific wording provided.	Reject	15.3

195.62	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend policy 40 to refer to <u>anticipated</u> improvements to water quality, and 25-year consent durations. Specific wording provided. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.3
195.63	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 41 be retained as notified	Accept in part	15.3
195.64	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 42 be amended as follows 42. After water has been re-allocated and consents reviewed in accordance with Policies 36 - 38, the Council will	Reject	15.3
195.150.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 36 be amended as follows: That the Schedule be re-written so that Catchment Collective participation in Heretaunga Plains Stream Flow Maintenance	Reject	15.3
196.9	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
196.10.	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
196.43	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow	Reject	15.3
196.44	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow	Reject	15.3
196.45	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
196.46	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
196.47	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
196.48	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
196.50.	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
196.51	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
196.52	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
196.55	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3

196.56	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
196.58	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
197.8	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Include new/ or amend existing Policies for Water quantity and allocation - <u>Water quantity is managed to ensure that the take and use of water is reasonable and justifiable for the intended use. The specific measures to ensure reasonable and justified use of water that must be taken into account when establishing catchment plans and considering consent applications are outlined in the submission.</u>	Reject	15.3
199.9	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
199.10	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
199.12	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
201.40	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Policy 39, 40 and 41 - Amend to ensure at a minimum to include clauses that consider: a) Mitigating stream depletion effects by regulating takes through appropriate minimum flow triggers" b) A numeric assessment of the degree of aquifer/streamflow depletion at the point of take versus the length and value of the habitat restored by streamflow enhancement"	Accept in part	15.3
201.41	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Withdraw Policy 42.	Reject	15.3
201.50	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Water augmentation would be better approached as a plan change in its own right once all the relevant robust research has been undertaken. Delete all augmentation provisions from PC9 with the exception of Paritua stream.	Reject	15.3
203.17	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend clause f as follows: <i>f. avoiding further adverse effects by not allowing granting water permits for new water use;</i>	Reject	15.3
203.18	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil	Ensure this policy supports permitted activity provisions with low potential for adverse effects.	Reject	15.3
204.9	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
204.10	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
204.12	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Reject	15.3
204.20	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend Change 9 so that soil type determines land use and viticulture land to have the same land use options as other horticultural fertile land in the area.	Reject	15.3

204.22	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Zone 1 properties to be able to move their bore outside zone 1 if such a location for a new bore exists on their property.	Reject	15.3
206.3	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Restore streams to improve water flows	Accept in part	15.3
206.4	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Restore groundwater levels	Accept in part	15.3
206.5	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Restore artesian pressure	Accept in part	15.3
207.3	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend subclause (f) to allow new takes under 'exceptional circumstances' or similar terminology and introduce an additional Policy to guide what these circumstances may be (refer relief sought in relation to Policy 37). Suggested wording as follows: Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
207.4	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 37 to: •Treat the interim 'limit' as a target •Still manage the resource as over-allocated (generally) subject to exceptions – particularly those supported by Policy LW2 of the RPS. •Better acknowledge that new allocations based on actual use over previous years may not be a reasonable approach for all replacement processes. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
207.5	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Introduce an additional Policy (referred to as Policy 37A) to guide situations where the granting of new takes will be considered. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
207.6	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy to outline what is proposed to be investigated/enabled prior to replacement processes to achieve a reduction in allocation as a result of those processes.	Reject	15.3
207.7	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 39 as follow to: 1. Re-order the sequence of the Policy (shift ba and c to a and b) 2. Provide for a Water Conservation Strategy approach for municipal takes rather than a requirement to cease. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
207.8	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 40 to enable transfers of allocated but un-used water if this to assist augmentation. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
207.9	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 41 so there is a clear intention to be working towards this such that its implementation can be considered as part of the Plan review in 10 years when the groundwater limit is to be defined as this is likely to be a very relevant factor. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.3
207.10	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Change 9 so that there is a more strategic approach around investigating and establishing flow enhancement schemes to inform/enable this review.	Accept in part	15.3
207.11	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy to include consideration of information on the long term sustainable equilibrium of the groundwater resource. Suggested wording provided.	Accept in part	15.3
207.72	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Projects investigating flexible management initiatives and initiatives such as augmentation and global consents need to occur ahead of replacement processes so that solutions/options are in place at the time of reassessment to ultimately assist in reducing allocation.	Reject	15.3
207.75	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	The long term sustainable equilibrium of the groundwater resource itself still needs to be considered alongside effects on surface water in reviewing the allocation limit	Reject	15.3
208.9	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
209.2	Waiariki	Davis		Restore streams to improve water flows.	Accept in part	15.3
210.57	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete and replace with a new policy that gives effect to the NPSFM. The new policy should include consideration of groundwater ecosystems, including stygofauna, and community and cultural values. Provision for "stream flow maintenance..." should be removed.	Reject	15.3

210.58	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete and replace with a new policy that gives effect to the NPSFM.	Reject	15.3
210.59	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete and replace with a new policy that is clearer and gives effect to the NPSFM.	Reject	15.3
210.60	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete policy and all references to stream flow maintenance in the plan	Reject	15.3
210.61	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete policy and all references to stream flow maintenance in the plan	Reject	15.3
210.62	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete. Include policies to manage stream depletion effects through the sustainable allocation of water.	Reject	15.3
210.63	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete. Replace with a policy to phase out overallocation.	Reject	15.3
210.99	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 18: Delete rule and associated framework for stream flow compensation schemes. Delete all references to maintenance/enhancement/augmentation throughout the plan.	Reject	15.3
210.148	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete all references to streamflow enhancement/maintenance/augmentation throughout the plan	Reject	15.3
211.9	Brian	McLay		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
211.42	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
211.43	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
211.44	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
211.45	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
211.46	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
211.47	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
211.49	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
211.50	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3

211.51	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
211.53	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
211.54	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
211.56	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
211.74	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
211.75	Brian	McLay		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
213.9	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend Policy 36.f to read "avoiding further adverse effects by controlling net groundwater use within the interim allocation limit set out in Policy 37" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Policy 36.g to read " reducing existing levels of encouraging water use efficiency." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
213.10	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
213.12	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
214.9	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
214.42	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
214.43	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme	Reject	15.3
214.44	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
214.45	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
214.46	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
214.47	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

214.49	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
214.50	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
214.51	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
214.53	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
214.54	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
214.56	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
214.74	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
214.75	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
215.9	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
215.42	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
215.43	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
215.44	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
215.45	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
215.46	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
215.47	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly	Accept in part	15.3
215.49	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly	Reject	15.3
215.50	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
215.51	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
215.53	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
215.54	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3

215.56	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
215.75	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
216.14	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	That water allocation be based on 'reasonable' use rather than 'actual and reasonable', this should applying to both existing properties and for determining allocations for change in land use.	Reject	15.3
217.8	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
217.41	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
217.42	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
217.43	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
217.44	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
217.45	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
217.46	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
217.48	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
217.49	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
217.50	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
217.52	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
217.53	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
217.55	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
217.73	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
217.74	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
218.9	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3

218.42	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
218.43	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
218.44	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
218.45	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
218.46	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
218.47	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
218.49	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
218.50	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
218.51	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
218.53	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
218.54	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
218.56	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
218.75	Adrian	Manning	Irrigation Services	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
219.9	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
219.42	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
219.43	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
219.44	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
219.45	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3

219.46	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
219.47	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
219.49	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
219.50.	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
219.51	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
219.53	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
219.54	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
219.56	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
219.74	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
219.75	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
219.78	Michael & Julie	Russell		I see no need for us to contribute to stream flow maintenance schemes as I see no impacts from our operations, and from other extensive irrigation operations in our vicinity on the two streams neighbouring our property.	Reject	15.3
220.9	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
220.42	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would	Reject	15.3
220.43	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
220.44	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
220.45	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3

220.46	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
220.47	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
220.49	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
220.50	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
220.51	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
220.53	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
220.54	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
220.56	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
220.74	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
220.75	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
221.9	WT	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.3
221.42	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
221.43	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that the re-allocation of any water that might become available within the interim groundwater allocation limit or within the limit of any connected water body is enabled (ie. can be re-allocated before a review of the relevant allocation limits in the plan is undertaken) where it is to be used for primary production purposes (and would be allocated in accordance with proposed definition of 'reasonable' outlined above), or used for a stream flow maintenance and augmentation scheme.	Reject	15.3
221.44	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
221.45	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that water can be re-allocated to any applicant - not restricted to existing water permit holders (as at 2020).	Reject	15.3
221.46	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3

221.47	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.3
221.49	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.3
221.50	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
221.51	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.3
221.53	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.3
221.54	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
221.56	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
221.74	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.3
221.75	WT	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.3
222.3	Owen Jerry	Hāpuku		The total volume allowed to be taken from a river/stream (volume in cubic metres per week) should be directly related to a limit on the total rate of take in total litres per second.	Reject	15.3
222.4	Owen Jerry	Hāpuku		If stream flow enhancement schemes are included in the TANK plan, a lot more detail should be required	Accept in part	15.3
224.5	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	No specific relief requested but states that there has been no indication as to the costs involved nor the phasing in period.	Accept in part	15.3
233.13	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Clarify point d) i) to reconcile differences between maximum quantity able to be extracted under an existing permit and the assessment of actual and reasonable use.	Reject	15.3
236.2	Kenneth	Mark		Opposes the 90 million cu/metre per year interim allocation limit for Heretaunga Aquifer	Reject	15.3
238.9	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend Policy 37.d(ii) to read "(ii) apply an assessment of actual and reasonable use that reflects land use and water use authorised in the ten years up to August 2017 30 June 2020 (the end of the 2020 water year)...". or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.3
238.11	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly- funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Accept	15.3
241.10	Penny and John	Reynolds		Policy 37 a-d) and 38 a-b) - oppose the limit of 90 million cubic metres as it is an arbitrary number without reference to location and identification of specific adverse effect. There is no provision for mitigation to exceed 90 million cubic metres. Disagree with treating the Heretaunga plains water management as an over-allocated unit. Believe any rules and regulations should be based on actual real world effects demonstrated by science on specific water bodies in specific locations and not on theoretical maximum allocations across the entire catchment.	Reject	15.3

Table 15.4: Surface Water Low Flow Management

3.4	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - Policy 43(a) to (d)	Accept in part	15.4
3.5	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provisions - Policy 46(b)	Accept in part	15.4
3.6	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - Policy 47(a)(ii) and (d)	Accept in part	15.4
3.7	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain Policy 49(g)	Accept	15.4

3.9	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - Schedule 31 Ngaruroro groundwater	Accept in part	15.4
3.10.	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - Ngaruroro Catchment	Accept in part	15.4
3.18	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend Policy 5.10.7 Policy 45 to be consistent with RRMP POL TT11 and Table 5.9.7.	Reject	15.4
3.19	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend clause (e) to read: except where a change of use and/or transfer is for the purpose of a flow enhancement or ecosystem improvement scheme or is intended to provide for the reasonable consumptive needs of people and communities, declining	Accept in part	15.4
6.3	Daniel	Soltau		Amend Clause (f) do not allow the transfer of any allocations between properties or owners	Reject	15.4
6.4	Daniel	Soltau		Remove Clause (d)	Reject	15.4
6.5	Daniel	Soltau		Amend Clause (g) Remove consent sharing and collectives	Reject	15.4
8.10.	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 46	Accept in part	15.4
8.11	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 52(b)	Accept in part	15.4
8.15	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support policy 43 (a), (c) and (d)	Accept in part	15.4
8.16	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support policy 45(a)	Accept	15.4
8.17	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 46(b)	Accept in part	15.4
8.18	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 47(c)	Accept in part	15.4
8.19	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 49(g)	Accept	15.4
8.38	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 45(d) - Amend Policies 39, 40 and 45 and Schedule 36 to enable an individual consent holder to mitigate their stream depletion effects, including though the use of stored water captured at times of high river flow.	Reject	15.4
8.43	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Amend clause (a) to read: ensuring allocation limits and allocations of water for abstraction are calculated with known security of supply, including an irrigation reliability standard that meets demand 95% of the time.	Reject	15.4
8.44	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 47(b) - Amend clause (b) to read: "using the IRRICALC water demand model if available for the land use being applied for (or otherwise by a suitable equivalent approved by Council) or a similar reasonable use model that utilises crop type, soil type and climatic conditions to determine efficient water allocations for irrigation uses;	Accept in part	15.4
8.45	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Policy 53 - Amend Policy 53 to require applicants for frost protection water to firstly investigate and discount alternative non-water reliant options such as frost fans.	Accept	15.4
10.6	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 51 - Add at Water Allocation 'Water for essential for the survival of seed, vegetable, stock crops, trees, grape vines, animals, and pasture'	Reject	15.4
11.3	Matt	Edwards		Requiring greater efficiency of use by fruitgrower consent holders.	Reject	15.4
11.5	Matt	Edwards		Ninety-five percent reliability of water availability. Would you be happy to see your income drastically reduced once in 20 years? No reason appears to be given for this criteria. You say the ground water resource is over allocated but you can guarantee water 19 years out of 20? Really?	Reject	15.4

11.9	Matt	Edwards		What efficiency gains are you asking the urban areas to make? Nothing really tangible in the plan other than a plea to try and reduce leakage. How about urban properties having a decent sized water tank to collect some of the roof runoff? Not the little 200litre ones being promoted for use in the event of a civil emergency situation which will only last a few days at best. This stored water would reduce flow in the storm water systems and could be used for garden irrigation, car washing etc and for domestic supply in an emergency.	Reject	15.4
13.10.	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support 5.10.7 Policies - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	15.4
13.11	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support Policy 50 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	15.4
13.14	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support in part - retain as proposed. Support subject to the inclusion of the amendment sought in submission point 13.13 relating to the exemption of the take and use of water for firefighting purposes from the water take and use provisions Rules TANK 9-11 above, and as provided for within section 14(3)(e) of the Resource Management Act 1991.	Accept in part	15.4
14.9	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 51 - Require inclusion of primary sector representatives in any emergency water management group when making water shortage directions under Section 329 of the RMA.	Reject	15.4
14.14	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Schedule 31 - Retain existing low flows and allocation limits for the Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri Rivers.	Reject	15.4
15.11	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 51 - Require inclusion of primary sector representatives in any emergency water management group when making water shortage directions under Section 329 of the RMA.	Reject	15.4
15.19	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Schedule 31 - Retain existing low flows and allocation limits for the Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri Rivers	Reject	15.4
16.6	Bernadette	Hamlin		Amend Change 9 so that the minimum flow in the Ngaruroro River is raised to provide 90% habitat provision for species that prefer fast flows.	Reject	15.4
17.8	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Oppose freshwater allocation for the Ngaruroro River surface water and groundwater specified in Schedule 31. These limits are overly restrictive and do not give sufficient flexibility for staged adaptive management of our farming operation. Council should give consideration and be flexible to the merits of each individual surface water and groundwater storage request in Schedule 31 C area.	Reject	15.4
18.13	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
20.11	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 51 - Require inclusion of primary sector representatives in any emergency water management group when making water shortage directions under Section 329 of the RMA.	Reject	15.4
20.19	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Schedule 31 - Retain existing low flows and allocation limits for the Ngaruroro River.	Reject	15.4
23.10.	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
23.11	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
23.15	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
23.32	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
23.33	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
23.36	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
23.37	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
24.8	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Likewise, it is not apparent as to what analyses have been made of <u>river flows in true time sequences</u> . The natural TIMING of flows is a dynamic to which water use must be in tune.	Reject	15.4
26.13	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4

28.13	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected primary sector groups</u> and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
29.28	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Modify 5.10.7.45.d as required to align with any changes to Policy 39.	Accept in part	15.4
29.30	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 5.10.7.47.f to read "...maintained and operated to ensure on- going efficient water use in accordance with any the <u>most relevant applicable</u> industry codes of practice." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept in part	15.4
29.31	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 5.10.7.49 to ensure that public notification of consents is not required, if the requirement is triggered only by the cumulative effect of consents that individually have no more than minor effect.	Accept in part	15.4
29.32	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 5.10.7.49.f to read " efficacy operation <u>of flow enhancement and aquifer recharge</u> schemes and any riparian margin upgrades;" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept in part	15.4
29.33	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected primary sector groups</u> and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
29.34	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend 5.10.7.52 to read "...any new allocation of water (not including any reallocation in respect of permits issued before 2 May 2020 <u>and new water made available by high flow take and release and by offset or managed aquifer recharge</u>)" or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Accept in part	15.4
30.4	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Retain clause a) for Ngaruroro River provided that it does not apply to any higher minimum flows than those specified in Schedule 31.	Accept in part	15.4
30.7	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Oppose Policy 52(b)(i) with respect to the definition of Actual and Reasonable.	Reject	15.4
32.10	Kent	Griffiths		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
32.11	Kent	Griffiths		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
32.12	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
32.15	Kent	Griffiths		Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
32.32	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4

32.33	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
32.36	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
32.37	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
34.13	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
35.10.	Colin	Campbell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
35.11	Colin	Campbell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
35.32	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
35.33	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
35.36	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
35.37	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
36.11	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Clarify/amend Policy 43. e) No other catchments are increasing. This is an increase from 2000L/s to 2500L/S. What is the science behind this change as 2700L/s has been the lowest flow rate seen in the past? We are concerned at the need for the increase. We are also aware that a number of orchards have been planted recently, and may not have been factored into the analysis.	Reject	15.4
36.12	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Clarify/amend Policy 48. e) - If the water allocation of 90 million cubic meters is achieved, why would consent holders be disallowed to transfer water volumes between consent within the same zone? Even if the target is not achieved, or while it is in progress, it is not unreasonable to allow transfer of water from one site to another within the same catchment. It is often the case that different users have different water demands at different times, and so can "share" their allocations so as to enable efficient use. Surely this would be better than having some producers with insufficient water so as to reduce their production?	Accept in part	15.4
37.10.	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
37.11	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
37.15	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
37.32	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
37.33	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
37.36	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
37.37	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
38.10.	Roger	Brownlie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
38.11	Roger	Brownlie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
38.12	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
38.15	Roger	Brownlie		Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
38.32	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
38.33	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
38.36	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
38.37	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
39.13	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4

41.13	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
42.13	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Change 9 so that representation from horticultural industry groups is included in the emergency management group. i.e. NZ Apples & Pears, Hortnz, etc	Reject	15.4
43.10.	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
43.11	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
43.12	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
43.15	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
43.32	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
43.33	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
43.36	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
44.10.	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
44.11	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
44.12	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
44.15	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
44.32	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
44.33	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
44.36	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
44.37	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
46.13	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	Water storage is not just a matter of interest to irrigators. The need is to store water in every conceivable way and venue. For example every new residence and commercial building should be required to provide for water storage.	Reject	15.4
47.6	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Policy 52 a) - This is a broad-brush approach without reference to location, adverse effects and mitigation.	Reject	15.4
47.9	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend policies - Policies 42g), 52a), 52d) are inconsistent with policy 52e.	Reject	15.4
47.10.	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend policies - Policy 42g), 52a) and 52d) are inconsistent with Policy 56c.	Accept in part	15.4
47.11	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Policy 43 - BF do not believe the adverse effects of the current allocation minimum flow have been demonstrated and do not warrant raising the minimum flow. The benefits of irrigation bans on the Tutaekuri's natural flow have not been quantified.	Reject	15.4
48.13	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
49.10.	John	Parsons		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
49.11	John	Parsons		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
49.12	John	Parsons		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
49.15	John	Parsons		Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
49.32	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
49.33	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
49.36	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
49.37	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4

50.17	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	We can see no scientific justification/analysis to support the 18% reduction in water availability from the Ngaruroro River. Given the potential size of economic consequences here, we believe that the base modelling needs to be reviewed, and transparency provided to allow a full understanding for the reduction. We note minimum flows remain unchanged but as part of a deeper evaluation, we also contend that minimum flow needs to be re-visited.	Reject	15.4
50.19	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	We do not think 15 year expiration for permit rights is adequate. In many cases the associated investment requirement will be significant, the underlying business cases will be long-term in nature and often will be for risk management purposes with lower rates of return. We would contend that 30 years is more appropriate. Where large investments have been made, permit whatever duration is applied, renewals should be automatic, unless there has been a material adverse change to overall water supply.	Reject	15.4
51.1	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Support Policy 43.a. Maintaining existing minimum flows for the Ngaruroro River and its' tributaries.	Accept in part	15.4
51.2	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Oppose Policy 43.b. Reducing the effects of abstraction from the mainstem and connected groundwater in Zone 1 by reducing allocation limit for the Ngaruroro River. If the proposed allocation reduction, was implemented, we believe the maximum effective take would reduce from 650,000 m3/week (68% of current allocation) to 534,643 m3 /week 56% of current allocation and 68% of the recommended 786,240 m3/week allocation. I.e. (786,240 m3/week x 68% = 534,643 m3/week). This would place further stress on irrigation reliant crops not only in drought years. Low flow limits and rates of take are effectively an allocation limit. To further reduce the volume of water by reducing the allocation limit would put further stress on existing irrigators and their business viability and viability of downstream infrastructure in future dry years.	Reject	15.4
51.3	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Policy 43.b. - The Agfirst and Nimmo Bell economic analysis presented to TANK specifically looked at the effects of increasing low flow ban settings on the Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri rivers. We suggest it would be beneficial to investigate the financial effects of this change to allocation in the same way. This type of economic analysis may also help to inform better decision making with less community stress. We believe a new methodology and terminology should be developed to maximise water availability at the same time as protecting the environment. The current system fails to maximise the economic benefit of this resource, which is a requirement of Regional Council under the Resource Management Act along with environmental protection. If the Twyford Zone 1 was to be included as part of the Ngaruroro River allocation the current Twyford Zone allocation should be added to the Ngaruroro River allocation limit. In the past, Zone 1 has been affecting river flows, but has not been included in the river allocation limits or the actual river take figures. Consent holders facing a reduction in allocation of 2400 l/sec water should be offered at least an equivalent volume of high flow water in compensation.	Reject	15.4
51.4	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Policy 47. c&d. Opposes the current wording and recommend the following wording. It is recommended that HBRC adopt the definition "80% of applied water is retained within the root zone, after an irrigation event and/or for the irrigation season".	Accept in part	15.4
51.5	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Policy 49. g&h - We support the improvement, but a 30 year duration would be preferred.	Accept in part	15.4
51.6	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Policy 52b)(i) - oppose. Many consents have been sort with multi-year developments planned. Unused allocation averaged over the past 10 years up to 2 May 2020 will be deducted from a consent to enable the total river allocation to be reduced by 17.8%. This is inequitable for those planning long term development. A further 14.2 % of current allocation could be extracted without exceeding the new allocation limit. First an economic assessment of the impact of this allocation change should be commissioned, then alternative options for implementation of this allocation change should be investigated. Consent holders who face losing 2400 l/sec water under this allocation change should be granted an equivalent volume of high flow water to compensate for their loss.	Reject	15.4

53.13	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
53.22	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend to require territorial authority applicants to promote water conservation in the urban community by way of metered supplies at the consumer level Amend to ensure territorial authorities have a continuous improvement model for reducing water reticulation losses rather than a broad statement of an Infrastructure Leakage Index of 4 or better	Reject	15.4
54.10.	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
54.11	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
54.15	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read 'actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
54.32	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
54.33	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
54.36	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
54.37	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
54.76	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend minimum flow of the Tutaekuri River measured at Puketapu to be 2000l/s	Reject	15.4
58.26	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Remove Policy 45(a) and/or clarify to ensure it is not misused.	Accept	15.4
58.27	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Replace with 90% reliability to reflect other regions or explain why 95% is required.	Reject	15.4
58.28	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to place primacy on the total allocation volume as driving the consent consideration.	Reject	15.4
58.40.	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	No specific relief requested but state that catchment expiry dates may be inconsistent with consent term limits as applied by policy	Accept in part	15.4
59.9	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 45(b).	Accept	15.4
59.10.	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Policy 45(b) to include reference to the installation and verification of water meters to be completed by a person with suitable qualifications and that the work is completed to the industry agreed code of practice The New Zealand Water Measurement Code of Practice.	Reject	15.4
59.11	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Policy 47(a) to read: a) ensuring that the technical means of using-use of water are physically is efficient through; (i) allocation of water for irrigation end-uses based on soil, climate and plant crop needs; (ii) requiring the adoption of good (or best) practice water use technology and processes that minimise the amount of water wasted lost from the soil profile; and (iii) the use of water meters;	Accept	15.4
59.12	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 47(b).	Accept in part	15.4
59.13	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Change 9 in relation to Policy 47(c) to include a definition for application efficiency.	Accept in part	15.4
59.14	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the definition of "reliability standard of 95%" is non-sensical.	Accept in part	15.4
59.15	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Change 9 to include a definition for Distribution Uniformity.	Accept in part	15.4
59.16	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 47(e) and (f).	Accept in part	15.4
59.17	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Change 9 in relation to Policy 47(e) and (f) to list these codes of practice; •The New Zealand Piped Irrigation Systems Design Code of Practice •The New Zealand Piped Irrigation Systems Design Standards •The New Zealand Piped Irrigation Systems Installation Code of Practice •The New Zealand Water Measurement Code of Practice •The New Zealand Performance Assessment Code of Practice	Reject	15.4
59.18	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 48(e)	Accept in part	15.4

59.19	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policy 49(g)	Accept	15.4
59.34	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Change 9 in relation to Fernhill Note 2) to make a determination now as to suitability of retaining this site or shifting it.	Accept	15.4
59.35	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Trigger Flow 2400	Accept in part	15.4
59.36	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Change 9 so that the consented rate of take remains at 1582l/sec	Reject	15.4
59.38	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Schedule 33	Accept	15.4
61.10.	Greg	Simpson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
61.11	Greg	Simpson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
61.12	Greg	Simpson		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
61.15	Greg	Simpson		Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
61.32	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
61.33	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
61.36	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
61.37	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
62.10.	Jonty	Moffett		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
62.11	Jonty	Moffett		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
62.15	Jonty	Moffett		Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
62.32	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
62.33	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
62.36	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
62.37	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
63.12	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy as follows to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Allow transfers under (e) to food processing uses •Regarding (f), allow the transfer of allocated but unused water where this enables flow enhancement schemes •Allow transfers to be a tool for managing urban growth. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.4
63.13	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy as follows: ... h) will impose a consent duration for municipal supply for 30 years to align with the required infrastructure and planning decisions under the NPS-UD 2020 consistent with most recent HPUDS and will impose consent review requirements that align with the expiry of all other consents in the applicable management unit; ...	Reject	15.4
63.14	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Include successive versions of HPUDS. •Ensure that the definition of non-residential includes all possible scenarios that municipal demand can supply. •Not limit the measure of efficiency to the 'Infrastructure Leakage Index 4' tool. Suggested wording provided.	Accept in part	15.4
63.15	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy if it applies from the outset so as to better align with other areas of relief sought in relation to concerns raised. Suggested wording provided	Reject	15.4
63.52	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 50 to ensure that sufficient water is allocated for domestic and municipal supplies to allow for future and existing growth demands.	Reject	15.4
63.55	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend policy to expand it to allow transfer to food processing uses as these uses also support the economic vitality of the Heretaunga plains	Reject	15.4
63.56	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 so that where the policy wording allows transfer to municipal supplies but excludes transfers to industrial uses above 15m3, this option be reinstated.	Reject	15.4

66.9	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	States support for Policy 45(b)	Accept	15.4
66.10	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 47(a) to remove the words "technical", "physically" and "wasted. Suggested wording provided.	Accept	15.4
66.11	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	States support for Policy 47(b)	Accept in part	15.4
66.12	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Policy 47(c) references to application efficiency standards and reliability standards, and add definitions for application efficiency and distribution uniformity. Suggested wording provided.	Accept in part	15.4
66.13	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Policy 47(e) and (f)	Accept in part	15.4
66.14	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Policy 48(e)	Accept in part	15.4
66.15	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Policy 49(g)	Accept	15.4
66.43	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Opposes Fernhill Note 2) and states that the Council needs to demonstrate that the existing site is inappropriate for sound technical reasons and that the new site will not adversely affect existing reliability.	Accept	15.4
66.44	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Trigger Flow 2400 L/s	Accept in part	15.4
66.45	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend Allocation Flow Limit 1300l/sec to remain at 1582l/sec	Reject	15.4
68.13	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
69.10	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
69.11	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
69.12	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
69.15	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
69.32	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
69.33	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
69.36	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
69.37	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4

71.10.	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
71.11	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
71.12	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
71.15	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
71.32	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
71.33	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
71.36	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
71.37	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
72.10.	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
72.11	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
72.12	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
72.15	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
72.32	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
72.33	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
72.36	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
72.37	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
73.10.	Bevan	Davidson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
73.11	Bevan	Davidson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
73.12	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
73.15	Bevan	Davidson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
73.32	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
73.33	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
73.36	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
73.37	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
74.2	Kevin	Bayley	Bayley Produce Ltd	Amend Policy 46 - The wording in c) above specifically states the Council will ensure efficient allocation by "encouraging and supporting flexible management of water by permit holders..." 2, yet the proposed policy around transfers contradicts this statement. Regarding d) on---going data collection and monitoring of water use, we would like to see effective and meaningful use of this data, not only to verify actual use information, but to ensure the investment made on behalf of the landowner is justified	Reject	15.4

74.3	Kevin	Bayley	Bayley Produce Ltd	The policy offers little to no flexibility when it comes to transferring water across consents and subsequent locations. This poses risk to the reliability of supply in terms of adequate volume and timing which is critical to production needs.	Reject	15.4
75.13	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
76.13	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
77.10	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
77.11	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
77.12	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
77.15	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
77.32	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
77.33	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
77.36	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
77.37	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
79.10	Richard	Penreath		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
79.11	Richard	Penreath		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
79.12	Richard	Penreath		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
79.15	Richard	Penreath		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
79.32	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
79.33	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
79.36	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
79.37	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
81.13	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
82.3	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Policy 50 to refer in the first line to resource consent applications for regionally significant industry and insert a new Policy 50(a) worded as follows: "Allocate water for the operational needs of existing and future regionally significant industry not supplied as part of a municipal water supply based on existing and likely demand for that purpose, while requiring water use by regionally significant industry to meet or exceed best industry practice, including for efficiency of water supply and water use." Alternatively, provide at a policy level for water allocation enabling continuity of supply to regionally significant industry.	Accept in part	15.4
82.7	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Schedule 31 to state that the allocation limit for the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit is as specified in Policy 37, or alternatively reflects actual and reasonable use over the 10 years prior to 2 May 2020, subject to the application of Policy 50.	Accept in part	15.4
82.12	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend Policy 52(b)(ii) to refer to conditions "that require implementation of industry good practice standards for efficiency of water use, including through alterations in the volume, rate or timing of water take where necessary to achieve industry good practice standards", or words to like effect. Add new subclause (iii) allowing for imposition of conditions requiring information sufficient to verify efficiency of water use relative to industry good practice standards.	Accept in part	15.4
84.13	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
86.10	Peter	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
86.11	Peter	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4

86.12	Peter	Scott		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
86.15	Peter	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and -reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
86.32	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
86.33	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
86.36	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
86.37	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
87.13	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
88.13	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
91.9	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
91.10	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
91.11	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
91.14	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
91.31	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
91.32	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
91.35	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
91.36	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
91.75	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but implies that reliance on IRRICALC is unsuitable for growers that utilize tunnels, glass houses, or other cover types.	Accept in part	15.4
94.13	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
95.10	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
95.11	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
95.12	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
95.15	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and -reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
95.32	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
95.33	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
95.36	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
95.37	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
95.78	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but implies that reliance on IRRICALC is unsuitable for growers that utilize tunnels, glass houses, or other cover types.	Accept in part	15.4
96.10	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
96.11	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
96.12	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and -reasonable".	Reject	15.4
96.15	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and -reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
96.32	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
96.33	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4

96.36	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
96.37	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
97.11	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
97.12	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
97.13	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
97.16	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
97.33	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
97.34	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
97.37	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
97.38	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
97.83	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Include a directive to Councils that all new houses being built in Hawke 's Bay be equipped with water meters.	Reject	15.4
97.88	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Keep the Low Flow limit at 2000. We also note that there is a move to decrease the allocation on the Tutaekuri but no mention of what this is to. What do these two policies put together mean. We need analysis which is sorely lacking in this Plan Change.	Reject	15.4
99.17	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supportive of large scale water storage however the timeframe of consents needs to be well beyond the 15 year timeframe. An exemption from a 15 year term would be pragmatic.	Accept	15.4
99.18	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports the recognition of the need to enable water to be made available to irrigate horticultural tree crops to ensure their survival.	Accept in part	15.4
99.19	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	The wording of a) needs to be amended to make it explicitly clear that new water is available for allocation from high flows. Do not support actual water being used as the basis for water re-allocation at this time given the raft of issues with	Accept in part	15.4
99.29	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Opposes the proposed increase to minimum flow on the Tutaekuri River, as this is not based on requirements of aquatic ecosystems, which is what the minimum flows for all other rivers within the TANK catchments are. Submits that a clear exemption from the allocation limits specified also needs to be included for water used for frost protection purposes – in a similar manner as has been done for water use that utilised stored water. Opposes any potential change to the location of the monitoring site for the Ngaruroro River (as denoted by 'Note 2' to the table). Would support an additional site for the reasons outlined in the PC	Accept	15.4
99.36	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
99.37	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
99.41	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
99.58	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
99.59	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
99.62	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
99.63	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
99.81	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.4

99.107	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	An exemption from a 15 year term consent duration for high flow storage.	Accept	15.4
102.13	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
103.9	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
103.19	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	We support maintaining the current minimum flow setting of 2400lps (at Fernhill) on the Ngaruroro River (Schedule 31)	Accept in part	15.4
103.20	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Supports the proposed 15 year term for consents (49.g, Schedule 33).	Accept	15.4
104.10	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
104.11	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
104.12	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
104.15	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
104.32	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
104.33	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
104.36	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
104.37	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
104.55	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.4
105.10	Scott	Lawson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
105.11	Scott	Lawson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
105.12	Scott	Lawson		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
105.15	Scott	Lawson		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.4
105.32	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
105.33	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4

105.36	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.		Reject	15.4
105.37	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.		Reject	15.4
106.5	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	The TANK plan change has identified that there is over allocation. We can identify that our stream dries up. Relief sought - Urgency made to look to reduce allocations as soon as TANK becomes operative, not ten years later in 2033 (or whenever).		Reject	15.4
106.7	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	Minimum flow site at Turamoe there is always flow. We don't think that HBRC data shows the true picture of the 1.5-2km stretch that is completely dried up. There is no monitoring at that site so it does not show up in the data. Relief Sought: Monitoring at the area that is dried up.		Accept in part	15.4
106.12	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	While this plan has been undertaken, landowners have had plenty of time to get their whare in order. They will maintain their status quo for as long as they can and it could be a long time before they give up their allocation. Urgency needs to be made on the highest and over allocated streams such as the Paritua and Karewarewa.		Accept in part	15.4
106.13	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	While it may have provided 'good results' in other areas, taking from groundwater does not start at the source of the problem which is that too much water has been allocated. Urgency and priority given to streams that have been allocated over 60%, starting from the highest allocated, to get that allocation reduced to encourage flow.		Accept in part	15.4
107.13	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.		Reject	15.4
110.10.	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".		Reject	15.4
110.11	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".		Reject	15.4
110.15	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.		Reject	15.4
110.32	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.		Reject	15.4
110.33	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.		Reject	15.4
110.36	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.		Reject	15.4

110.37	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
111.11	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Maintain adequate/excellence in the quantity flow to avoid stagnation, pollution and bacterial widespread	Accept in part	15.4
111.17	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Protect the Heretaunga Acquifer, all other awa, awa ngaruroro and its tributaries from being completely depleted	Accept in part	15.4
115.1	Patricia D	Nuku		Reduction in allocations overall in PC9, from groundwater and surface water that contribute to low flows in or no water being available in the Karewarewa and Paritua streams.	Accept in part	15.4
115.2	Patricia D	Nuku		Amend PC9 to include sustainable allocation Volumes and sustainable abstraction Rates from the Ngaruroro River	Accept in part	15.4
115.4	Patricia D	Nuku		Impose limits of abstractions from the Heretaunga plains aquifer system so that Springs that feed into the Karamu are not restricted.	Accept in part	15.4
115.5	Patricia D	Nuku		Raise the minimum flow in the Ngaruroro River to provide 90% habitat provision for range of fish species that prefer fast flowing River reaches.	Reject	15.4
115.7	Patricia D	Nuku		Effects on streams and springs around the edges of the Heretaunga plains aquifer system, decline in steam flows, no water in streams for a major part of the year, reduced ability to use streams for recreation, fishing, mahina kai, cultural practices for teaching tamariki / rangatahi about their streams & rivers (Waimaori). Unsustainable use of the aquifer system, more water coming out then what's going in, declining water levels wells drying up more frequently, council looking to reduce the amount allocated for personal use	Accept in part	15.4
115.8	Patricia D	Nuku		I ask for a reduction in all allocations overall in PC9 would need to have a provision in it that requires both a reduction in overall quantity of water abstracted from the Heretaunga plains aquifer system, and a method to ensure expiring consents are dealt with in such a manner that these reductions are applied as resource consents come up for renewal.	Accept in part	15.4
116.10.	Alexander John	Macphee		15 years may not provide certainty for irrigation schemes to be financially viable and a longer period would be more acceptable.	Reject	15.4
118.1	Hugo	Beamish		Ngaruroro River - No specific relief sought but opposes Note 2 in relation to Fernhill - the current monitoring site has a significant historical record with flow statistics members	Accept in part	15.4

118.2	Hugo	Beamish		47a) In support with the following revision: Recommend the words "technical", "physically" and "wasted" be removed.	Accept	15.4
118.3	Hugo	Beamish		47c) - remove application efficiency standard	Accept in part	15.4
118.7	Hugo	Beamish		Support Trigger 2400	Accept	15.4
118.8	Hugo	Beamish		Oppose Allocation Flow Limit 1300l/sec. The consented river flow rate should remain at 15821/sec.	Reject	15.4
120.8	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include directive provisions that reduce over abstraction and allocation of TANK surface waters (see Attachment 2 for numerical values).	Accept in part	15.4
120.35	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Water takes from the Ngaruroro River tributaries should form a percentage of total takes allowed for the Ngaruroro catchment as a whole, and the volume and rates of take that aggregate/add up must be an overall sustainable total for	Reject	15.4
120.36	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Place a limit on each river and stream both for total instantaneous rate of take and weekly volume which are supported by policies and rules	Accept in part	15.4
120.37	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Consents for groundwater abstraction near Maraekakaho should be aligned with total surface water depletion quantum and accounted for in the Ngaruroro management regime	Reject	15.4
120.38	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Surface water depletion effects of groundwater takes near Maraekakaho need to be regulated through Fernhill OR the monitoring site could be moved to the actual confluence.	Reject	15.4
120.39	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Consider all groundwater (including shallow groundwater) within the allocation limits and stream depletion provisions.	Accept in part	15.4
120.45	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Ensure all water takes are within low flow, cultural allocation to Ngati Kahungunu and high flow allocation limits.	Accept in part	15.4
120.46	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Ensure all allocation limits are less than 30% naturalised MALF	Reject	15.4
120.47	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Set allocation limits for the Karamu and Ahuriri catchments .	Accept in part	15.4
120.48	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Set minimum flows for the Ahuriri catchment (and estuary).	Reject	15.4
120.49	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Recognise the Karewarewa and Paritua as separate distinct streams with separate characteristic hydrology and mauri with each having their own individual minimum flows, and respective flow monitoring sites.	Accept in part	15.4
120.50	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Significantly increase the minimum flow in the Ngaruroro River to provide more habitat for indigenous fish at low flows.	Reject	15.4
120.52	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Do not allow transfer of water permits into over-allocated ground and surface water management units or between catchments	Accept in part	15.4
120.57	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Restore depleted surface water flows and extent of streams, wetlands and springs through sustainable and precautionary allocation limits	Reject	15.4
120.59	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Increase minimum flow requirements for the TANK catchment to address the cultural and biodiversity issues identified in this submission	Reject	15.4
120.68	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Require all takes of water to be within sustainable (high and low) allocation limits and that all takes will cease at minimum flow except provision for explicitly prioritised essential uses including community supplies.	Reject	15.4
120.93	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Remove policy 51 d, e, f and remove MPI from proposed water management group	Reject	15.4
120.175	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Add new schedule to Change 9: Irrigation Season - minimum flow limits and targets. Table provided.	Reject	15.4
120.176	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Table of new minimum flow sites provided	Reject	15.4
122.10	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
122.11	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
122.12	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
122.15	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4

122.32	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
122.33	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
122.36	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
122.37	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
123.5	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Significantly increase the minimum flow in the Ngaruroro River to provide more habitat for indigenous fish at low flows (e.g., 80 - 90% of habitat at MALF).	Accept in part	15.4
123.40	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	The water quality of surface and groundwater bodies will be maintained where objectives of Schedule 26 are currently met and improved to meet targets in Schedule 26 where these are not met by 2040 by: a) Working with mana whenua, landowners, local authorities... etc b) Managing and regulating land use activities to improve water quality in catchments identified in Schedule 28 as a priority c) Where phosphorous and microbial pathogens are not meeting the objectives of Schedule 26, also regulate and manage land use activities which generate sediment (as a key contaminant pathway) d) Managing and regulating land use activities to reduce sedimentation and macrophyte growth in lowland rivers e) Managing and regulating land use to reduce nutrient loads to the Waitangi and Ahuriri estuaries f) Enable the maintenance of existing and creation of new sustainable riparian margins g) Manage and regulate stormwater networks to reduce contaminants to water h) Manage and regulate land use activities to protect the water quality of domestic and municipal water supplies. i) Manage and regulate point source discharges to reduce contaminants to water	Accept in part	15.4
123.78	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete and amend to cease takes at minimum flows in Schedule 31.	Reject	15.4
123.79	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	The Council "will recognise" should be reframed as "the Council recognises".	Accept	15.4
123.80	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Requiring metering and telemetry of water takes is supported and is consistent with national regulations. However, the regulations do not allow metering exceptions (e.g., in cases of technical limitations) and this part of the clause should be deleted.	Accept	15.4
123.81	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Delete policy 46	Reject	15.4
123.82	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Reliability standards to meet demand are not water use efficiency measures and should be deleted, otherwise clauses a-f are supported.	Accept in part	15.4

123.83	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Water use change or transfer should not be allowed in any overallocated waterbody – applications to transfer into overallocated waterbodies should be declined (and supported by a prohibited activity status in the rules of PC9). Transfers should be declined wherever significant adverse effects on life-supporting capacity, ecosystem health and other instream freshwater values are likely. References to flow enhancement or ecosystem improvement schemes should be deleted as these are inappropriate measures to manage adverse effects. The needs of people and communities for water supply for drinking and domestic use should be prioritised above water used for irrigation. Clause g is supported – water used for frost protection generally is not used when rivers and streams are under the most flow stress (e.g., summer).	Accept in part	15.4
123.84	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	47 b) - Allow applicants to use their own more detailed soil information within Irricalc when this data is of higher resolution and quality than existing available data.	Accept in part	15.4
123.85	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	48 a) - Make the transfer of surface water to groundwater a separate line item to make people more aware of this option.	Reject	15.4
123.86	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Impose shorter water permit durations	Reject	15.4
123.87	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Retain as notified	Accept	15.4
123.88	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Remove reference to horticultural crops and primary production.	Reject	15.4
123.89	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Include clear methods with timeframes to phase out overallocation.	Accept in part	15.4
123.90	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Water used for frost protection should always be within allocation limits and minimum flows.	Reject	15.4
123.148	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	List of monitoring bores and groundwater level and quality trigger levels which require groundwater takes to reduce the rate of abstraction if water levels drop below limits that would be set in Schedule 31.	Reject	15.4
123.149	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Use of terms and overall structure - support with amendments. The meaning of the statement in the Table header is unclear where it states: "The allocation limits do not apply to water abstraction that is enabled by the release of water from water taken at times of high flow and stored for later release (Schedule 32)." The meaning of 'flow maintenance trigger' is not clear in Schedule 31. Clarity required to establish if this applies to connected groundwater	Accept	15.4
123.150	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Ahuriri - Set minimum flows for Ahuriri catchment and estuary. Set allocation limits for Ahuriri catchment. There are no minimum flows set for ground or surface water in the Ahuriri catchment. This allows water to be taken at any time regardless of flows in the tributaries	Accept in part	15.4
123.151	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Karamu - Provide minimum flows and allocation limits which protect indigenous fish and aquatic life in Schedule 31.	Accept in part	15.4
123.152	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Ngaruroro - Increase the minimum flows for Ngaruroro at Fernhill to provide greater habitat at minimum flow for torrentfish and other fastflow indigenous fish	Reject	15.4
123.153	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Tutaekuri - Reduce the allocation limit to 20% of MALF.	Reject	15.4
123.155	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement scheme. It is inappropriate and uncertain to devolve this responsibility to a yet to be established third party. All water permit holder should be subject to cease take at minimum flows to manage the adverse effects of stream depletion and low flows on life-supporting capacity, ecosystem health and other instream freshwater values.	Reject	15.4
123.157	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Change to: 1. The development of a flow maintenance and habitat enhancement scheme must consider the views of mana whenua in relation to; a) scheme design elements aimed at improving ecological health of affected water bodies and groundwater dependent ecosystems;	Reject	15.4
123.158	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	To add to point 4: 1. groundwater and surface water abstractions, 2. contaminant sources (to avoid abstracting contaminated groundwater especially where it might discharge directly into surface water. This also ties in with Schedule 6) 3. stock drinking irrigation water races	Reject	15.4

123.159	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Change to: 5. The Plan must include information as relevant about; a) The Stream Depletion Calculator or suitable alternative method where it provides a more reliable/ defensible prediction of effects is used to calculate the total surface water depletion in litres per second for each permit that is subject to this Collective. 5d - Amend - Need to include environmental benefits of any storage scheme both up and down stream plus at the actual site.	Reject	15.4
124.10.	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 52(b) - support	Accept in part	15.4
124.13	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 43(i) - Support	Accept in part	15.4
124.14	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 46(b) - support	Accept in part	15.4
124.15	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 47(c) - support	Accept in part	15.4
124.16	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Policy 49(g) - support	Accept	15.4
124.18	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Support	Accept in part	15.4
124.19	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Support	Accept in part	15.4
124.26	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Amend clause (a) to read: ensuring allocation limits and allocations of water for abstraction are calculated with known security of supply, <u>including</u> an irrigation reliability standard that meets demand 95% of the time.	Reject	15.4
126.27	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 43 to: •Insert a timeframe and specify a procedure for establishing flow management regimes [including allocation limits] in the	Reject	15.4
126.33	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Schedule 31 to insert minimum flows and allocation limits for TANK waterbodies within the Ahuriri catchment that are established using the procedure set out in amended Policy 43: Relief for Policy 43 refers - •Insert a timeframe and specify a procedure for establishing flow management regimes [including allocation limits] in the Ahuriri catch that enables HBRC to: o make necessary adjustments to minimum flows and allocation limits [over and above actual and reasonable use testing], including the use of best available science information, to ensure the flow management regime for the Ahuriri catchment is sustainable; o adjust rates of abstraction, times of abstraction and maximum volumes for abstraction for resource consents/permits for the Ahuriri catchment [on common expiry] to manage abstraction from the Ahuriri catchment within limits.	Reject	15.4
129.3	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Insert into clauses (b) and (e) reference to the allocation limit being for consumptive water use at times of low flow.	Accept	15.4
129.4	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Insert into clause (j) reference to the allocation limit being for consumptive use and the total of all abstraction throughout the year.	Accept	15.4
129.39	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Schedule 31E Heretaunga Plains - Delete the Zone 1 groundwater areas that are connected to the Ngaruroro River on Schedule 31E and insert onto Schedule 31C Ngaruroro	Accept	15.4

130.1	Greg	Morice		No specific decision requested but raises concerns relating to the Tutaekuri River minimum flow and recent orchard development in the Dartmoor Valley	Reject	15.4
132.14	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Security of supply provisions should relate directly to sustainable amounts of abstraction for groundwater and surface water, be based on a sound methodology and reliable research, take into account the effects and constraints due to	Reject	15.4
132.40	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Enable a gradual reduction in allocations from the Ngaruroro River to 700,000 m3 per week total, and a cumulative allocation rate of 1,581 lps (inclusive of rates of take and surface water depletion rates from Ngaruroro tributaries) from the date PC9 becomes operative - as regulated from Fernhill Bridge.	Reject	15.4
132.41	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for staged increases to the minimum flow for the Ngaruroro up to 4200 litres per second by 01 July 2029	Reject	15.4
132.42	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Reduce allocations from the Tutaekuri River to 626,572 m3 per week •increase the minimum flow to 2500 lps at Puketapu Bridge from PC9 operative date. 	Reject	15.4
132.43	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prescribe and introduce an 'irrigation season' from 01 November to 30 April each year for surface water and surface water depleting groundwater abstractions	Accept in part	15.4
132.44	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Introduce elevated minimum flow limits and targets that ultimately result in 90% habitat protection/provision for trout/torrentfish during the irrigation season by June 2029	Reject	15.4
132.45	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Reduce number of irrigation ban limits in catchments and include all limits used in water management and resource consent processes in PC9.	Reject	15.4
132.49	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Require renewal of existing consents to take surface water upon current consent expiry, or when PC9 becomes operative, whichever occurs first.	Reject	15.4
132.57	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Count surface-water depletion effects above 0.5 fps or greater in surface water a/locations.	Reject	15.4
132.59	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prevent the transfer of consents/permits between the unconfined part of the HPAS and the confined aquifer	Reject	15.4
132.65	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Rename Schedule 31-E as Heretaunga Plains Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit. Expand notified cross-hatching in schedule to include stream-depletion areas for amounts of 0.5 fps or above (as Zones 1 and 2)	Reject	15.4
132.75	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prohibit the transfer of consents and water abstraction permits from the unconfined aquifer to the confined aquifer, and vice versa, and from the main part of the aquifer up to Moteo.	Reject	15.4
132.76	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prohibit or prevent the transfer of consents to abstract water from surface water to ground water takes and vice versa.	Accept in part	15.4
132.77	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prevent the input or inducement of lower quality water into the confined aquifer	Accept in part	15.4
132.90	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete table in proposed Schedule 31- Flows, levels and allocation limits and replace with content and structure as in Table 3A of this submission.	Reject	15.4
132.109	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include in proposed plan provisions for the transfer of water permits to abstract water; "The transfer will not result in an increase in the scale or intensity of adverse effects and will not occur in over-allocated catchments or aquifers".	Accept in part	15.4
132.118	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Require surface water depletion effects of 0.5 L per sec or greater, to be accounted for in water quantity allocations and consent limits for ground water abstractions, and subject to minimum flow restrictions and volume limits within FMUs and surface water management zones. Count surface water depletion rates in surface water abstraction rate limits, and depletion effects of more than 60 m3 per week in total abstraction volume limits for surface water bodies.	Reject	15.4
132.121	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Remove the "efficient taking" proviso for wells, so it no longer applies for domestic takes within TANK catchments.	Reject	15.4
132.140	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include new provisions/criteria in rules for discharge permits in PC9, and in other operative rules for discharges that apply in TANK catchments. Specific wording provided.	Reject	15.4

132.142	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Reduce the number of 'minimum flows' used for regulating water abstraction in rivers and streams in the TANK catchments, and include all flows used for resource consent processes and management purposes, in PC9.	Accept in part	15.4
132.143	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure that the minimum flow for the Maraekakaho River maintains surface water connection between the minimum flow site at Tait Road and the confluence with the Ngaruroro River.	Accept in part	15.4
132.144	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Gradually increase the minimum flow for the Maraekakaho River to 150 lps by 01 July 2029.	Reject	15.4
132.145	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Impose a specific limit on water abstraction from the Maraekakaho River which requires permit holders to cease taking, and implement a minimum flow regime that provides for and protects instream habitat, groundwater dependent ecosystems and fish passage during the fish spawning and migration seasons.	Accept in part	15.4
132.146	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	For Minimum Flow limits in PC9, specify and include an updated schedule that enables 90% habitat provision for a range of fish species including trout and torrent fish, for all main rivers and their tributaries. Amend minimum flow schedules and proposed plan provisions to include flow levels and abstraction limits as in Table 3A below.	Reject	15.4
132.147	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include an updated Q95 methodology for setting minimum flows 18, related allocatable volumes, and derive a security of supply regime for surface water abstractions, and surface water depleting abstractions, from this.	Reject	15.4
132.150.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Apply minimum flows for major rivers and their main tributaries for the period 01 May to 30 Oct that equates to 2x MALF.	Reject	15.4
132.154	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for a new flow monitoring site at Raukawa Road for the Paritua and a minimum flow here of 120 lps.	Reject	15.4
132.155	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Introduce new provisions into PC9 to enable replacement of resource consents to abstract groundwater, with consents for abstraction from water storage	Accept in part	15.4
132.165	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend PC9 minimum flows during the irrigation season to enable 90% trout and torrentfish habitat protection in the TOtaekurT and Ngaruroro Rivers, and in their major tributaries by 1 July 2029 (See Table 3A below).	Reject	15.4
132.166	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Establish minimum flow sites for the Mangaone, Mangatutu and Taruarau Rivers and for the Poporangī, Otamauri, Mangatahi, Kikowhero, Waitio and Ohiwia Streams, near their confluence with the main river channels. For the irrigation season, require minimum flows for these sites that provide for ecosystem health, life-supporting capacity and 90% habitat protection.	Reject	15.4
132.167	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for a cultural flow that applies across all rivers and streams in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments.	Reject	15.4
132.169	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure a cultural flow of 2x MALF is provided for outside of the six-month irrigation season - i.e., from 1 May through to 30 October, for all main rivers and their major tributaries in the TANK catchments. Amend the proposed plan to make irrigation of crops and pasture from surface water bodies (other than from water storage, and for frost protection purposes) during these months a "non-complying activity".	Reject	15.4
132.171	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Use an updated Q95 that includes flow data up to 2019 and flows specific to the irrigation season to help derive a security of supply that is linked to surface water availability, and surface water abstraction limits and rates of abstraction.	Reject	15.4
132.173	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Substantially amend Policy 43 to expand on Zone 1 references and include groundwater takes and their effects that result in 0.5 lps surface water depletion rates or greater. Provide for these calculations to be assessed during the irrigation season.	Reject	15.4

132.175	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Make consequential amendments to other parts of PC9 that reference Zone 1, so that the "0.5 lps or greater' depletion rate applies within rules and schedules, and include a security of supply policy that links directly to sustainable volumes and rates of abstraction for both surface water takes and surface water depleting takes.	Reject	15.4
132.176	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure these matters (points 174 - 175) acknowledge current uncertainty and the need to adopt a precautionary approach in the interim to safeguard life supporting capacity, and that the method promotes the purpose of the Act and gives effect to the NPS-FM.	Accept	15.4
132.177	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Re-configure Policy 50 so that the priority setting for water allocation has the health and well-being of the water body first, the health and well-being of people second (domestic uses) and uses for economic gain third, consistent with Te Mana o te Wai and the NPS-FM (2020).	Accept in part	15.4
132.179	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Move Policy 51 to a non-regulatory section of the plan.	Reject	15.4
132.180	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add new Policy 43A and include reference in it to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Reducing abstraction amounts and abstraction rates from the Ngaruroro River mainstem and from connected groundwaters in Zones 1 and 2; from the Tutaekuri River mainstem and tributaries, and from the Karamu River mainstem and tributaries to achieve limits and targets. •Gradually increasing flow minima in the Ngaruroro River at Fernhill as prescribed in Table 3A, so as to enhance the life-supporting capacity of freshwater and groundwater and increase instream habitat provision for torrentfish and trout. 	Reject	15.4
132.189	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Make the taking of water for irrigation below minimum flows, a prohibited activity.	Reject	15.4
132.195	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add Table 3A: Irrigation Season minimum flow limits and targets. Table provided.	Reject	15.4
134.3	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	Policy 43 has no consideration for mitigation of adverse effects during low flow periods in Zone 1. The kupa family believe mitigation of adverse effects including augmentation should be central to all rules and policies in the plan.	Accept in part	15.4
134.7	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	PC9 needs to be reframed to give an opportunity to draw water once Ngaruroro river has fallen below 2,400 l/sec.	Reject	15.4
135.45	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 46 as notified.	Accept in part	15.4
135.46	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 47 as notified.	Accept in part	15.4

135.47	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Policy 49 as follows: When making decisions about applications for resource consent to take and use water, the Council will set common expiry dates, <u>or include a review condition</u>, for water permits to take water in each water management zone, that enables consistent and efficient management of the resource and will set durations that provide a periodic opportunity to review effects of the cumulative water use and to take into account potential effects of changes in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) knowledge about the water bodies; • b) over-allocation of water; • c) patterns of water use; • d) development of new technology; • e) climate change effects; • f) efficacy of flow enhancement schemes and any riparian margin upgrades; <p>and the Council;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • g) will impose consent durations of 15 years, <u>or impose review conditions reflecting the same timeframe</u>, according to specified water management unit expiry dates. Future dates for expiry or review of consents within that catchment are every 15 years thereafter. • h) will impose a consent duration for municipal supply consistent with the most recent HPUDS and will impose consent review requirements that align with the expiry of all other consents in the applicable management unit; • i) may grant consents granted within three years prior to the relevant common catchment expiry date with a duration to align with the second common expiry <u>or review condition</u> date, except where the application is subject to section 8.2.4 of the RRMP). 	Reject	15.4
135.48	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Policy 51 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • e) uses where water uses is subject to <u>required to meet the seasonal demands for</u> of primary production; • f) uses for which water is essential for the continued operation of a business (<u>commercial or industrial activity</u>) and <u>primary production not provided for by (e) above</u>, except where water is subject to seasonal demand for primary production or processing. • <i>The following uses will not be authorised under a water shortage direction:</i> • g) <i>use of water not associated with the continued operation of a business (<u>commercial or industrial activity</u>) or community well being.</i> 	Accept in part	15.4
135.49	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Policy 52 as follows: The Council will phase out over-allocation by;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) preventing <u>restricting</u> any new allocation of water (not including any reallocation in respect of permits issued before 2 May 2020); • c) provide for, within the duration of the consent <u>or review conditions</u>, meeting water efficiency • f) prevent <u>restrict</u> site to site transfers of allocated but unused water that does not meet the definition of actual and reasonable use. 	Reject	15.4
135.64	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Schedule 31 as notified.	Accept in part	15.4
135.65	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	<p>Amend Schedule 33 as follows: Refer to Policy 45 49 and Rules TANK 9 - 11. The Council will consider the following Schedule when determining the duration of any permit, <u>or the inclusion of a review condition reflecting the same timeframe</u>, to take and use water. <i>Where appropriate, the duration of the consent <u>and/or the review condition</u> will be consistent with the next common expiry date for the relevant water management as shown in this Schedule. If an application is made up to three years before the next due date for the relevant zone, the Council may issue the permit for the following expiry date <u>or include a review condition reflecting the same timeframe</u>.</i></p> <p>For applications in an area for which no expiry date is specified, the duration of the consent will be a matter for Council's discretion.</p>	Reject	15.4
136.3	Te Hira	Henderson		Seek relief by stopping all proposal plans, and only immediately to stop the flow out, extremely excessive to what flows in.	Reject	15.4
138.8	Marei	Apatu		The plan needs to reduce over-allocations and over-abstractions from groundwater and surface water that contribute to low flows the Karamu is 800% over allocated in terms of the operative plan.	Accept in part	15.4
141.3	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Would like to see the science behind this and should not be set on modelling alone.	Accept in part	15.4

141.9	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Strongly oppose. This needs to be a minimum of 25years	Reject	15.4
143.12	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend 5.10.7.51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
146.2	Rebecca	Davies	New Zealand Defence Force	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	15.4
147.5	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	Less water is taken form the aquifers, so more water is left to support our springs that feed into and replenish our awa.	Accept in part	15.4
165.13	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
179.13	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected</u> primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or <u>similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.</u>	Accept in part	15.4
180.43	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to better align the policy with terminology as used within the irrigation industry. Specific wording provided in submission.	Accept in part	15.4
180.44	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'When considering any application to change the water use specified by a water permit, or to transfer a point of take to another point of take, to consider:... g) declining applications for a change of use from frost protection to any other end use <u>except primary production</u> ;	Reject	15.4
180.45	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: "...i) <u>except where an application is to take and use water storage projects, consent durations of greater than 15 years will be considered and may be granted if a longer consent term is justified on the basis of the quantum of investment required to construct the scheme.</u>	Accept in part	15.4
180.46	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	HortNZ supports the recognition of the need to enable water to be made available to irrigate horticultural tree crops to ensure their survival.	Accept in part	15.4
180.47	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to ensure that new water from high flow allocations can be assessed, and makes policy more practically <u>appropriate in its application. Specific wording provided in submission.</u>	Accept in part	15.4
180.48	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to more appropriately reflect the limited scope of any effects that do occur as a result of frost protection takes. <u>Specific wording provided in submission.</u>	Reject	15.4
180.71	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend minimum flow for Tutaekuri River to 2,000l/s. Delete Note 2. Add volume with root stock survival volume/allocation that can be abstracted below minimum flow.	Accept in part	15.4
185.10	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
185.11	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
185.15	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
185.32	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4

185.33	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
185.36	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
185.37	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
185.55	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.4
185.76	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but implies reduced access to water from the Paritua Stream could have economic effects.	Reject	15.4
186.10	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
186.11	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
186.15	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
186.32	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
186.33	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4

186.36	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.		
					Reject	15.4
186.37	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
186.55	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.4
190.1	Randle	Cooke	Mangaroa Marae	Re-visit the water flow in Karewarewa Stream to provide for cultural, recreational values, and ecosystem health	Reject	15.4
191.9	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Gradual elevation of minimum flow	Reject	15.4
191.12	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Come up with another methodology for water allocation and water flow for actual and reasonable use	Reject	15.4
192.12	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Add to Policy 46(a): “, which for irrigation takes means to a 95% reliability of supply.”	Reject	15.4
192.13	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Amend Policy 47(b) to say: “using the IRRICALC water demand model or a suitable equivalent approved by Council to determine efficient water allocations for irrigation uses;”	Accept in part	15.4
192.14	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Delete the words “and to prevent the transfer of allocated but unused water” from Policy 48(f).	Reject	15.4
193.7	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policy 43 f) - No changes to minimum flows in the Tutaekuri, and no minimum flows established on the Mangone and Mangatutu without further community engagement and agreement.	Accept in part	15.4
193.8	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Consents that required significant investment either in water storage, or improved technology or in other areas should be considered at terms up to 35 years.	Accept	15.4
193.9	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policy 52 a) - New water use can be allocated from stored water sources. Stored water should be harvested at times between median and 3 times median flows, into an off stem containment or a dam on a minor (not named) tributary, hopefully well up the catchment, then water is released to the mainstem when required for any potential use, that can then provide an environmental benefit as it travels down the mainstem, recharging the aquifer which is then extracted using existing groundwater takes infrastructure	Accept in part	15.4

193.19	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policies and rules around surface water flow management are inequitable, and do not address the fundamental causes of this issue	Reject	15.4
194.2	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Takes an unnecessarily conservative approach to allocation limits and flows, and is unduly restrictive in applying prohibited activity status to takes beyond those limits.	Reject	15.4
194.7	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Has not been appropriately justified through a Section 32 report in sufficient detail. In particular, the Section 32 Report fails to fully justify the approach to allocation limits, minimum flows, the degree of groundwater connection, and the interim allocation limit for the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit (of 90 million cubic metres).	Reject	15.4
194.54	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	New policy/clause for avoiding the death of crops - Insert a new policy/clause that reflects POL TT9(1)(f)(iva) of the RRMP. This should allow 'the taking of water authorised for the sole purpose of avoiding the death of horticultural or viticultural	Accept in part	15.4
194.59	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 43 (d), (h), and (k) - Amend the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to provide for the efficient allocation and use of water	Reject	15.4
194.60	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policies 44 and 55 - Retain as drafted.	Reject	15.4
194.61	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain clause 5.10.7.45(a) as drafted. Considers that consequential amendment is needed to 5.10.6.36(f) and 5.10.7.52(a) in order to reflect and align these policies with 5.10.7.45(a).	Reject	15.4
194.62	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 45 (d)(ii) - 5.10.7.45(d)(ii) should be amended to reflect POL TT9(1)(f)(iva) of the RRMP, along the lines of 'require the water take to cease when the minimum flow is reached in the relevant zone, excluding the taking of water for the sole purpose of avoiding the death of horticultural or viticultural root stock or crops should be exempt from cease takes...'	Reject	15.4
194.63	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain Policy 5.10.7.46 as drafted. Make consequential changes to other parts of PC9 to reflect this policy.	Accept in part	15.4
194.64	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 46(b) - Amend 5.10.7.46(b) to refer to actual and reasonable use, rather than actual and reasonable requirements.	Accept	15.4
194.65	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 46(b) - Amend the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to provide for the efficient allocation and use of water.	Reject	15.4
194.66	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	The relief sought is that the Glossary and Policy 47 are amended to 'as specified by a consistent and appropriate water demand model', where IRRICALC can be included as an example.	Accept in part	15.4
194.67	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 48(e) - Retain the substance of the policy but consider whether exceptions could be allowed in respect of clause (e).	Accept in part	15.4

194.68	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 47(f) - Clause (f) should be amended to 'in Water Quality Management Units that are over-allocated, ensuring that transfers do not result in increased water use at the WMU level'.	Reject	15.4
194.69	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 48(g) - Clause (g) be deleted.	Reject	15.4
194.70	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend 5.10.7.49 or create a new policy to address the cumulative effects of grouped consents that are likely to be more than minor and trigger s95 public notification.	Accept in part	15.4
194.71	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend to include primary sector representatives to make decisions about providing for water uses. 5.10.7.51(d) should be amended to either: 'water essential for survival of horticultural and viticultural crops' or 'water essential for survival of horticultural tree and vine crops'. Expand the approach of providing for horticultural and viticultural crops to minim flow restrictions generally (not just water shortage directions), including in relation to Policy 5.10.6.39 and the new policy sought by PWRM above.	Reject	15.4
194.72	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend along the lines of 'preventing any new allocation of water excluding water taken at times of high flow and stored and released for subsequent use (not including any reallocation in respect of permits issued before 2 May 2020)'.	Accept in part	15.4
194.73	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policy 53(b)(i) - Amend the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to provide for the efficient allocation and use of water	Reject	15.4
194.74	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend 5.10.7.53 to recognise that takes for frost protection are excluded from the total allocation limits in Schedule 31.	Reject	15.4
194.110	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Schedule 33 should refer to Policy 49, not Policy 45. Clarification and consistency across the RRMP in order to streamline the review/renewal processes across all catchments within the region.	Reject	15.4
195.65	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 43 be amended as follows: 43. The Council will manage river flows and lake or wetland water levels affected by surface water abstraction activities,	Reject	15.4
195.66	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 44 be retained as notified	Reject	15.4
195.67	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 45 be amended to delete clause d) and associated subclauses. Tracked changes provided. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4
195.68	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 46 and 47 be retained as notified.	Accept in part	15.4
195.69	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 48 be amended as follows: Water Use Change/Transfer 48. When considering any application to change <u>increase</u> the water use <u>take</u> specified by a water permit, or to transfer a point of take to another point of take, to consider: a) declining applications <u>the adverse effect on the freshwater resource where the transfer is to another water management zone unless including;</u> ... g) declining applications for a change of use from frost protection to any other end use; ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4
195.70	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 49 to refer to 20 year consents. Specific wording provided. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4

195.71	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 50 be amended as follows: 50. In making decisions about resource consent applications for municipal and papakainga water supply the Council will ensure the water needs of future community growth are met within water limits and; a) allocate water for population and urban development projections for the area according to estimates provided by the HPUDS (2017) to 2045; b) calculate water demand according to existing and <u>likely planned</u> residential, non-residential (schools, hospitals, commercial and industrial) demand within the expected reticulation areas; and (i) require that water demand and supply management plans are developed and adopted and industry good practice targets for water infrastructure management and water use efficiency including whether an Infrastructure Leakage Index of 4 <u>1</u> or better can be achieved; And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4
195.72	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 51 as follows: e) uses where water is subject to seasonal demand for primary production, <u>excluding water for individual reasonable domestic needs and the reasonable needs of a persons' animals for drinking water;</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4
195.73	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 52 be amended as follows: b) (i) allocate water according to demonstrated actual and reasonable need (except as provided for by Policy 50) ... d) reducing the amount of water permitted to be taken without consent, including those provided for by Section 14 (3)(b) of the RMA, except for authorised uses existing before 2 May 2020; And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4
195.74	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 53 be retained as notified	Accept in part	15.4
195.89	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Retain as notified	Accept in part	15.4
195.146	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 31 be amended as follows: To allow reallocation of unused allocated water amounts in existing water permits between irrigation users who are within the same Catchment Collective, within any FMU. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.4
195.151	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Retain as notified	Accept	15.4
196.11	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
196.12	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
196.33	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
196.34	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
196.37	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
196.38	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4

196.57	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.4
197.7	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Amend existing and include as required new policies to give effect to the following intent: •More explicitly provide for the development and implementation of Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes as the preferred approach to environmental management and recognise them as a priority to achieving freshwater targets and objectives.	Reject	15.4
198.3	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Set allocation limits, minimum flow and high flow limits for all catchments	Reject	15.4
198.6	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Set high flow allocations for all rivers that ensure hydrological alteration of the flow regime is minimised and maintained close to natural flow regimes	Accept in part	15.4
198.7	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Significantly increase the minimum flow in the Ngaruroro River to provide more habitat for indigenous fish at low flows	Reject	15.4
198.8	Cordelia	Woodhouse	Environmental Defence Society Inc	Prevent the transfer of water-permits into over-allocated ground and surface water freshwater management units	Accept in part	15.4
199.13	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
199.20	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Would object to any change to the Ngaruroro Zone 1 parameter	Accept in part	15.4
200.2	Ray	Knowles	Aspyron Trust	That the Actual & Reasonable criteria be dropped as a way of reducing over-allocation within existing consents & be replaced with a much fairer system based on a pro-rata across the board reduction to all consent holders based on their % of the total take.	Reject	15.4
201.42	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	The council will: a) investigate opportunities for wetland creation to improve hydrological functioning and water quality in the river, especially consented for water during low flows; b) improve riparian management to provide shade, reduce macrophyte growth, increased dissolved oxygen levels and decrease water is problematic. c) reduce water permits to ensure flow management regimes provide for 90% of trout habitat.	Reject	15.4
201.43	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend c) replace with 90% reliability standard.	Reject	15.4
201.44	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend to place priority on the total allocation volume driving the consent consideration.	Reject	15.4
201.49	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amendments to the schedule including - amend to apply total instantaneous rate of take limits for TANK rivers and their tributaries, apply a total instantaneous rate of take limit in litres per second for water abstracted from the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System, and apply a total allocation limit for the Heretaunga Plains/aquifer system with season restrictions of 6 months for irrigation consents. Further amendments outlined in submission.	Accept in part	15.4
203.19	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Provide a permitted activity pathway for temporary construction dewatering takes to avoid a technical requirement for water metering which is not practicable given the nature of these takes.	Accept in part	15.4

203.20	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Provide a permitted activity pathway for temporary construction dewatering takes.	Reject	15.4
203.21	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy	Amend policy 53 to apply to both frost protection and temporary construction dewatering.	Reject	15.4
204.13	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
207.12	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy as follows to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Allow transfers under (e) to food processing uses •Regarding (f), allow the transfer of allocated but unused water where this enables flow enhancement schemes •Allow transfers to be a tool for managing urban growth. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.4
207.13	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy as follows: ... h) will impose a consent duration for municipal supply for 30 years to align with the required infrastructure and planning decisions under the NPS-UD 2020 consistent with most recent HPUDS and will impose consent review requirements that align with the expiry of all other consents in the applicable management unit;	Reject	15.4
207.14	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Include successive versions of HPUDS. 	Accept in part	15.4
207.15	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy if it applies from the outset so as to better align with other areas of relief sought in relation to concerns raised. Suggested wording provided	Reject	15.4
207.76	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	There needs to be greater flexibility for transfers of water as a means of enabling opportunity, including for and between municipal use and to enable flexible management initiatives.	Accept in part	15.4
208.15	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend along the lines of 5.10.7.49 to ensure that public notification of consents is not required, if the requirement is triggered only by the cumulative effect of consents that individually have no more than minor effect.	Accept in part	15.4
210.12	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Provide clear policy direction to phase out over allocation within 5 years	Accept in part	15.4
210.13	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove any provisions for 'stream flow augmentation/maintenance/enhancement'	Reject	15.4
210.16	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Insert increased minimum flows, for the Ngaruroro River in particular, with interim timeframes to achievement (like that for the Tukituki River in PC6)	Reject	15.4
210.17	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Insert minimum flows for the Ahuriri catchment (and other omitted waterbodies).	Reject	15.4
210.64	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete. Amend to state that flows will be managed to the minimum flows in Schedule 31 (noting our suggested amendments to schedule 31).	Reject	15.4

210.65	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Reframe as "The Council will recognise..." Amend as "investigate opportunities for create wetlands creation to..." Delete provisions d-f Amend to be consistent with RMA and NPSFM requirements to manage effects.	Accept in part	15.4
210.66	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend the provisions around high flows to clearly state that allocation of high flows will be managed in a way that gives effect to the NPSFM, protects Te Mana o te Wai and ecosystem health, and meets Schedule 26 targets. Retain requirements for telemetric monitoring and ensure they are consistent with recent NPS/NES direction.	Reject	15.4
210.67	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete	Reject	15.4
210.68	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to state "best practice" Delete reference to reliability standard.	Accept in part	15.4
210.69	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to make it clear that applications for transfer to overallocated zones and waterbodies will be declined. Delete reference to stream flow augmentation/maintenance schemes Increase consistency with NPSFM and RMA direction on allocation Elevate status of ecosystem health, te mana o te wai, and human health over irrigation and other uses. Include provision for mana whenua consultation when considering transferring use and takes Retain clause (g)	Accept in part	15.4
210.70	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to explicitly state that consent reviews allow council to change allocated amounts of water. Shorten consent duration or remove this reference to 15 years.	Reject	15.4
210.71	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Retain Introduce a new clause "(d) investigate water metering for all residential and commercial urban water users"	Accept in part	15.4
210.72	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove reference to horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
210.73	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Include clear methods for how overallocation will be addressed with timeframes.	Accept in part	15.4
210.141	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend terms and structure for clarity.	Accept in part	15.4
210.142	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Introduce a process of staged increases (much like that in the Tukituki PC6) in the Ngaruroro minimum flow at Fernhill, with the first target being 3600 l/s, the 70% habitat protection level required for fast-water fish (and the flow that would provide >90% protection for moderate- and slow-water fish, and >70% protection for invertebrates).25 Further increases to 4000 l/s (80% protection) and 4400 l/s (90% protection) should be considered for dates further into the future.	Reject	15.4
210.143	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Include flows for the Ahuriri catchment. Provide flows in the Karamu and Ahuriri catchment that protect ecosystem health and other values.	Accept in part	15.4
210.144	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Introduce a system to phase out overallocation in the Tutaekuri-Waimate and Maraekakaho.	Accept in part	15.4
210.145	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Take a consistent (and robust) approach to setting minimum flows.	Accept in part	15.4
210.146	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Reduce allocation of Tutaekuri River to 20% of MALF.	Reject	15.4
211.10	Brian	McLay		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
211.11	Brian	McLay		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
211.15	Brian	McLay		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read 'actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4

211.32	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
211.33	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
211.36	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
211.37	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
213.13	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend Policy 51 to read "...emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, affected primary sector groups and MPI, to make decisions ..." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
214.10.	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
214.11	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
214.15	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
214.32	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
214.33	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
214.36	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
214.37	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
215.10.	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
215.11	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
215.32	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
215.33	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
215.36	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
215.37	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
216.6	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Water bans designed around a single minimum flow point is a very crude water management tool, a better approach could be staged reductions to maintain flow regimes and provide some water to maintain crops and rootstock in dry years.	Reject	15.4
217.9	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
217.10.	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
217.14	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
217.31	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
217.32	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
217.35	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
217.36	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
217.75	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Policies need to reflect the dynamic realities of water takes, the relative necessity of minimum water takes and extreme variation in economic impacts caused by water restrictions or bans. Specific ideas provided including using actual water use from 2020, and having multiple levels of water allocation.	Accept in part	15.4

218.10.	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
218.11	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
218.15	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
218.32	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
218.33	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
218.36	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
218.37	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
219.10.	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
219.11	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
219.15	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
219.32	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
219.33	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
219.36	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
219.37	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
220.10.	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
220.11	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
220.15	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
220.32	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
220.33	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
220.36	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
220.37	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
221.10.	WT	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
221.11	WT	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.4
221.15	WT	Scott		Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable'.	Reject	15.4
221.32	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
221.33	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.4
221.36	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
221.37	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.4
221.55	WT	Scott		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.4
224.7	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	Where telemetry equipment is operating to specification and needs to be replaced this cost should be subsidised.	Reject	15.4

225.6	Mary	Tukiwaho		This schedule needs to be readdressed, rewritten and an independent governing body to oversee the readdressing and rewriting due to the landowners, farmers, growers or government body, unable to see the detrimental effects their drawing water has on the rivers not to mention the re-routing of natural bodies of water that have served this rohe for hundreds of years.	Accept in part	15.4
230.2	Christine	Kidwell		Water allocation for irrigation developments must be reduced to keep all of our Tributaries full to capacity so they can keep feeding into our rivers.	Accept in part	15.4
231.4	Peter	Kay		Seek Schedule 31 be deleted - no practical reason to increase minimum flows.	Reject	15.4
231.8	Peter	Kay		15 years is too short a time for consent duration considering the amount of capital needed in the first place. Seek that the policy be amended to 20 years minimum consent duration.	Reject	15.4
232.2	Levi	Walford	Matahiwi Marae	A substantial reduction of allocation and abstractions from ground water & surface water that contribute to low flows in - or no water being available to already diminishing streams.	Reject	15.4
232.3	Levi	Walford	Matahiwi Marae	Amend Plan Change 9 to include sustainable allocation volumes and abstraction rates from the Ngaruroro river.	Accept in part	15.4
232.6	Levi	Walford	Matahiwi Marae	Elevate the minimum flow in all rivers to provide a 90% habitat provision for the range of aquatic life that prefer fast flowing river reaches	Reject	15.4
233.1	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	The input of the JWG [Drinking Water] should be noted in the "Background" section of Change 9.	Accept in part	15.4
237.7	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Prohibit further abstraction of water (other than as provided for under section 14(3)) from the Ngaruroro River and tributaries above Whanawhana.	Accept in part	15.4
238.16	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Modify 5.10.7.45.d as required to align with any changes to Policy 39, and ensure that these are in place before zone changes are made.	Accept in part	15.4
238.17	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend Policy 49 along the lines of... to ensure that public notification of consents is not required, if the requirement is triggered only by the cumulative effect of consents that individually have no more than minor effect.	Accept in part	15.4
238.18	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend Policy 51 to read along the lines of: emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service, DHB, iwi, <u>affected primary sector groups</u> and MPI, to make decisions or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.4
240.8	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Low flow settings are raised to achieve hapu values, an increase from 2000L/s to 2800 L/s with a goal to reach further significant increases over an agreed period1. We also support 3300 L/s by 2030 as stated in the Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga submission.	Reject	15.4
241.6	Penny and John	Reynolds		We oppose the change from 1581 l/s to 1300 l/s. The wording is unclear and we seek clarification.	Reject	15.4
241.16	Penny and John	Reynolds		The social and economic consequences for the local community have therefore also not been considered. The value of HB's agriculture and its role in providing for domestic food supply and security, export value and the ability to feed people	Reject	15.4

Table 15.5: High Flow Allocation

8.20.	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Policy 56	Accept	15.5
8.28	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Schedule 32 Ngaruroro River	Accept	15.5
10.7	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 56 - The Council will – Add 'actively encourage and' That the Council will put in a condition into new resource consents to take water from wells. Add 'Where possible positive artesian pressure be maintained under abstraction conditions'	Reject	15.5

11.11	Matt	Edwards		Augmentation. If developed this would alleviate the situation for sometime. No answer on this topic when I put the question. Is this going to be another Ruataniwha with millions wasted? More water was needed yesterday, not tomorrow. I cannot understand why construction isn't proceeding right now, with urgency.	Accept in part	15.5
14.10.	Ryan	Fraser		Amend Policy 59 - Require rewrite of the policy to distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
15.12	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend Policy 59 - Require rewrite of the policy to distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
18.14	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
20.12	Bruce	Nimon		Amend Policy 59 - Require rewrite of the policy to distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
22.2	Peter	Clayton	PB & BG Clayton	High flow allocation and water harvesting - Greater direction be given to minimising residual flows in high flow periods whilst water harvesting.	Reject	15.5
23.2	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Reject	15.5
23.16	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
23.17	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
23.18	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
23.19	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
23.23	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
23.24	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
23.25	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
23.26	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
23.27	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
23.31	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
24.9	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Although The TANK Plan addresses pressing water quality and quantity issues in the waterways of the Heretaunga Plains, an equal issue is that of water conservation and water storage. While the day for large storage facilities might have passed, the opportunity remains for smaller and many initiatives on all properties, both rural AND urban.	Accept in part	15.5
26.14	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
28.14	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the	Reject	15.5

29.35	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers'	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands and the	Reject	15.5
29.36	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Policy 60 to read "When making decisions about resource consent applications to take and store high flow water, the Council will <u>may</u> take into account the following matters: a) whether water allocated <u>any benefits</u> for development of Maori well-being." [deleting the wording in clauses b-f], or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.5
29.64	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Delete unnecessary asterisk in Schedule 32 - High Flow Allocation: "8,000 litres per second [±] "	Accept	15.5
30.5	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Retain policies 56, 57, 58 relating to the benefits of water storage and augmentation.	Accept in part	15.5
32.16	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
32.17	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
32.18	Kent	Griffiths		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
32.19	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
32.23	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
32.24	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
32.25	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
32.26	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
32.27	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
32.31	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
34.14	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
35.16	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
35.17	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
35.18	Colin	Campbell		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
35.19	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
35.23	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
35.24	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
35.25	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
35.26	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
35.27	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
35.31	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5

37.16	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
37.17	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
37.18	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
37.19	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
37.23	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
37.24	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
37.25	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
37.26	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
37.27	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
37.31	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
38.16	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
38.17	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
38.18	Roger	Brownlie		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
38.19	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
38.23	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
38.24	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
38.25	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
38.26	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
38.27	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
38.31	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
39.14	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for	Reject	15.5
41.14	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
43.16	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
43.17	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
43.18	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
43.19	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
43.23	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

43.24	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
43.25	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
43.26	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
43.27	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
43.31	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
44.16	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
44.17	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
44.18	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
44.19	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
44.23	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
44.24	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
44.25	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
44.26	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
44.27	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
44.31	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
46.4	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States the accomplishments of PC9: Water harvesting and on-land storage schemes will be permitted, but these will need to proceed through normal RMA review processes to establish their environmental suitability. And, if meeting that test, they will need to be user paid.	Accept in part	15.5
46.9	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States accomplishments of PC9: A programme to augment stream and spring flows (thereby improving water quality and ecosystem health in our lowland streams like the Karamu) will be trialled and monitored closely for effectiveness.	Accept in part	15.5
46.14	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	As the plan is implemented, hope that community-wide water conservation and water storage goals might be set, and that land uses be evaluated to ensure that water is used for its optimal environmental and economic value.	Accept in part	15.5
47.12	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Policy 59 - This is vague and unworkable, poorly worded, open to dissent and argument. It could be problematic for both Maori and any future water storage schemes.	Reject	15.5
48.14	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
49.16	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

49.17	John	Parsons		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
49.18	John	Parsons		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
49.19	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
49.23	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
49.24	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.5
49.25	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.5

49.26	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
49.27	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
49.31	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
50.18	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	We consider that HBRC need to undertake an economic analysis of this potential opportunity cost and then look to refine these rules in order to create a practical easily understood, easily complied with framework for farmers to capture some portion of winter surplus and their associated economic benefits. We cannot over-emphasise the imperative to develop a practical, workable framework here particularly for the "summer-dry" climate that farmers work within our part of the Hawkes Bay region.	Reject	15.5
51.7	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Policy 56 a-h - support. Enabling water storage is a critical part of that planning. Although we continue to invest in on-farm storage, we believe our catchment community should be investing for the future as well, not leaving these important strategic investments to adhoc private investors only. Thereby a broader scheme with wider community benefits can be developed.	Accept	15.5
51.15	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	We oppose the current wording and propose a change of wording. "Up to 10%FRE3 can be allocated that includes allocation included in consents existing 2 May 2020 and 20% for Maori Development."	Reject	15.5
53.14	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
54.16	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
54.17	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
54.18	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
54.19	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
54.23	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
54.24	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
54.25	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
54.26	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
54.27	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
54.31	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5

58.29	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Insert 50:50 flow sharing to ensure that blocks of water between median and FRE3 are fairly allocated. Further information on this is in the Rules and Schedules.		
					Reject	15.5
58.30	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	No specific decision requested but raises issues relating to internal consistency	Reject	15.5
58.38	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	No specific relief requested but raises concerns with the lack of high flow definition, no mention of flow sharing, and unclear mainstem limits.	Reject	15.5
58.39	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend relevant flow recording sites to be installed in any catchments that have been consented for high flow applications,	Reject	15.5
59.20	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Policies 54-58	Accept	15.5
59.37	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for Schedule 32	Accept	15.5
61.16	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
61.17	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
61.18	Greg	Simpson		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
61.19	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
61.23	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
61.24	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
61.25	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
61.26	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
61.27	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
61.31	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
62.16	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
62.17	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
62.18	Jonty	Moffett		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
62.19	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5
62.23	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.5

62.24	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
62.25	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
62.26	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
62.27	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
62.31	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
63.16	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy to provide discretion as to the type of activity and scale of activity that is to be subject to the full extent	Reject	15.5
63.17	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the policy to read: <u>To support and inform the review under Policy 42</u> , the Council will carry out further investigation to understand the present and potential future regional water demand and supply...	Accept in part	15.5
63.18	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Policy to link it to takes considered under Policy 59. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.5
66.16	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Policies 54-58	Accept	15.5
66.46	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Schedule 32	Accept	15.5
68.12	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Understand that HBRC will be submitting a proposed alternative approach to the requirements in Policy 39. I support, in principle, jointly-funded collective stream flow maintenance schemes on suitable lowland streams, facilitated by HBRC.	Reject	15.5
68.14	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
69.16	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
69.17	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
69.18	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
69.19	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
69.23	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

69.24	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
69.25	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
69.26	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
69.27	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
69.31	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
71.16	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
71.17	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
71.18	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
71.19	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
71.23	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
71.24	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
71.25	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
71.26	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
71.27	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
71.31	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
72.16	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

72.17	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
72.18	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
72.19	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
72.23	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
72.24	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
72.25	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
72.26	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
72.27	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
72.31	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
72.78	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Not specific decision requested but states support for water storage concepts (council owned dams) that are recharged in winter months.		Accept	15.5
72.79	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for paying reasonable levies to contribute to construction of council owned dams, on the basis our water takes are protected for our growing requirements long term and sustainability targets are met.		Accept	15.5
73.16	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
73.17	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
73.18	Bevan	Davidson		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
73.19	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
73.23	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		Reject	15.5
73.24	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
73.25	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
73.26	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5
73.27	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		Reject	15.5

73.31	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.5
73.78	Bevan	Davidson		Not specific decision requested but states support for water storage concepts (council owned dams) that are recharged in winter months.	Accept	15.5
73.79	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states support for paying reasonable levies to contribute to construction of council owned dams, on the basis our water takes are protected for our growing requirements long term and sustainability targets are met.	Accept	15.5
75.14	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to	Reject	15.5
76.14	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
77.16	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
77.17	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
77.18	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
77.19	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
77.23	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
77.24	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically	Reject	15.5
77.25	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.5
77.26	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.5

77.27	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
77.31	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
79.16	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
79.17	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
79.18	Richard	Penreath		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
79.19	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
79.23	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
79.24	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
79.25	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
79.26	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
79.27	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
79.31	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
81.14	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
84.14	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
86.16	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
86.17	Peter	Scott		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
86.18	Peter	Scott		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
86.19	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
86.23	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

86.24	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
86.25	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
86.26	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
86.27	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
86.31	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
87.19	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the	Reject	15.5
91.15	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
91.16	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
91.17	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
91.18	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
91.22	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
91.23	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
91.24	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
91.25	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
91.26	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
91.30	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
94.14	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
95.16	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
95.17	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
95.18	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
95.19	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
95.23	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
95.24	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
95.25	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
95.26	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
95.27	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
95.31	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
96.16	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
96.17	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
96.18	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
96.19	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

96.23	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
96.24	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
96.25	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
96.26	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
96.27	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
96.31	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
97.17	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
97.18	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
97.19	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
97.20	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
97.24	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
97.25	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
97.26	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
97.27	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
97.28	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
97.32	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
99.1	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	It is critical that the harvesting of water at high flows, and storage for later utilization, is provided for by the TANK plan change. Further work needs to be done to identify whether or not additional water can be taken for this purpose, as it understands that a significant amount of the allocation set out in the proposed plan has already been allocated or applied for, which means that the 'solution' for accessing new water that this plan change hinges on, potentially will provide that	Accept in part	15.5
99.20	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Supports provisions in the plan change that enable high flow water to be taken and storage for subsequent use.	Accept	15.5
99.21	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Not opposed to a portion of 20% high flow allocation to be reserved for Maori. However this high flow allocation must be used for environmental flows or unlocking Maori land that is unconsented and therefore is not able to access existing water and is therefore reliant on "New Water" from the high flow allocation.	Accept in part	15.5
99.22	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Submits that an amendment is required to make clear that Policy 60 is only relevant to consideration of applications under Policy 59.	Reject	15.5
99.30	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Strongly of the view, that the ability for the community to access and use water harvested during high flows . This is critical to the ongoing success of the horticultural sector in Hawke's Bay, and supports the inclusion of provisions that allow for the abstraction of water at times of high flow. Without this high flow harvesting of this water would have the following results. 1) a significant impediment to the survival of existing horticultural operations that have any	Reject	15.5

99.42	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
99.43	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
99.44	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
99.45	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
99.49	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
99.50.	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
99.51	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
99.52	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
99.53	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
99.57	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
102.14	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector	Reject	15.5
104.16	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
104.17	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
104.18	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
104.19	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
104.23	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
104.24	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
104.25	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
104.26	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
104.27	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
104.31	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
105.16	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
105.17	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
105.18	Scott	Lawson		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
105.19	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
105.23	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
105.24	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
105.25	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
105.26	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
105.27	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5

106.6	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	Although damming on the main stream of the Ngaruroro is prohibited, people are still looking to use dams on the streams that flow into the Ngaruroro.	Reject	15.5
107.14	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
108.2	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support retention of this clause as it is worded because flows three times above the median are extremely important for maintaining the intensity and frequency of the braided river characteristics.	Accept	15.5
108.3	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support this clause in its entirety. Special reference to <i>and will limit the amount of flow alteration so that the taking of surface water does not cumulatively adversely affect the frequency of flows above three times the median flow by more than a minor amount and provided tha t;</i>	Accept	15.5
108.4	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support policy 58, retention of this clause as it is worded. JBNZ opposes dams in the main stems of these rivers because: - 1. The potential downstream effects on braiding caused by interruption to aggregate movement and interruption to the frequency of flows above three times the median flow. 2. The blocking of passage by craft	Accept	15.5
108.5	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Oppose policy 59, the allocation of 20% of the total water available. JBNZ is concerned about the changes to riverbed morphology that will result from high flow takes beyond those specified in Schedule 32 and seeks a change to the policy so that the schedule reflects the policy. Schedule 32 sets an acceptable take when the river exceeds the high flow trigger. The massive gap between the sensible schedule 32 and the policy it sits under must be resolved. Wording like the following is proposed <i>Abstraction at high flows will limit the amount of flow alteration so that the take, either on its own or in combination with other takes in the catchment does not cumulatively adversely affect the frequency of flows above three times the median flow by more than a minor amount.</i>	Accept in part	15.5
108.6	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Oppose policy 60 as currently worded. Proposes the addition of clauses that the council should take into account: - 1. The effect of the take on the morphology of the downstream riverbed, including the effect of reducing the frequency of flows exceeding three times the median flow (FRE3) by more than a minor amount. 2. The impact of intake works on the riverbed 3. Any restrictions the intake may place on access to the river within the area of the intake.	Reject	15.5
108.11	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support the criteria set out in the schedule for the Ngaruroro or any tributaries of the Ngaruroro. A Maximum of 8,000 litres/ sec above the trigger of 20 m3/sec is acceptable for this river and its tributaries. Significant concern that the Rules TANK 13-17 enable 20% of any flow. This is opposed by JBNZ. While 8,000 litres/sec is acceptable, leaving the rules as 20% is not.	Accept	15.5
110.16	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
110.17	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
110.18	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
110.19	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
110.23	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5

110.24	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
110.25	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
110.26	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
110.27	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
110.31	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
120.51	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Set high flow allocations for all rivers that ensure hydrological alteration of the flow regime is minimised and maintained close to natural flow regimes	Accept in part	15.5
120.53	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Prohibit all new large run-of-river damming and require safe fish passage for all new small dams (catchment <50ha).	Accept in part	15.5
120.63	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Ensure to streams and rivers for the purposes of diverting water for impoundment does not alter the natural character of the area, does not impede fish passage or recruitment processes, and does not significantly adversely effect the ability of tangata whenua to exercise Kaitiakitanga, and conduct their cultural practices.	Accept in part	15.5
120.133	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Offline storage activities should be considered on a case by case basis and not enabled through objectives and policies	Accept in part	15.5
120.134	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Any allocation to storage must also be captured within allocation limits and minimum flows, not exempt from them	Accept in part	15.5
120.135	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	New, large run of river damming should be prohibited	Accept in part	15.5
120.136	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	The focus of this policy should be on water retention and not simply water storage.	Accept in part	15.5
122.16	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
122.17	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
122.18	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
122.19	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
122.23	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
122.24	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
122.25	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
122.26	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
122.27	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
122.31	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
123.91	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Prohibit run of river damming as adverse effects are permanent. Run of river damming should not be enabled by PC9.	Reject	15.5
123.92	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Water taken for offline storage should be subject to minimum flows and allocation limits (including high flow allocation limits). 55b- request clarification of this point.	Accept in part	15.5
123.93	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	All reference to flow or water augmentation should be removed from PC9 as it is an inappropriate way to manage the effects of overallocation and abstraction. This policy should be redrafted as a method (if included at all).	Reject	15.5
123.94	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	This is method not a policy	Reject	15.5
123.95	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Support in part - all run of river dams should be prohibited	Reject	15.5
123.154	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Increase the flow at which high flow allocation can occur. Reduce the amount of high flow allocation. Retain all prohibitions on damming	Reject	15.5
132.47	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Restrict high flow abstractions to 15 May - 15 October in any year	Reject	15.5

132.160.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	<p>Oppose TANK Rules 9, 10, 11, and Schedule 33 until the objectives and policies have been more integrated with the RPS and NPS-FM provisions, and the rules have been amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •delete all references to "actual and reasonable" use and other provisions relating to this criteria, and make the rules for water abstraction for irrigation purposes discretionary activities. •Delete all "Stream Flow Maintenance Scheme" provisions. •Require consent renewals to occur upon consent expiry or when PC9 becomes operative, whichever occurs first. •Reduce total consent volumes for groundwater takes {Heretaunga Plains Groundwater} so the total is within a 70 Million m3 per year limit. •Require groundwater takes to operate within a cumulative rate of take limit in litres per second. •Require high flow allocation to operate within both volumetric and cumulative rate of take limits. •Include stream depletion rates of 0.5 lps and above, and associated depletion volumes, within surface water take limits (for the affected surface water body). •Prescribe seasonal irrigation restrictions from 1 November to 30 April for each consent to take groundwater or surface water for irrigation. •Amend schedule 33 to reflect the changes above •Restrict takes within Water Management Zones identified in Operative Schedule Via and link this Schedule to TANK rules. •Amend Rule 54 to include PC9 provisions where relevant 	Reject	15.5
132.161	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	<p>Oppose TANK Rules 9, 10, 11, and Schedule 33 until the objectives and policies have been more integrated with the RPS and NPS-FM provisions, and the rules have been amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •delete all references to "actual and reasonable" use and other provisions relating to this criteria, and make the rules for water abstraction for irrigation purposes discretionary activities. •Delete all "Stream Flow Maintenance Scheme" provisions. •Require consent renewals to occur upon consent expiry or when PC9 becomes operative, whichever occurs first. •Reduce total consent volumes for groundwater takes {Heretaunga Plains Groundwater} so the total is within a 70 Million m3 per year limit. •Require groundwater takes to operate within a cumulative rate of take limit in litres per second. •Require high flow allocation to operate within both volumetric and cumulative rate of take limits. •Include stream depletion rates of 0.5 lps and above, and associated depletion volumes, within surface water take limits (for the affected surface water body). •Prescribe seasonal irrigation restrictions from 1 November to 30 April for each consent to take groundwater or surface water for irrigation. •Amend schedule 33 to reflect the changes above •Restrict takes within Water Management Zones identified in Operative Schedule Via and link this Schedule to TANK rules. •Amend Rule 54 to include PC9 provisions where relevant 	Reject	15.5
133.4	Wi	Huata		<p>Policy 59 needs to be changed so that the 20% is for Maori development full stop. Concern that where council staff are involved in allocating resources, water or finance, then Pakeha will take the resources meant to right an injustice through the environmental enhancement loophole or through Pakeha paying for the "Maori development" water and funds used for Maori goods.</p>	Reject	15.5
133.5	Wi	Huata		<p>Support water storage being owned by Tangata Whenua. 56c - this rule provides for capture, storage and use of surface water at times of high flow. Given the refusal of council to end the free transfer of wealth to those who already have water consents, then the next choice is to allow Maori in particular to achieve the remedies we seek from generations of discrimination and allocation of water rights to the privileged.</p>	Accept	15.5
141.10.	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	<p>Oppose in part. more explanation is needed. Up until iwi can demonstrate they have the infrastructure to utilize it on their properties the 20% should be made available to consent holders.</p>	Reject	15.5
143.13	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	<p>Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.</p>	Reject	15.5

145.11	Peter	Raikes	Awanui Station	Water storage by way of a series of smaller dams sited beside the Ngaruroro River upstream is a simple and practical solution.	Reject	15.5
147.6	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	That irrigation is restricted to certain times of the year only.	Accept in part	15.5
165.14	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the	Reject	15.5
179.14	Wim	Barendsen	Otawahao Farms Ltd	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
180.49	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to delete a) and c).	Reject	15.5
180.50	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend to more appropriately reflect the water take focus of the policy, and the fact it relates to offstream dams, which have less effects than in-stream dams. Specific wording provided in submission.	Reject	15.5
180.51	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by deleting c).	Reject	15.5
180.52	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'When making decisions about resource consent applications to take and store high flow water in accordance with Policy 59, the Council will take into account the following matters:...'	Reject	15.5
180.72	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding allocation frameworks for the Karamu and possibly Ahuriri Catchments (depending on feasibility), and revisit allocation for Ngaruroro.	Reject	15.5
185.16	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
185.17	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
185.18	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
185.19	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
185.23	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
185.24	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
185.25	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
185.26	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
185.27	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
185.31	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
185.77	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but implies support for high flow storage schemes.	Accept	15.5
186.16	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
186.17	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
186.18	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
186.19	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
186.23	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
186.24	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5

186.25	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
186.26	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
186.27	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
186.31	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
192.18	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	The high flow allocation limit should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
193.10	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Stored water should be harvested at times between median and 3 times median flows, into an off stem containment or a dam on a minor (not named) tributary, hopefully well up the catchment, then water is released to the mainstem when required for any potential use, that can then provide an environmental benefit as it travels down the mainstem, recharging the aquifer which is then extracted using existing groundwater takes infrastructure.	Accept	15.5
193.11	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Stored water should be harvested at times between median and 3 times median flows, into an off stem containment or a dam on a minor (not named) tributary, hopefully well up the catchment, then water is released to the mainstem when required for any potential use, that can then provide an environmental benefit as it travels down the mainstem, recharging the aquifer which is then extracted using existing groundwater takes infrastructure.	Accept in part	15.5
193.12	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Policy 59 c) - Has inadvertently created a "price" for water, that being "the commercial returns resulting from the application. The reservation of some allocation is not opposed in principle, however the opportunity to "sell" that reservation and apply that financial benefit to a sector of our society (for Maori land) is opposed.	Reject	15.5
193.21	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	The policies that support water storage are laudable, but the policies around harvesting, reticulating and utilising that stored water are inconsistent with the objectives.	Reject	15.5
193.22	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	The allocation process for "new water" i.e. water that is high flow harvested and stored is assumed to be on a "first in first served" basis. Heinz Wattie's understands that of the 8000l/sec allocated in this draft plan change, 2400l/sec is existing allocation, 1600l/sec is reserved for Iwi allocation, and that consent applications for over 2100l/sec are before council. If this is true only 1900l/sec is available for allocation which is inequitable. If 4000l/sec was harvested for the periods that the Ngaruroro was flowing between mean and 3 times mean, and sufficient storage facilities exist, then enough water could be stored to fully irrigate the entire 22,000 irrigable hectares, so high flow allocation must allow for this.	Reject	15.5
193.23	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	If a significantly greater proportion of irrigation was provided from storage, that would lessen the perceived impact on surface water bodies. Augmentation of these waterways may not be necessary.	Accept in part	15.5
194.4	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Creates a significant degree of uncertainty surrounding the implementation of the flow maintenance scheme and the stream depletion calculator.	Reject	15.5
194.5	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Relies on IRRICALC tool in the determination of "actual and reasonable" allocations, without sufficient explanation or transparency as to the assumptions/parameters of the IRRICALC tool provided, including how and to what extent the model accounts for Hawke's Bay climate, crop types, soil types, and irrigation demand.	Reject	15.5
194.6	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Is unclear with regard to the application of minimum flows and/or cease take restrictions, including to groundwater. In this regard Pernod Ricard seeks consideration of: i. an allowance for limited takes for the purpose of 'root stock protection' (compare Policy TT9(1)(f) for the Tukituki Catchment, or Policy 5.10.7.51 in relation to water shortage directions); and ii. provisions addressing the extent to which minimum flow restrictions are applied to groundwater, for example to the degree of hydraulic connection/stream depleting effect with the surface water body (as per Policy TT11 for the Tukituki Catchment)	Reject	15.5
194.75	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Policies 54, 56-59 - retain as drafted.	Accept	15.5
194.76	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Retain as drafted.	Accept	15.5
194.77	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Amend 5.10.8.60 in order to clarify that (b)-(f) only relate to decisions about applications relating to 5.10.8.59, and for all other applications to take and store high water flow – only 5.10.8.60(a) applies. Or conversely, if it is intended to apply more generally, clarify this and also consider whether it would be appropriate to confine these requirements to takes over a certain threshold.	Reject	15.5
195.5	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	HBRC should play a central role in establishing lowland stream augmentation schemes.	Accept in part	15.5
195.6	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend to distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development	Reject	15.5

195.75	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 54 be retained as notified	Accept	15.5
195.76	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 55 be retained as notified	Accept	15.5
195.77	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policies 56, 57 and 58 be retained as notified	Accept in part	15.5
195.78	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policies 59 and 60 be deleted, And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.5
195.147	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 32 be amended as follows Amendments in submission	Reject	15.5
196.17	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
196.18	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
196.19	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
196.20	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
196.24	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
196.25	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
196.26	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
196.27	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
196.28	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
196.32	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
199.14	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5
201.45	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Amend plan to ensure security of supply is 90%. Introduce new provision to enable replacement of resource consents to abstract groundwater, with consents for abstraction from water storage.	Reject	15.5
201.46	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	The council will allocate 20% of the total water available at times of high flow to Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust to provide for development of Heretaunga Tamatea hapu and Marae in relation to Maori cultural, social, economic wellbeings and will have regard to the views of any affected PSGE. iwi authority.	Reject	15.5
202.6	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	We support the building of water storage facilities.	Accept	15.5
202.7	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Supports ownership of water storage by Mana Whenua	Accept	15.5
204.14	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Reject	15.5

205.1	Ben	Hindmarsh	Ahuriri Farming Ltd	Thoroughly opposes the proposed ability for local iwi to be gifted the right to use 20% of a water body created by a landowner. Would rather see a significant effort to support iwi in building their own site specific projects where they need them most and 100% funded by their own means.	Reject	15.5
207.16	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy to provide discretion as to the type of activity and scale of activity that is to be subject to the full extent of the Policy. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.5
207.17	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the policy to read: To support and inform the review under Policy 42, the Council will carry out further investigation to understand the present and potential future regional water demand and supply...	Accept in part	15.5
207.18	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Policy to link it to takes considered under Policy 59. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.5
210.74	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Remove policy. Treat water for frost protection like all other uses.	Reject	15.5
210.75	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete. Replace with a policy that clearly states dams in river channels will be prohibited. Allow instead for 'off-line' water storage with a clear provision for the consideration of those effects, including 'end use' effects (policy 55 could be amended to do this).	Reject	15.5
210.76	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Add (viii) "the physical condition of the active channel, riparian areas, and floodplain, and the habitat they provides" Amend (ix) to state that takes are subject to minimum flows and allocation limits, and state where the allocation limits and cease takes are situated in the plan (i.e. what schedule).	Accept in part	15.5
210.77	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Delete policy.	Reject	15.5
210.78	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Move to a methods section and amend to clarify what is meant by environmental enhancement (and ensure that reference is to managing allocation, not compensating for adverse effects).	Reject	15.5
210.79	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to prohibit all run of river dams (I.e. only allow 'off line' storage).	Reject	15.5
210.80.	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Revise with iwi input Make it clear that any allocation to iwi is independent of allocations to address environmental issues (I.e. low flows). Ensure consistency with NPSFM and RMA.	Accept in part	15.5
210.81	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Revise with iwi input	Accept in part	15.5
210.147	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Increase the flow value at which high flow allocation is allowed. Reduce the amount of high flow allocation to give effect to the NPSFM and protect the functions of rivers at those flows. Retain prohibition on damming and extend them to all run of river schemes, as per comments earlier in our submission.	Reject	15.5
211.16	Brian	McLay		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
211.17	Brian	McLay		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
211.18	Brian	McLay		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
211.19	Brian	McLay		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
211.23	Brian	McLay		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
211.24	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
211.25	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
211.26	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
211.27	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5

211.31	Brian	McLay		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
213.4	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	I am concerned that PC9's approach to allocation of water and control of farming emissions unfairly penalises viticultural landowners as very low water users and very low emitters compared to other major primary production systems.	Reject	15.5
214.16	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
214.17	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
214.19	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Accept	15.5
214.23	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
214.24	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
214.25	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
214.26	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
214.27	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
214.31	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
215.16	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
215.17	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
215.18	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
215.19	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
215.23	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
215.24	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
215.25	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
215.26	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
215.27	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
215.31	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
216.9	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Harvesting of water at high flows, and storage for later utilisation, is provided for by the TANK plan and recognised as an important means of securing water for future generations.	Accept	15.5
217.15	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
217.16	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
217.17	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
217.18	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
217.22	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
217.23	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5

220.27	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
220.31	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
221.16	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
221.17	WT	Scott		No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
221.18	WT	Scott		No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
221.19	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
221.23	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.5
221.24	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
221.25	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
221.26	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
221.27	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
221.31	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.5
225.7	Mary	Tukiwaho		This needs to be rewritten with an independent governing body made up of tangata whenua and should include All waterways not just our main awa.	Reject	15.5
231.9	Peter	Kay		Agree in part. Essential we use water available at high flow times, but concerns about the exclusivity the rules are making. Defining commercial returns would be very hard to do. Agree with the straight 20% allocation reserved for Iwi for Maori land development.	Reject	15.5
235.4	Graeme	Wedd	Rotoma Station Trust	Need the right to apply to store water from Farm Springs in the future to irrigate pasture crops in dry seasons.	Reject	15.5
241.7	Penny and John	Reynolds		We oppose this schedule for the Ngaruroro river. The wording is unclear and we seek clarification but if this means reduced takes at high flow limits we oppose it.	Reject	15.5
241.11	Penny and John	Reynolds		Policy 55 ix) and x) - We support this with amendments and clarification. We are concerned this will have a detrimental effect on our business.	Reject	15.5
241.12	Penny and John	Reynolds		Policy 56C - it would be far better to leave high flow limits where they are and instead create a plan or allow for micro and macro water storage to mitigate any perceived over allocation of ground and surface water. We oppose any restriction on dam fill takes at high river flows	Reject	15.5

Table 15.6: Rules and Definitions

3.8	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - TANK 9	Accept in part	15.6
3.11	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Retain the provision - Actual and reasonable use	Accept in part	15.6
3.20.	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Amend TANK Rule 9 condition (f) to be consistent with RRMP POL TT11 and Table 5.9.7.	Reject	15.6
8.12	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Rule Tank 9 conditions (c) and (d)	Accept in part	15.6
8.13	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Rule TANK 10 conditions (e) and (g)	Accept in part	15.6
8.22	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Rule TANK 9	Accept in part	15.6
8.23	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Rule TANK 13	Accept	15.6
8.24	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Support Actual and reasonable use	Accept in part	15.6
8.50.	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Rule TANK 9(e) - Amend clauses (e)(ii) and (g)(iii) to refer to "preceding 1 August 2017 2 May 2020".	Reject	15.6
8.51	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Oppose Rule TANK 10(g) - Amend clauses (e)(ii) and (g)(iii) to refer to "preceding 1 August 2017 2 May 2020".	Reject	15.6
9.1	Lynette	Blackburn		The Actual and Reasonable take for groundwater be based on take up to May 2020, not the proposed date of 2017. Or alternatively, that all water take consents are equitably pro-rated on a straight percentage basis.	Accept in part	15.6

10.21	David	Renouf		Amend Rule TANK 9 - Add '(h) Where possible positive artesian be maintained under abstraction conditions'	Accept in part	15.6
11.2	Matt	Edwards		Reducing the daily allowance without a consent to 5000litres from 20,000Litres for any new application after May 2020.	Accept in part	15.6
11.4	Matt	Edwards		Reporting by telemetry for all consents taking above 5l/sec - certainly for the very large takes. But what's wrong with reporting direct into the council database via the internet for the smaller takes? I would agree that dishonest or persistent tardy reporting could lead to it being mandatory for such consen tholders. But why impose this additional cost on the smaller takes?	Accept in part	15.6
11.8	Matt	Edwards		Reduction of existing Resource Consent water allocation for cropping.The plan is to reduce the allocated amount to an 'actual and reasonable'annual amount – generally as verified by 10 years of water meter records prior to 2017.	Reject	15.6
12.15	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend Rule TANK 7 - ... (i) Takes existing as at 2 May 2020 may continue to take up to 20 cubic metres per property per day and to meet the reasonable needs of animals for drinking water; (iii) (ii) Takes occurring for a period of less than 28 days within any 90 day period, the total volume taken on any property	Accept in part	15.6
12.16	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Amend Rule TANK 8 - ... (iii) The taking of water for aquifer testing is not restricted (iv) Takes existing as at 2 May 2020 may continue to take up to 20 cubic metres per property per day and to meet the reasonable needs of social infrastructure. c) The rate of take shall not exceed 10 l/s other than aquifer testing for which the rate of take is not restricted. ...	Accept in part	15.6
13.13	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend PC9 - 6.10.2 Water – Take and Use The following rules do not apply to the taking and use of water that occurs in accordance with section 14(3)(e) of the RMA: • Tank 7 - 17 The take and use of water for emergency or training purposes in accordance with section 48 of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017, including from locations within the groundwater management zones in Schedule 31 is exempt from the water take and use provisions and restrictions as provided for within section 14(3)(e) of the Resource Management Act 1991	Accept in part	15.6
14.18	Ryan	Fraser		Rule 62A - Remove the proposed Condition excluding downstream transfers of groundwater takes within the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit (restoring Controlled rather than Discretionary status). Introduce a materiality test for nature and scale of drawdown effects on neighbouring bores or connected waterbodies as a result of transfers in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit.	Accept	15.6
15.17	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	Amend RRMP Rule 62a - Remove the proposed Condition excluding downstream transfers of groundwater takes within the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit (restoring Controlled rather than Discretionary status). Introduce a materiality test for nature and scale of drawdown effects on neighbouring bores or connected waterbodies as a result of transfers in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit.	Accept	15.6
17.7	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Rule TANK 3 and 7 - oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear. We seek that these are deleted or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way.	Accept in part	15.6
18.11	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
18.17	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Accept in part	15.6

20.17	Bruce	Nimon		Amend RRMP Rule 62a - Remove the proposed Condition excluding downstream transfers of groundwater takes within the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit (restoring Controlled rather than Discretionary status). Introduce a materiality test for nature and scale of drawdown effects on neighbouring bores or connected waterbodies as a result of transfers in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit.	Accept	15.6
21.7	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 3 and 7 - oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear. We seek that these are deleted or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way.	Reject	15.6
21.13	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 8 - Propose that the taking of water for reasonable domestic needs and the needs of animals for drinking water is appropriately provided for and that taking of water for these purposes is prioritised above other nonessential takes.	Accept in part	15.6
23.8	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
23.12	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
23.13	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
23.14	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
23.20	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
23.21	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
23.22	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
23.28	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
23.29	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
23.30	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
23.34	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.6
23.35	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Reject	15.6
23.38	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
23.39	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
23.40	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
23.41	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
23.52	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.6
23.55	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.6
26.11	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
26.17	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6

28.11	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
28.17	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
29.29	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Include a definition of "IRRICALC water demand model" in the Glossary that reflects the agreement to develop a Hawke's Bay-specific model.	Reject	15.6
29.42	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend to Rule TANK 11a) (ii) ii read: "takes of water associated with and dependant on release of water from a water storage impoundment <u>or from a managed aquifer recharge scheme.</u> " or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.6
29.45	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Māori/environment reservation.	Accept	15.6
29.47	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Delete TANK 9 Condition d.i for the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit (Quantity) and introduce a materiality test for nature and scale of drawdown effects on neighbouring bores or connected waterbodies as a result of transfers.	Accept	15.6
29.50.	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: - the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; - the volume of the expiring consent being replaced." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.6
29.63	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Transfer of Water Permits Rule 62a to read "...f. The transfer does not result in an increase in nitrogen loss exceeding the amounts as specified in Table 2 in Schedule 29"	Accept	15.6
30.6	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Amend Rule TANK 10 - Amend condition c) to where The take was previously subject to a condition restricting the take at flows that are higher than the applicable flow specified in Schedule 31., the higher flow will continue to apply Add new condition as follows: Where the take is for any other purpose other than for irrigation and it was previously subject to a condition restricting the take at flows that are higher than specified in Schedule 31, the higher flow will continue to apply.	Reject	15.6

30.8	Anthea	Yule	Paranui Farming Trust	Oppose Rule TANK 10, condition e) with respect to the definition of Actual and Reasonable and Amend point 1 of Matters for Discretion. Separate d into d. and e. d. crop rotation e. development phase of the business operation Add f. level of existing investment made g. actual and farming system is reasonable use post 2 May 2020 where the business operation is still in a development phase h. impact of any reduction of water allocation on the overall business operation.	Accept in part	15.6
31.3	Bernie	Kelly	Hawke's Bay Canoe Club	Rule TANK 7 - 17 Any of the proposed rules around abstraction and damming are also very weak and ambiguous.	Accept in part	15.6
31.4	Bernie	Kelly	Hawke's Bay Canoe Club	Amend Rule TANK 17 - to include all tributaries to these rivers as any halt to flow would have undesirable effects downstream to these important rivers.	Accept in part	15.6
32.8	Kent	Griffiths		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
32.13	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
32.14	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
32.20	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
32.21	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
32.22	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
32.28	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
32.29	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
32.30	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
32.34	Kent	Griffiths		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
32.35	Kent	Griffiths		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
32.38	Kent	Griffiths		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
32.39	Kent	Griffiths		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
32.40	Kent	Griffiths		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
32.41	Kent	Griffiths		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
32.48	Kent	Griffiths		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
32.52	Kent	Griffiths		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
32.55	Kent	Griffiths		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6

34.11	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
34.15	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Rule TANK 5 - The rule needs further development to give more guidance on what changes are intended to be controlled and to control change by farming enterprises within a water quality management unit more appropriately.	Reject	15.6
34.16	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Rule TANK 6 - Adjust the Grape kg/ha/yr for all soils to recognise winter sheep grazing rotation. Include details of crop model versions used to derive the crop loss figures in Schedule 29 and include a mechanism to address the effects of model and/or version changes to modelled outputs.	Reject	15.6
34.17	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
35.8	Colin	Campbell		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
35.12	Colin	Campbell		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
35.13	Colin	Campbell		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
35.14	Colin	Campbell		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
35.15	Colin	Campbell		Amend every reference to "actual and reasonable" to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
35.20	Colin	Campbell		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
35.21	Colin	Campbell		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
35.22	Colin	Campbell		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
35.28	Colin	Campbell		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
35.29	Colin	Campbell		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
35.30	Colin	Campbell		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
35.34	Colin	Campbell		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
35.35	Colin	Campbell		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
35.38	Colin	Campbell		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
35.39	Colin	Campbell		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
35.40	Colin	Campbell		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
35.41	Colin	Campbell		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
35.47	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.6

35.48	Colin	Campbell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.6
35.52	Colin	Campbell		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
35.55	Colin	Campbell		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.6
35.85	Colin	Campbell		Rule TANK 7 and 8 - Propose that the taking of water for reasonable domestics needs and the needs of animals for drinking water is appropriately provided for and that taking of water for these purposes is prioritised above other nonessential takes.	Accept in part	15.6
36.8	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Concerns around Rule TANK 9. c) - "Actual vs Reasonable" - as indicated above, this would see previously inefficient irrigators benefit from this. The 10-year period prior to 2017 is also unlikely to have enough data for an accurate analysis, in which case it is unclear where this data will come from exactly? To put this in context, the last compulsory installation of water meters was required in 2016, so the data may simply be unavailable in many instances.	Reject	15.6
36.9	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Concerns around Rule 9(e) - Looking at the annual water use over the years, are there any trends, e.g. have water takes been increasing yearly?; and, if so, is there potential that this will continue to happen? for example, is a one in 10-year drought potentially becoming more frequent under recent climate change observations? (Point 'iii')	Reject	15.6
37.8	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
37.12	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
37.13	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
37.14	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
37.20	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
37.21	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
37.22	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
37.28	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
37.29	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
37.30	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
37.34	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
37.35	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
37.38	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
37.39	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
37.40	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
37.41	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6

37.48	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Rule TANK 18 - Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
37.52	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.6
38.8	Roger	Brownlie		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
38.13	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
38.14	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
38.20	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
38.21	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
38.22	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
38.28	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
38.29	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
38.30	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
38.34	Roger	Brownlie		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
38.35	Roger	Brownlie		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
38.38	Roger	Brownlie		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
38.39	Roger	Brownlie		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
38.40	Roger	Brownlie		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
38.41	Roger	Brownlie		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
38.48	Roger	Brownlie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.6
38.52	Roger	Brownlie		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
38.55	Roger	Brownlie		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
39.11	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of:	Reject	15.6
39.17	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Accept in part	15.6
40.7	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Rule TANK 3 and 7 - oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear. We seek that these are deleted or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way.	Reject	15.6

40.13	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Rule TANK 7 and 8 - Stock water and domestic needs to be our right as nz farmers, so there should be no limit to the water take nor the need to monitor from any water source, there should be no influence on the way we farm regarding stocks need for water.	Accept in part	15.6
41.11	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
41.17	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Accept in part	15.6
42.12	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Amend Change 9 so that historical low flow river bans are taken into account when determining actual use of individual permit holders.	Reject	15.6
43.8	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
43.13	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
43.14	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
43.20	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
43.21	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
43.22	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
43.28	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
43.29	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
43.30	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
43.34	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
43.35	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
43.38	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
43.39	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
43.40	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
43.41	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
43.48	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.6
43.52	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Reject	15.6
43.55	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.6

44.8	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
44.13	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
44.14	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
44.20	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
44.21	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
44.22	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
44.28	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
44.29	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
44.30	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
44.34	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
44.35	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
44.38	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
44.39	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
44.40	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
44.41	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
44.48	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
44.52	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
44.55	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
45.9	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Rule TANK 3 and 7 - oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear. We seek that these are deleted or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way.	Reject	15.6
46.3	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States the accomplishments of PC9: No dams will be allowed on the Tutaekuri or Ngaruroro Rivers or their four key tributaries.	Accept	15.6
47.13	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	Amend Rule TANK 9 - This condition is too restrictive and may have the perverse effect of incentivising growers to stay with high water demand crops. BF believe water allocation should be based on the Irricalc calculator model for crop types in place or planned. The last water metres were required to be installed in 2016 therefore taking the maximum in last 10 years will use incomplete data	Reject	15.6
48.11	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6

48.17	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
49.8	John	Parsons		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
49.13	John	Parsons		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
49.14	John	Parsons		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read "actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
49.20	John	Parsons		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
49.21	John	Parsons		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
49.22	John	Parsons		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
49.28	John	Parsons		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
49.29	John	Parsons		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
49.30	John	Parsons		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
49.34	John	Parsons		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
49.35	John	Parsons		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
49.38	John	Parsons		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
49.39	John	Parsons		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
49.40	John	Parsons		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
49.41	John	Parsons		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
49.48	John	Parsons		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.6
50.20	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Rule TANK 16, 17 - strongly oppose the proposed limits reducing water for these purposes from 20cm3 to 5cm3. The right to take water for those purposes is critical to survival and health of stock on farm, and normal human behaviour. Analysis we have seen suggests this falls materially below sustainability levels. There appears to be no basis for this proposed reduction. Due to its critical nature, we see no reason for any caps/limits to be imposed. HBRC has remedies it can pursue if it finds any property abusing the right.	Accept in part	15.6
53.11	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
53.17	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6

54.8	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
54.12	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
54.13	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
54.14	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
54.20	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
54.21	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
54.22	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
54.28	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
54.29	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
54.30	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
54.34	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
54.35	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
54.38	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
54.39	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
54.40	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
54.41	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
54.48	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Reject	15.6
54.52	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
54.55	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6

58.32	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend TANK 17 to also include the following rivers and tributaries Gold Creek Donald River Otakarara Stream Kiwi Creek Rocks Ahead Stream Ngaawapurua (Harkness) Stream Panoko Stream (Gold Creek) Mangamingi Stream Te Waiotupuritia Stream Poporangi Stream Ohara Stream Waikonini Stream	Reject	15.6
58.33	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend TANK22 to include a requirement for no greater than 20% MCI/QMCI change between upstream and downstream of the discharge of stormwater.	Reject	15.6
59.21	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for rules TANK 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18.	Accept in part	15.6
59.22	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Rule TANK 9 - Support with the variation to the definition proposed to the definition of Actual and Reasonable (see point 39).	Reject	15.6
59.23	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for TANK 9 conditions/standards/terms (e) that does not apply to irrigation takes	Reject	15.6
59.24	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend TANK 9 conditions/standards/terms (e)(iii) to include a definition for Accurate Water Use Data (see point 40).	Reject	15.6
59.25	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Support TANK 9 matters for control/discretion with the addition of clarification on the definition of the completeness of the water use record (see point 40).	Reject	15.6
59.26	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend TANK 9 matters for control/discretion (4) to read: The quantity, rate and timing of the take, including rates of take and any other requirements in relation to any minimum or trigger flow or level given in Schedule 31 and rates of take to limit drawdown effects on neighbouring bores. For irrigation takes, the consented rate of take will be no less than that of the irrigation systems designed rate of take.	Reject	15.6
59.27	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend TANK 9 matters for control/discretion (7) to read: Measures to achieve efficient water use or water conservation and avoid adverse water quality effects including the method of irrigation application necessary to achieve efficient use of the water and avoid adverse water effects through ponding and runoff and percolation to groundwater.	Reject	15.6
59.28	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Support TANK 10 conditions/standards/terms (e) with the variation to the definition proposed to "Actual and Reasonable" (see point 40)	Reject	15.6
59.29	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Support TANK 10 conditions/standards/terms (g) that it does not apply to irrigation takes.	Reject	15.6
59.30	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend Change 9 to include a definition for Accurate Water Use Data in relation to TANK 10 conditions/standards/terms (g)(iii) (see point 47).	Reject	15.6
59.31	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Support TANK 10 matters for control/discretion (1) with the amendment that water meter records do not apply to irrigation takes as per the definition proposed in Table 4 of this submission.	Reject	15.6
59.32	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Amend TANK 10 matters for control/discretion (3) to read: The quantity, rate and timing of the take, including rates of take and any other requirements in relation to any minimum or trigger flow or level given in Schedule 31 and rates of take to limit drawdown effects on neighbouring bores. For irrigation takes, the consented rate of take will be no less than that	Reject	15.6
59.33	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	No specific decision requested but states partial opposition to TANK 10 matters for control/discretion (10).	Reject	15.6

59.39	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Actual and Reasonable - amend definition so that it reads: Actual and Reasonable in relation to applications to take and use water means; a) no more than the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; and the least of either; b) for non irrigation takes, the maximum annual amount as measured by accurate water meter data in the ten years preceding 2 May 2020 1 August 2017 for groundwater takes in the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit or in the preceding ten years preceding the 2 May 2020 as applicable elsewhere if accurate water meter data is available. (If insufficient or no accurate data is available either clause a) or c) will apply) or c) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise with an equivalent method), and to a 95% reliability of supply where the irrigated area is; (i) no more than in the permit due for renewal, or any lesser amount applied for, and in the case of Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit, is not more than the amount irrigated in the ten years preceding 2 May 2020 1 August 2017 and (ii) evidence is supplied to demonstrate that the area has, and can continue to be, irrigated and the permit substantially given effect to. (iii) water use records will be used as a guidance tool but not a definitive measure of need.	Accept in part	15.6
59.40.	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Add new definition for "Accurate Water Meter Data" as follows: Is water use data that has been assessed against the <i>National Environmental Monitoring Standard (NEMS) for Water Metering: Measurement, Processing and Archiving of Water Meter Data</i> and assigned a Quality Code of QC600.	Reject	15.6
59.41	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Add new definition for "Application of Efficiency (for irrigation)" as follows: 80% Application Efficiency means that 80% of applied water is retained within the plant root zone, after an irrigation event.	Accept in part	15.6
59.42	Ronald	McFetridge	WaterForce Limited	Add new definition for "Distribution Uniformity" as follows: Distribution uniformity is a measure of how evenly water is applied to the ground. It is calculated using the low quarter distribution uniformity coefficient DU _{lq}	Accept in part	15.6
61.8	Greg	Simpson		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
61.13	Greg	Simpson		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
61.14	Greg	Simpson		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
61.20.	Greg	Simpson		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
61.21	Greg	Simpson		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
61.22	Greg	Simpson		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
61.28	Greg	Simpson		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
61.29	Greg	Simpson		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
61.30.	Greg	Simpson		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
61.34	Greg	Simpson		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m ³ to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6

61.35	Greg	Simpson		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
61.38	Greg	Simpson		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
61.39	Greg	Simpson		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
61.40	Greg	Simpson		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
61.41	Greg	Simpson		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
61.48	Greg	Simpson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
61.52	Greg	Simpson		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
61.55	Greg	Simpson		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
62.8	Jonty	Moffett		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
62.12	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
62.13	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
62.14	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
62.20	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
62.21	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
62.22	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
62.28	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
62.29	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
62.30	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
62.34	Jonty	Moffett		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
62.35	Jonty	Moffett		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
62.38	Jonty	Moffett		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
62.39	Jonty	Moffett		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
62.40	Jonty	Moffett		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
62.41	Jonty	Moffett		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6

62.48	Jonty	Moffett		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
62.52	Jonty	Moffett		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
62.55	Jonty	Moffett		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
63.20	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Activity Description in Rule 9 to read; "Replacement of an existing Resource Consent to take of water from the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit where Section 124 of the RMA applies (applies to existing consents) "	Accept in part	15.6
63.21	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Condition (g) of TANK 9 to read; "(g) Any take authorised under clause (d) is not subject to conditions (f) <u>but instead the water permit holder will comply with a Water Conservation Strategy approved as part of the application.</u> in respect of that part of the total-allocated amount used for essential human health "	Reject	15.6
63.22	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Matter for Control/Discretion 5 in TANK 9 to read: "Where the take is in a Source protection Zone <u>or Source Protection Extent</u> "	Accept	15.6
63.23	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Matter of Control/Discretion 6 in TANK 9 to read: " a) provisions for demand management over time so that water use is at reasonable and justifiable levels including whether an infrastructure Leakage Index of 4 or better will be achieved b) Rate and volumes of take limited to the projected demand for the urban area provided in HPUDS 2017, or successive versions c) water demand based on residential and nonresidential use including for schools, rest homes, hospitals, <u>commercial, industrial, recreational, social, cultural and religious</u> demands within the planned reticulated area."	Accept in part	15.6
63.24	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Activity description in Rule 10 to read: <u>Replacement of an existing Resource Consent to take of water from the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit</u> where Section 124 of the RMA applies (applies to existing consents) "	Accept in part	15.6
63.25	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Matter of Discretion 4 in TANK 10 to read: "Where the take is in a Source protection Zone <u>or Source Protection Extent</u> "	Accept	15.6
63.26	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Matter of Discretion 5 in TANK 10 to read: •provisions for demand management over time so that water use is at reasonable and justifiable levels including whether an infrastructure Leakage Index of 4 or better will be achieved •Rate and volumes of take limited to the projected demand for the urban area provided in HPUDS 2017, <u>or successive versions.</u> "	Accept in part	15.6
63.27	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Rule 11 to EITHER: (a) avoid new takes within the existing allocation as at the date of the plan becoming operative falling to Prohibited, OR (b) consider the introduction of a new Noncomplying activity 'in-between' and clarify the effect of the interim limit/target and the long term limit set in line with Policy 42 in relation to this rule.	Reject	15.6
63.29	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Activity Status of Rule 12 to Non-Complying (Subject to the outcome of relief sought in relation to Rule TANK 11 - see submission points 27 and 28)	Reject	15.6
63.30	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Rule 62a, Condition (j) to read: "The transfer enable efficient delivery of water supply to meet the communities' human health needs."	Reject	15.6
63.31	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Add the following advice note to Rule 62a: "For the purpose of (i), the transfer of water from a municipal supply to a point of take servicing industrial uses with a <u>demand of greater than 15m3 per day is not considered to be a change of use.</u> "	Reject	15.6
63.32	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 15 to add " <u>That does not comply with the conditions of TANK Rule 7</u> " to the Activity Description and delete Condition (a).	Accept	15.6

63.33	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 16 to Add "That does not comply with the conditions of TANK Rules 13-15" to the Activity Description and delete "The activity does not comply with the conditions of TANK Rules 13-15" in the Conditions/Standards and Terms.	Reject	15.6
63.34	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 18 to Delete condition (a) and refer to Schedule 36 in the right hand column as an Assessment Criteria (not a matter of control/restriction).	Accept in part	15.6
66.19	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 7	Accept in part	15.6
66.20	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 8	Accept in part	15.6
66.21	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend definition of Actual and Reasonable in relation to TANK 9(c)	Reject	15.6
66.22	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 9(e) that the rule does not apply to irrigation takes	Reject	15.6
66.23	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 9 (e)(iii) to add a definition for Accurate Water Use Data	Reject	15.6
66.24	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 9 matters for control/discretion (1) so that water meter records do not apply to irrigation takes	Reject	15.6
66.25	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 9 matters for control/discretion to clarify the definition of the completeness of the water use record. Suggested wording and requirements provided.	Reject	15.6
66.26	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 9 matter for control/discretion 4) to ensure the rate of take and therefor the system flow rate is protected. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.6
66.27	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Opposes TANK 9 matter for control/discretion 7	Reject	15.6
66.28	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Rule TANK 9 - Amend definition of Actual and Reasonable. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.6
66.29	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 10(g) that is does not apply to irrigation takes	Reject	15.6
66.30	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 10(g)(iii) to include a definition for Accurate Water Use Data. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.6
66.31	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 10 matters for control/discretion so that water meter records do not apply to irrigation takes	Reject	15.6
66.32	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 10 matters for control/discretion to clarify the definition of the completeness of the water use record. Suggested wording provided.	Reject	15.6
66.33	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend TANK 10 matters for control/discretion to ensure the rate of take and therefor system flow rate is protected. Suggest wording and requirements provided.	Reject	15.6
66.34	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Opposes TANK 10 matters for control/discretion 10	Reject	15.6
66.35	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 11	Accept in part	15.6
66.36	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 12	Accept in part	15.6
66.37	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 13	Accept in part	15.6
66.38	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Support TANK 14	Accept in part	15.6

66.39	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 15	Accept in part	15.6
66.40	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 16	Accept	15.6
66.41	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 17	Accept	15.6
66.42	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports TANK 18	Accept in part	15.6
66.48	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Amend the definition of Actual and Reasonable to read: Actual and Reasonable in relation to applications to take and use water means; a) no more than the quantity (rate of take and/or volume) specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; and the least of either; b) <u>for non irrigation</u> takes the maximum annual amount as measured by accurate water meter data in the ten years	Accept in part	15.6
66.49	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Insert the following definition: "Application Efficiency means that 80% of applied water is retained within the crop root zone, after an irrigation event and/or for the irrigation season."	Accept in part	15.6
66.50	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Insert the following definition: "Distribution uniformity is a measure of how evenly water is applied to the ground. It is calculated using the low quarter distribution uniformity coefficient DU _{lq} "	Accept in part	15.6
66.51	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Insert the following definition: Accurate Water Meter Data is water use data that has been assessed against the National Environmental Monitoring Standard (NEMS) for Water Metering: Measurement, Processing and Archiving of Water Meter Data and assigned a Quality Code of QC600.	Reject	15.6
67.1	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	No specific decision requested but states support for TANK 8, and in particular (d)	Reject	15.6
67.2	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	No specific decision requested but states support for TANK 11	Accept in part	15.6
67.9	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	TANK 5 - use of productive land should no be locked in	Reject	15.6
68.11	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
68.17	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
69.8	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
69.13	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
69.14	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
69.20	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
69.21	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
69.22	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
69.28	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
69.29	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
69.30	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
69.34	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
69.35	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6

69.38	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
69.39	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
69.40	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
69.41	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
69.48	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
69.52	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
69.55	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
71.8	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
71.13	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
71.14	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
71.20	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
71.21	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
71.22	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
71.28	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
71.29	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
71.30	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6

71.34	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
71.35	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
71.38	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
71.39	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
71.40	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
71.41	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
71.48	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
71.52	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6

71.55	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
72.8	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
72.13	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
72.14	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
72.20	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
72.21	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
72.22	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
72.28	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
72.29	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
72.30	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
72.34	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
72.35	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6

72.38	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
72.39	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
72.40	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
72.41	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
72.47	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
72.48	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
72.52	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
72.55	Justin	Addis	Armadale Orchard Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
73.8	Bevan	Davidson		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6

73.13	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
73.14	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
73.20	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
73.21	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
73.22	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
73.28	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6

73.29	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
73.30	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
73.34	Bevan	Davidson		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
73.35	Bevan	Davidson		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
73.38	Bevan	Davidson		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
73.39	Bevan	Davidson		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
73.40	Bevan	Davidson		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
73.41	Bevan	Davidson		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
73.48	Bevan	Davidson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
73.52	Bevan	Davidson		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
73.55	Bevan	Davidson		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
75.11	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.",	Reject	15.6
75.17	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
76.11	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6

76.17	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.		
					Reject	15.6
77.8	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or		
					Reject	15.6
77.13	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".		
					Reject	15.6
77.14	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".		
					Reject	15.6
77.20	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.6
77.21	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.6
77.22	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.6
77.28	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6
77.29	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6
77.30	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6
77.34	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.		
					Accept in part	15.6

77.35	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
77.38	David & Sheryl	Mackie		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
77.39	David & Sheryl	Mackie		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
77.40	David & Sheryl	Mackie		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
77.41	David & Sheryl	Mackie		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
77.48	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
77.52	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
77.55	David & Sheryl	Mackie		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
79.8	Richard	Penreath		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
79.13	Richard	Penreath		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
79.14	Richard	Penreath		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6

79.20.	Richard	Penreath		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.6
79.21	Richard	Penreath		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
79.22	Richard	Penreath		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.		
					Reject	15.6
79.28	Richard	Penreath		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6

79.29	Richard	Penreath		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6
79.30	Richard	Penreath		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6
79.34	Richard	Penreath		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
79.35	Richard	Penreath		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.		
					Accept in part	15.6
79.38	Richard	Penreath		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6

79.39	Richard	Penreath		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
79.40	Richard	Penreath		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
79.41	Richard	Penreath		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
79.48	Richard	Penreath		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
79.52	Richard	Penreath		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
79.55	Richard	Penreath		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
81.11	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
81.17	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
82.4	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend point (B) of definition of "actual and reasonable" to read: "The maximum amount of water taken in any 12 month period over the ten years preceding 2 May 2020 as measured by accurate water meter data if accurate water meter data is available (if insufficient or no accurate data is available either clause (a) or (c) will apply); or"	Accept	15.6
82.5	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend point (c) of the definition of "actual and reasonable" to make the date of notification the reference point, consistently with the amendment sought in submission point 52.4	Accept	15.6
82.14	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Amend the rules in PC9 to be consistent with submission point 8.13.	Accept in part	15.6

83.5	Jim	Galloway		Amend Change 9 to allow stock and reasonable domestic water without going through the consent process		Accept in part	15.6
83.6	Jim	Galloway		Amend Change 9 so consents for stock and domestic use records the approximate take and costs a minimal amount		Accept in part	15.6
84.11	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission		Reject	15.6
84.17	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.		Reject	15.6
85.7	Matthew	Truebridge		Rule TANK 7 - I seek support to lift this limit from 20m3 to 30m3. Note a recommendation to Horizons was for 30m3 /day. This would be more in line with an average 4-5000su property and consistent with industry best practice.		Accept in part	15.6

86.8	Peter	Scott		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
86.13	Peter	Scott		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
86.14	Peter	Scott		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
86.20	Peter	Scott		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
86.21	Peter	Scott		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
86.22	Peter	Scott		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6

86.28	Peter	Scott		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).		
					Reject	15.6
86.29	Peter	Scott		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
86.30	Peter	Scott		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
86.34	Peter	Scott		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
86.35	Peter	Scott		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.		
					Accept in part	15.6
86.38	Peter	Scott		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.		
					Reject	15.6
86.39	Peter	Scott		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
86.40	Peter	Scott		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
86.41	Peter	Scott		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6

86.48	Peter	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
86.52	Peter	Scott		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
86.55	Peter	Scott		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
87.11	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	15.6
87.16	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
88.11	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
88.16	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6

91.7	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
91.12	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
91.13	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
91.19	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
91.20	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
91.21	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
91.27	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
91.28	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
91.29	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
91.33	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
91.34	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6

91.37	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.		
					Reject	15.6
91.38	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
91.39	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
91.40	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
91.47	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
91.51	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
91.54	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
91.78	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but implies opposition to modelling relying on water use history from 2007-17 for growers that utilize tunnels, glass houses, or other cover types.	Accept in part	15.6
94.11	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.		
					Reject	15.6
94.17	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
95.8	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
95.13	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
95.14	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
95.20	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
95.21	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
95.22	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
95.28	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6

95.29	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
95.30	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
95.34	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
95.35	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
95.38	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
95.39	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
95.40	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
95.41	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
95.48	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
95.52	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
95.80	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but implies opposition to modelling relying on water use history from 2007-17 for growers that utilize tunnels, glass houses, or other cover types.	Accept in part	15.6
96.8	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
96.13	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
96.14	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
96.20	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
96.21	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
96.22	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
96.28	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
96.29	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
96.30	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
96.34	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
96.35	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
96.38	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
96.39	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
96.40	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6

96.41	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
96.48	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
96.52	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
97.8	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
97.14	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
97.15	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
97.21	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
97.22	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
97.23	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
97.29	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
97.30	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
97.31	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
97.35	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
97.36	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
97.39	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
97.40	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
97.41	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
97.42	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
97.49	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
97.53	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6

99.25	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Rule TANK 7 & 8 - In general supports the reduction of permitted water takes . However, during periods of low flow when water permits linked to minimum flows have been unable to be us the permitted take of up to 20m3 could be used to irrigate to ensure the survival of horticultural tree crops. An exclusion should be provided within both TANK 7 & 8. Such takes could be considered to be existing, because they have occurred prior to 2 May 2020 . However it is not clear if this will remain in place when consents are renewed. Therefore an additional exclusion should be added to subsection b) takes up to 20 cubic meter’s per property per day to aid the survival of permanent horticultural crops and or for stock water use .	Accept in part	15.6
99.26	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Rule TANK 9&10 - the quantity of water taken and used for irrigation should be the actual and reasonable amount – as determined based on the quantity specified on the expiring water permit, or Irricalc – whichever is the lesser. Supports the inclusion of the option to cease take when trigger level is reached, although questions why the cease take is not linked to the minimum flow . The inclusion of options is important, and while there are clearly advantages to joining a stream maintenance and habitat enhancement scheme	Reject	15.6
99.27	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Rule TANK 18 - questions the discretionary status of such applications, and suggests that this doesn’t incentivize joining a stream flow maintenance and habitat enhancement scheme. A restricted discretionary status provides a slightly higher level of comfort for an applicant, and also through identification of matters of discretion, provides clearer guidance about what information needs to be provided in a consent application, which has material impacts on cost and me associated with preparing them	Accept in part	15.6
99.34	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to ‘reasonable’ and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
99.38	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
99.39	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
99.40	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
99.46	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
99.47	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
99.48	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
99.54	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
99.55	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
99.56	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
99.60	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
99.61	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
99.64	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
99.65	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
99.66	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
99.67	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
99.74	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6

99.78	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
102.11	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
102.17	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
103.8	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
103.12	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
104.8	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of:	Reject	15.6
104.13	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
104.14	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
104.20	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
104.21	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
104.22	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
104.28	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
104.29	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
104.30	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
104.34	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6

104.35	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
104.38	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
104.39	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
104.40	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
104.41	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
104.48	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
104.52	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
105.8	Scott	Lawson		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
105.13	Scott	Lawson		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
105.14	Scott	Lawson		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
105.20	Scott	Lawson		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
105.21	Scott	Lawson		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
105.22	Scott	Lawson		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
105.28	Scott	Lawson		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
105.29	Scott	Lawson		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
105.30	Scott	Lawson		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6

105.31	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
105.34	Scott	Lawson		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
105.35	Scott	Lawson		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
105.38	Scott	Lawson		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
105.39	Scott	Lawson		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
105.40	Scott	Lawson		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
105.41	Scott	Lawson		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
105.48	Scott	Lawson		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
105.52	Scott	Lawson		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
105.55	Scott	Lawson		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.6
107.11	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.",	Reject	15.6
107.17	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
108.7	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support Rule TANK 13 - Support the rule and in particular the reference to the conditional rule detailed in schedule 32.	Accept in part	15.6
108.8	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support RULE TANK 14 - Support the rule and in particular the reference to the conditional rule detailed in schedule 32.	Accept in part	15.6
108.9	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support Rule TANK 15 - Support the rule and in particular the reference to the conditional rule detailed in schedule 32.	Accept in part	15.6
108.10	Brian	Eccles	Jet Boating New Zealand	Support Rule TANK 17 - Support the status of Prohibited for dams on the main stems of the listed rivers.	Accept	15.6
109.6	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Strongly oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for our farm or community are not clear (Rule TANK 1, TANK 2, TANK 3, TANK 5 and 6, TANK 7, Schedule 29, Schedule 30). We seek that these are deleted, or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way. In particular, we seek clarity about what waterways will need to be excluded from stock access.	Reject	15.6
109.7	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 9 - strongly oppose the the ambiguous water takes wordings in the TANK 9 rule. Specifically, the wording under the 'Actual and Reasonable Re-allocation', 'section c)' is unclear and can mean very different amounts.	Accept in part	15.6
109.14	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 9 - c.) under the Actual and Reasonable section, that the terms 'actual and reasonable' amounts are clearly defined. We seek that within paragraph. e.) under the Actual and Reasonable section, that the the words "For farms with total areas equal to, or greater than 200 ha," be inserted at the beginning of the sentence. f.) under the Stream Flow Maintenance section, that the the words "For farms with total areas equal to, or greater than 200 ha," be inserted at the beginning of the sentence.	Accept in part	15.6

109.18	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Rule TANK 7 and 8 - We propose that the taking of water for reasonable domestics needs and the needs of animals for drinking water is appropriately provided for and that taking of water for these purposes is prioritised above other non-essential takes.	Accept in part	15.6
110.8	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
110.12	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
110.13	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
110.14	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
110.20	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
110.21	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
110.22	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
110.28	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
110.29	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
110.30	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
110.34	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
110.35	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
110.38	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
110.39	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
110.40	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
110.41	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
110.48	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
110.52	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
110.55	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.6

112.7	Ainsley	Harte		Rule TANK 3 and 7 - oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear. We seek that these are deleted or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way.	Reject	15.6
112.13	Ainsley	Harte		Rule TANK 7 and 8 - Propose that the taking of water for reasonable domestics needs and the needs of animals for drinking water is appropriately provided for and that taking of water for these purposes is prioritised above other nonessential takes.	Accept in part	15.6
114.4	James	Lyons		Rule TANK 3 and 7 - oppose provisions which are ambiguous and where the implications for my farm or community are not clear. We seek that these are deleted or alternatively amended to provide clarity and ensure that they can be implemented on farm in a practicable way.	Reject	15.6
114.10	James	Lyons		Rule TANK 7 and 8 - Propose that the taking of water for reasonable domestics needs and the needs of animals for drinking water is appropriately provided for and that taking of water for these purposes is prioritised above other nonessential takes.	Accept in part	15.6
115.9	Patricia D	Nuku		Rule TANK 9 - I ask for a reduction in total allocation of surface water abstraction of up to 90%. I oppose the Global consents process alot more detail should be required and such schemes given anon-complying activity status rather the n restricted discretionary as is currently the case for rule TANK 9.	Reject	15.6
116.5	Alexander John	Macphee		Rule TANK 7 (b) - This is unworkable, and even with the existing allowance of 20m3 per day, it is simply not possible on many farms. The rule makes no allowance for properties of differing sizes and assumes that a 10 ha property has the same requirements as a 100 or even 1000 ha property. There should be no limit on the amount of water that can reasonably be taken for both stock water and domestic use. The right to take water for irrigation purposes on hill country - the landowner, through perhaps a controlled activity, should have a right to use a percentage of that water for their commercial use. That should not add to the overall take from the catchment as a whole, but may mean a reduction as to the take of those "downstream"	Accept in part	15.6
117.2	Alison	Johnston	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Opposes Proposed TANK Rule 12 - prohibited activity status for water take exceeding water allocation limits for the relevant water management units is considered to have considerable effects for primary production operators. Seeks the relief of a non-complying activity status for TANK Rule 12.	Reject	15.6
119.18	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 9 - Support	Accept in part	15.6
119.19	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 10 - Support	Accept in part	15.6
120.60	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Rule TANK 9 - Introduce prohibited status for allocations that do not meet the above criteria	Reject	15.6

120.61	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Ensure commercial water takes (particularly groundwater) do not compromise existing private drinking water bores (existing infrastructure) and human health is the priority consideration.	Accept in part	15.6
120.74	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Introduce consenting requirements and prohibited status to avoid over-allocation during the life of the Plan. (TANK 9)	Accept in part	15.6
122.8	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
122.13	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
122.14	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
122.20	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
122.21	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
122.22	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
122.28	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
122.29	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
122.30	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
122.34	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
122.35	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
122.38	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
122.39	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
122.40	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
122.41	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6

122.47	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
122.48	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
122.52	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
122.55	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Reject	15.6
123.27	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objective A - include as new objective - " <u>Surface water quality is maintained or improved where the current state exceeds the objectives in Schedule 26 and improved where it is degraded or over-allocated by 2040 where objectives in Schedule 26 are not met, to provide for the values in Schedule X, including ecosystem health</u> " or words to similar effect.	Reject	15.6
123.102	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 7 - Retain as notified with amendment to clarify that Rule 7(b)(i) AND (ii) apply together	Accept in part	15.6
123.103	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 8 - Change to: e) <u>The take shall not cause changes to the flows or levels of water in any connected wetland or surface water body.</u>	Reject	15.6
123.104	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 9 f) (i) and (ii) - f) The water permit holder either: <u>(i) contributes to or develops an applicable stream maintenance and habitat enhancement scheme that complies with the requirements of Schedule 36 at a rate equivalent to the stream flow depletion (in l/sec) which will be calculated using the Stream Depletion Calculator and based on the allocated amount of water; or an alternative method where it can be demonstrated to provide a more realistic prediction of effects.</u> or <u>(ii) where a groundwater take is demonstrated as having a high or direct connection to surface water, the water take ceases when the flow or level of water in the surface water body falls below the trigger level specified in Schedule 31. Where a groundwater take is predicted to have a moderate or lesser connection to surface water, the surface water depletion effect must be offset using an applicable water scheme instead as outlined in (i) above.</u>	Reject	15.6
123.105	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule 10 (g)(iii) may allow maximum annual water use in the last 10 years to become the reallocated volume As currently drafted it appears as though water will be able to be taken under minimum flow when it is an existing take and meets reasonable and actual use.	Accept in part	15.6
123.106	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 11 - Delete reference to water storage. All takes outside of the allocation limits should be prohibited.	Accept in part	15.6
123.107	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 12 - Consequential to amendment of Rule 11 (submission point 123.106)	Reject	15.6
123.108	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 13 - Include in matters of discretion: • The significant values of outstanding waterbodies and wetlands • Te Mana o te Wai • Ecosystem health • <u>All other instream freshwater values (including indigenous fish habitat)</u>	Accept in part	15.6
123.109	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 14 - Include in matters of discretion: • The significant values of outstanding waterbodies and wetlands	Accept in part	15.6
123.110	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 15 - Include in matters of discretion: • The significant values of outstanding waterbodies and wetlands • Te Mana o te Wai	Accept in part	15.6
123.111	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 16 - Amend activity status to prohibited.	Reject	15.6
123.112	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 17 - retain as notified.	Accept	15.6
123.113	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 18 - Include as a matter of control whether water quality targets in Schedule 26 or water quality issues in priority catchments (Schedule 28) will be achieved or addressed as a result of the quality of discharged groundwater to surface water.	Accept in part	15.6

123.160.	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part - Change to: a) Least of either the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or a lesser amount	Reject	15.6
123.161	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part - Would like to see stream depletion changed to surface water depletion and stream replaced with surface	Accept in part	15.6
123.166	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	a) no more than the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; and the least of either; Change to: a) Least of either the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or a lesser amount;	Reject	15.6
124.11	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Rule TANK 9 - support	Accept in part	15.6
124.12	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Rule TANK 10 conditions (e) and (g) - Support	Accept in part	15.6
124.17	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Rule TANK 11(b)(i) - support	Accept in part	15.6
124.20.	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Support Actual and reasonable use	Accept	15.6
124.28	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Rule TANK 9 - Amend TANK Rule 9 condition (f) to make it clear that individual consent holder stream augmentation mitigation or offsetting actions are acceptable.	Reject	15.6
129.8	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 7 - Amend condition (b) to show that the reasonable needs for both an individual's domestic needs and an individual's animals existing prior to the notification of the plan can continue to be taken without a specified limit.	Accept in part	15.6
129.9	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 8 - Amend condition (b) to show that the reasonable needs for both an individual's domestic needs and an individual's animals existing prior to the notification of the plan can continue to be taken without a specified limit.	Accept in part	15.6
129.10.	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 9 - Delete conditions (f) and (g) and insert new condition requiring all water permits to be subject to a stream depletion calculation	Accept	15.6
129.11	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 9 - Amend matter 15 to require a permit review and new conditions to be imposed in respect of contribution to a stream flow maintenance scheme, when applicable	Accept	15.6
129.12	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 9 - Include non-notification direction for applications where they are subject to a review condition in respect of flow maintenance and habitat enhancement.	Accept	15.6
129.13	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 10 - Amend condition (c) to include at the end "For all other takes the flows specified in Schedule 31 apply	Accept	15.6
129.14	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 10 - Amend condition (h) and matter 15 to be consistent with amendments to TANK 9 and policy 39 for the provisions for flow maintenance where this option is applicable and appropriate	Accept	15.6

129.15	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 11 - Insert at the end of condition (a) "where relevant."	Accept	15.6
129.16	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 11 - Insert new clause iii into condition (ii). Water takes that are non-consumptive	Accept	15.6
129.17	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 13 - Delete condition (a).	Accept	15.6
129.18	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 14 - Insert into activity description "the erection or placement of any dam or weir or other barrier structure"	Accept	15.6
129.19	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 14 - Insert a new condition (a): The activity does not comply with the conditions of RRMP 67 or RRMP 68	Accept	15.6
129.30	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP Rule 62a - Delete Condition b. i. "To any person or occupier of the site in respect of which the permit is granted,	Accept	15.6
129.31	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP Rule 62a - Delete Advisory note commencing "Pursuant to s136(3)..."	Accept	15.6
129.32	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP Rule 62a - Condition d.(ii) delete	Accept	15.6
129.33	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP Rule 62a - Amend condition (e) so that it requires that no increased drawdown is caused on neighbouring efficient bores groundwater take.	Accept	15.6
129.40	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Allocation limit - Delete meaning and replace with new meaning as follows:" Allocation limit for surface water means the maximum quantity that is able to be allocated in water permits in a management unit and abstracted for consumptive water use, expressed in L/s and calculated as the average rate required to abstract the maximum weekly or 28 day volume allocated to each water permit and summed for all water permits in the applicable management unit	Accept in part	15.6
129.41	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Allocation limit - Insert a new sentence at the end: Allocation limits may apply to takes during low flow periods from October to April or apply to takes during high flows	Reject	15.6

129.42	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	<p>Consumptive Water Use - Insert new meaning: Consumptive water use – means any use of fresh water that alters the flows and or levels in a water body on either a temporary or permanent basis, but excludes any non-consumptive use where:</p> <p>a) the same amount of water is returned to the same water body at or near the location from which it was taken; and</p> <p>b) there is no significant delay between the taking and returning of the water.</p> <p>For the purposes of allocation limits and specified rationing provisions in the rules, the term 'consumptive use' does not apply to water used in hydro-electric power generation or water use or diversions which substantially return the water used to the same water body.</p>	Accept in part	15.6
131.7	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	<p>Rule TANK 7 or 8 - Amend to provide clarity over the supply of water for domestic and stock water. Specific wording provided.</p>	Accept in part	15.6
132.12	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	<p>Amend objectives and policies so they apply to multiple catchments, rather than repeating similar policies for each of the four TANK catchments</p>	Accept in part	15.6

132.16	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for pro-rata reductions in both volumes and rates of abstraction for surface water and groundwater, to bring total a/locations and rates within more sustainable limits.		
					Reject	15.6
132.50.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Rule TANK 9 - 13 - Require applications for existing and new consents to take water for irrigation, to be assessed as discretionary activities, tangata whenua parties to be notified, and impose a ten year duration limit.		
					Reject	15.6
132.51	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Rule TANK 7 - Allow for 20 m3 per week allocation to continue for existing and new domestic use (houses) as permitted activities.		
					Accept in part	15.6
132.52	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prohibit water takes outside of the allocation volume limits and cumulative rate limits, apart from emergency uses.		
					Reject	15.6
132.60.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Require renewal of existing consents and applications for new consents to take water for irrigation, to be assessed as discretionary activities, for tangata whenua to be notified as affected parties, and impose a ten year duration limit.		
					Reject	15.6

132.61	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Allow for 20 m3 per week a/location to continue for existing and new domestic use (houses) as permitted activities	Accept in part	15.6
132.62	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Prohibit groundwater takes outside of the allocation volume limits and cumulative rate limits, apart from emergency uses.	Reject	15.6
132.67	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Remove all references to and criteria relating to "efficient well [bore]" from applying in TANK catchments	Reject	15.6
132.73	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Rule TANK 9 - Omit the stream depletion tool from informing water management and resource consent processes until the tool is recalibrated using data and extrapolations of data from surface water depletion effects acquired during the irrigation season, and inclusion of depletion effects on surface water flows during low-flow events (at flows below MALF).	Reject	15.6
132.119	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend Rule 53 so takes for stock water purposes within the water-short areas in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments, as specified in Schedule VI, are controlled activities and required to be 60 m3 per week or less. <u>Above this limit require these to be assessed as Restricted Discretionary</u>	Reject	15.6
132.120	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Make concurrent amendments to Rules TANK 7 and TANK 8 so they prescribe the same 60 m3 per week limit (outside of water short areas) as permitted activities, and above this volume for stock water as restricted discretionary, and subject to zone or FMU limits.	Reject	15.6
132.132	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete any reference to or prevent the word "productive" from applying to aquifers and groundwater systems within TANK catchments.	Reject	15.6
132.135	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide a definition of "water mining" in a glossary specific to PC9 as - " <u>The abstraction of groundwater from an aquifer over a 12-month period, at a rate that exceeds the annual volume and rate of natural recharge</u> ".	Reject	15.6
132.136	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add a new Rule TANK 8A for water takes within water short areas (as prescribed in Schedule VI) and in other TANK zones, water management areas and FMUs to prescribe takes for stock water and for other purposes, of above 60 m3 per week and up to 200 m3 per week, as restricted discretionary activities. Matters for control discretion provided.	Accept in part	15.6
132.162	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend operative Rule 54 to include water bodies within the areas specified in Schedule Via, restrict surface water allocation to a 60 m3 per week threshold for stock water provision, and add the Mangateretere Stream, the Paritua Stream and the Karewarewa Stream to the water body exclusions in the second column OR draft a similar rule for application in TANK catchments.	Reject	15.6
132.163	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add new Rule 54A as a restricted discretionary activity for minor takes in those TANK water bodies excluded from Rule 54 and located in TANK catchments. Acknowledge tangata whenua as affected parties and restrict takes so any water abstraction for irrigation is seasonal 23 . Matters for control/discretion provided. Make the new rule and criteria applicable from the date when PC9 becomes operative and call-in all relevant consents (refer to consent expiry dates for Karamu and surface water depleting takes).	Reject	15.6
132.164	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend the permitted activity rule (Rule 53 -Groundwater takes) to limit weekly volumes to 60 m3 per week for applications/takes in the TANK catchments and prescribe limits for stock water takes of up to 60 m3 per week. Consider changing allocation references in PC9 to "abstraction" so that allocation limits become abstraction limits (or take limits as in the NPS-FM 2020).	Reject	15.6
132.168	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add a definition for "cultural flow" to the glossary section(s) in the regional plan - "a flow or water level that is sufficient to maintain the health and well-being of the surface water body or groundwater body, and provide for tikanga Maori uses and values associated with the water body."	Reject	15.6
132.187	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Make petroleum/oil exploration activities and petroleum/oil extraction activities within the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System area, and within a 200 metre buffer zone around it, a prohibited activity. Provide a map to show the prohibited area.	Reject	15.6
132.188	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for the prohibition on the placement of dams along mainstems of the Tutaekuri, Ngaruroro and Karamu Rivers. Where dams are enabled along tributaries, provide for fish passage structures and residual flows sufficient to support ecosystem health, Mauri and life-supporting capacity from the dam downstream to the main river channel.	Reject	15.6
132.191	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	For global consent applications and processes, have a separate policy that includes significantly more detail and criteria than is provided in proposed PC9. Assessment criteria provided.	Reject	15.6

135.54	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain restricted discretionary activity Rule TANK 9 as notified.	Accept in part	15.6
135.55	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain discretionary activity Rule TANK 11 as notified.	Accept in part	15.6
140.4	Andrew and Tania	Kerr		Maintain current 20m ³ allowance for new water takes	Reject	15.6
141.4	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Opposes Rule 7. Water for domestic and stock should be a permitted activity and not limited to 5 cubic metres for new takes or 20 cubic metres for existing takes.	Accept in part	15.6
141.5	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	Disagrees with Rules 11 and 18	Reject	15.6
142.23	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	That Rules TANK 7 and TANK 8 be removed from Plan change 9 and addressed separately. If it is to proceed without further consultation the amend wording of Rule TANK 7 and Rule TANK 8. Requirements for wording provided, relating to surface water take for livestock, subdivision, amount to be permitted, number of points of take.	Accept in part	15.6
143.10	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
143.16	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
146.4	Rebecca	Davies	New Zealand Defence Force	Rule TANK 17 - Oppose Section 6.10.2 - Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below: <u>The construction of a temporary dam and associated take and use of surface water for use of water treatment units.</u> <u>a) The activity must be undertaken by the New Zealand Defence Force;</u> <u>b) The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</u> <u>c) The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</u> <u>d) The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</u> <u>e) The dam must not be on the mainstem of the following rivers:</u> <u>(i) Ngaruroro River</u> <u>(ii) Taruarau River</u> <u>(iii) Omahaki River</u> <u>(iv) Tutaekuri River:</u> <u>(v) Mangaone River</u> <u>(vi) Mangatutu River</u>	Accept in part	15.6
165.11	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
165.17	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
165.20	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Actual and reasonable use must reflect actual and reasonable use lawfully occurring on a property at the time of this Plan Change.	Reject	15.6

179.11	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
179.17	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
180.58	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 7&8 - Amend to include a specific exemption for the ongoing abstraction of up to 20m3 if water is abstracted <u>for the purpose of assisting the survival of permanent horticultural crops.</u>	Accept in part	15.6
180.59	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 9&10 - All references to 'actual and reasonable' are amended to just be to 'reasonable'. An additional matter of discretion is added as follows: <u>'The effects of any take and use for root stock survival on flows in connected surface water bodies.</u>	Reject	15.6
180.60.	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 12 - Amend status to be 'noncomplying'	Reject	15.6
180.61	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 18 - Amend status to be 'restricted discretionary'	Accept in part	15.6
180.66	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	RRMP 62a - Amend by deleting (d)(i) (related to groundwater takes in HPWMU). Delete (f). (h) is amended to refer only to 'reasonable'	Accept	15.6
180.74	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by just referring to 'reasonable' - <u>and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of:</u> <u>a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or</u> <u>b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.</u>	Reject	15.6
185.8	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
185.12	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
185.13	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
185.14	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
185.20.	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
185.21	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
185.22	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6

185.28	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
185.29	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
185.30	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
185.34	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
185.35	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
185.38	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
185.39	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
185.40	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
185.41	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
185.48	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
185.52	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
186.8	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
186.12	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
186.13	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
186.14	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
186.20	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
186.21	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
186.22	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6

186.28	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
186.29	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
186.30	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
186.34	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
186.35	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
186.38	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
186.39	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
186.40	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
186.41	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
186.48	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly	Accept in part	15.6
186.52	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
192.15	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	A specific exemption should be provided in TANK 7 and 8 to allow up to 20m3 per day to be taken to assist in survival of permanent horticultural crops and rootstock.	Accept in part	15.6
192.17	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Condition TANK 9 (e)(iii) should be amended to refer to "the maximum annual water use in any one year within the 10 years preceding 2 May 2020 (including as demonstrated by accurate water meter records)."	Reject	15.6
192.19	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Actual and Reasonable - Clause (c) of the definition should read: "for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficient of application of no less than 80% as specified by the	Accept in part	15.6
194.36	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Seeks that 5.10.3.18(c) be amended to differentiate between high and low nitrogen loss land uses (i.e. in terms of what the new use would be). This could be amended through reference to Schedules 29.	Reject	15.6
194.83	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 7 - Clarify in the 'Rule' and/or 'Activity' column of TANK 7 that TANK 7 provides for takes from water storage/impoundments.	Accept in part	15.6
194.84	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 9 - seeks changes to this policy and the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to allow sufficient water to enable increases in intensification (e.g. reduction in vineyard row spacing) where this can be shown to represent a more efficient use of water. The proposed approach is too rigid, and instead seeks sufficient flexibility in the provisions to enable the best or most efficient use of water, and overall best outcomes for freshwater management.	Reject	15.6

194.85	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 9(c)-(e) - Amend TANK 9 along the lines of: Actual and Reasonable Reallocation c) The quantity taken and used, other than provided for under d) is: (i) the actual and reasonable amount; or (ii) any lesser quantity applied for. d) The quantity taken and used for municipal, community and papakainga water supply is: (i) the quantity specified on the permit being renewed; or (ii) any lesser quantity applied for.	Accept	15.6
194.86	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 9(f) - Clarification on the intent and operation of flow maintenance schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
194.87	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 9(f)(ii) - Amend TANK 9 to allow that the taking of water for the sole purpose of avoiding the death of horticultural or viticultural root stock or crops should be exempt from cease takes. Also amend TANK 9 and related provisions to account for the extent to which groundwater takes have a stream depleting effect on the surface water body.	Accept in part	15.6
194.88	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 10 - Actual and Reasonable Reallocation e) The quantity taken and used, other than provided for under d) is: (i) the actual and reasonable amount; or (ii) any lesser quantity applied for. f) The quantity taken and used for municipal, community and papakainga water supply is: (i) the quantity specified on the permit being renewed; or (ii) any lesser quantity applied for.	Accept	15.6
194.89	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 10(e) - Amend the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to provide for the efficient allocation and use of water.	Reject	15.6
194.90	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 10(h) - Amend TANK 10 to: 1) allow that the taking of water for the sole purpose of avoiding the death of horticultural or viticultural root stock or crops should be exempt from cease takes; 2) take into account the extent to	Accept in part	15.6
194.91	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 10(h) - Clarify how Zone 1 takes relate to stream flow maintenance schemes and how they are to be provided for under TANK 18 and Schedule 36.	Accept in part	15.6
194.92	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 11 - Amend TANK 11 to clarify that frost protection is exempt from complying with the allocation limits in Schedule 31. It would also be clearer to include paragraph (a) of the conditions/terms as part of the description in the 'Activity' column – as these are not requirements to be met under Rule TANK 11 but the circumstances (activity) for which the rule is triggered. Amend the 'Activity' column of TANK 11 to recognise that this rule applies to s124 and new takes.	Accept in part	15.6
194.93	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	TANK 12 should be amended to be a Non-Complying activity rather than a Prohibited Activity.	Reject	15.6
194.94	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 13 - Retain as drafted.	Accept in part	15.6

194.95	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 14 - Retain as drafted.	Accept in part	15.6
194.96	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 15 - Amend to clarify application of this rule and what would need to be assessed.	Accept in part	15.6
194.97	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule TANK 18 - Amend Schedule 36 to provide more comprehensive guidance about how the schemes would operate and the extent to which (and circumstances in which) water takes would be able to continue once minimum flow (or flow maintenance) levels were reached.	Reject	15.6
194.99	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Rule RRMP 62a - Clause (f) should be deleted. RRMP 62a should be amended to allow for transfers of permits to take and use water between land uses and crops irrespective of nitrogen loss. Clause (h) should be deleted.	Reject	15.6
194.113	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Actual and reasonable use a) - Amend the definition of 'actual and reasonable' to provide for the efficient allocation and use of water as per OBJ TANK 17. b) - seeks that the definition be revised to take into consideration dryer years i.e. 2019/2020 for the HPWMU. c) - the Glossary and 5.10.7.47 are amended along the lines of 'as specified by a consistent and appropriate water demand model', where IRRICALC can be included as an example.	Accept	15.6
195.85	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 7 be amended as follows: Status – Permitted Activity The take and use of surface water in the TANK water Management Zones including under Section 14(3)(b) of the RMA Conditions/Standards/Terms ... b) The take does not exceed 5 20 cubic metres per day per any one property except: (i) Takes existing as at 2 May 2020 may continue to take up to 20 cubic metres per property per day and to meet the reasonable needs of animals for drinking water; ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	15.6

195.86	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 8 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Status – Permitted Activity The take and use of groundwater in the TANK Water Management Zones including under Section 14(3)(b) of the RMA</p> <p>Conditions/Standards/Terms</p> <p>a) Any take first commencing after 2 May 2020 is not from the Poukawa Freshwater Management Unit (quantity). b) There is only one point of take per property and the take does not exceed <u>5 20</u> cubic metres per day except; (i) takes existing as at 2 May 2020 may continue to take up to 20 cubic metres per property per day and to meet the reasonable needs of animals for drinking water. ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Accept in part	15.6
195.87	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 9 be amended as follows:</p> <p>... Actual and Reasonable Re-allocation ... d) The quantity taken and used for municipal, community and papakainga water supply <u>has regard to efficiency of use</u> is: (i) the quantity specified on the permit being renewed; or (ii) any lesser quantity applied for. ... General Conditions</p>	Reject	15.6
195.88	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 10 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Actual and Reasonable Re-allocation f) The quantity taken and used for municipal, community and papakainga water supply <u>has regard to efficiency of use</u> is: (i) the quantity specified on the permit being renewed; or (ii) any lesser quantity applied for</p>	Reject	15.6
195.90	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 12 be amended as follows</p> <p>Status – Prohibited Non-complying Activity</p>	Reject	15.6
195.91	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 13 be amended to provide for suitable allocation of surface water at times of high flow as a controlled activity, with a further trip to restricted discretionary activity where controlled activity standards are not complied with And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	15.6
195.92	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 14 be amended as follows</p> <p>Status – Discretionary Activity Damming of surface waters and discharge from dams except as prohibited by Rule TANK 17</p> <p>Conditions/Standards/Terms</p> <p>a) Except as prohibited by Rule TANK 17, the activity either on its own or in combination with other dam or discharge activities in the same water management zone does not cause the flow regime of the river to be altered by more than the amount specified in Schedule 32 And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	15.6
195.93	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That Rule TANK 15 be amended to provide for take and use from a dam or water impoundment as a controlled activity, with a further trip to restricted discretionary activity where controlled activity standards are not complied with And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Accept in part	15.6

195.94	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 16 be retained as notified, subject to our relief sought for Rules Tank 13 to Tank 15	Accept in part	15.6
195.95	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 17 be amended as follows Status – Prohibited Non-complying Activity Construction of dams or the damming of water	Reject	15.6
195.96	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 18 retained as notified	Accept in part	15.6
195.98	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 20 be retained as notified	Accept in part	15.6
195.111	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 31 – Discharge of water, be amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms ... d. The discharge is not a discharge of groundwater into surface water in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu Catchments <u>except where discharge of such water into surface water is necessary due to structural failure of water retention vessels, drains, stop-banks, weirs, floodgates or dams deliberately sabotaged or damaged in emergencies such as fires, floods or earthquakes.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns	Reject	15.6
195.116	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to 6.6.5 – Stormwater - Discharges to Land/Water, be retained as notified.	Reject	15.6
195.117	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to 6.7.1 – Take & Use of Water, retained as notified.	Accept	15.6
195.118	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 61 – Transfer of permits to take & use surface water from a river, be amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms ... e. The transfer is not in any Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu Catchment <u>except that transfers of unused water allocated in water permits shall be allowed between irrigation users within the same Catchment.</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.6
195.119	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 62 – Transfer of permits to take & use ground-water, be amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms ... e. The transfer is not in any Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu Catchment <u>except that transfers of unused water allocated in water permits shall be allowed between irrigation users within the same Catchment.</u> ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.6

195.120.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That proposed new RRMP Rule 62a – Transfer of permits to take and use water, be amended as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>Conditions/Standards/Terms</p> <p>...</p> <p>b. The transfer is the whole or any part of the holder’s interest in the permit for taking and use of surface or groundwater:</p> <p>i. To any person or occupier of the site in respect of which the permit is granted, or</p> <p>ii. <u>To another person on another site</u></p> <p>iii. <u>To another site</u></p> <p>c. The transfer is not between ground and surface water point of take <u>except where groundwater take is affected by circumstances outside the water permit holder’s control such as structural or power failure, and/or damage of pumping or storage equipment that prevents ability to abstract or use groundwater.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>g. All parties to the transfer shall have metering and reporting at any applicable recording and reporting level except for temporary transfers of less than five days <u>one calendar month</u> per annum.</p> <p>h. In fully or over-allocated management units, the transfer shall only be of that part of the permit for which there is actual and reasonable use* <u>except that transfers of unused water allocated in water permits shall be allowed between irrigation users within the same Catchment.</u></p> <p>i. The purpose for the water use does not change except:</p> <p>i. that water takes for irrigation use may be transferred for irrigation of different crops subject to conditions (e), and (f) <u>and (h).</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Accept in part	15.6
195.121	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That proposed new RRMP Rule 62b – Permanent or temporary transfer of water, be amended as follows:</p> <p>Discretionary Activity</p> <p>Permanent or temporary transfer of water in accordance with S136(2)(b)(i) of the RMA <u>that does not comply with Rule 62a.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	15.6
195.122	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That proposed amendments to 6.8.2 – Erection & Placement of Dams & Other Barrier Structures, & Damming of Water, be retained as notified.</p>	Reject	15.6
195.123	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 67 – Dams, weirs & other barrier structures in rivers, lakes and artificial water – course, be amended as follows:</p> <p>Permitted Activity</p> <p>The erection or placement of any dam, weir or other barrier structure in, on, under, or over the bed of a river, lake and artificial watercourse, and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> any associated damming or diversion of water, and any associated discharge of sediment; and any associated disturbance of the river or lake bed. <p>This permitted activity does not apply to the erection of dams on the mainstem of any river <u>managed under Rule 6.10</u> where it is prohibited by Rule TANK 17</p> <p>...</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	15.6
195.124	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	<p>That proposed amendments to w RRMP Rule 69 – River & lake bed activities that are not expressly regulated by other rules, be amended as follows:</p> <p>Discretionary Activity</p> <p>Any activity which cannot comply with any of the rules in section 6.8 of this Plan and which is not expressly regulated by other rules in this Plan.</p> <p>This rule does not apply to rivers in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments (refer Rules TANK 13 – 1716)</p> <p>And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.</p>	Reject	15.6

195.148	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That all expiry dates in Schedule 33 be amended to a minimum of 20-year intervals And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	15.6
195.152	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Retain as notified	Accept	15.6
195.154	Peter	Match	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Retain as notified	Accept	15.6
196.8	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
196.13	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
196.14	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
196.15	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
196.16	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable '.	Reject	15.6
196.21	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
196.22	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
196.23	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
196.29	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
196.30	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
196.31	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
196.35	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
196.36	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
196.39	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
196.40	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
196.41	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
196.42	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
196.49	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
196.53	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6

196.54	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
197.16	Lilly	Lawson	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Rule TANK 7 and 8 - B+LNZ seek that 6.10.2 is amended so as to preclude water take for stock drinking water from any Take and Use Rules. Water quantity rules are amended in accordance with relief sought above (Obj 16,17,18) Water quantity Policies - Water quantity is managed to ensure that the take and use of water is reasonable and justifiable for the intended use, and takes for stock drinking water are permitted to provide for the health and wellbeing of domestic and production animals	Reject	15.6
199.11	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
200.1	Ray	Knowles	Aspyron Trust	Rule TANK 10 - Actual and reasonable re-allocation - will the water usage data suffice? We have proven water usage data but the IRRICALC system does not provide any estimates for some of our crops – namely plant nursery & various nut crops.	Reject	15.6
203.22	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend TANK 8 to provide a permitted activity pathway for temporary construction dewatering activities. This could be achieved by amending clauses b) and c) as follows: b) There is only one point of take per property and the take does not exceed 5 cubic metres per day except; ... (iii) The taking of water for aquifer testing and construction <u>dewatering for up to 10 consecutive days is not restricted</u> c) The rate of take shall not exceed 10 l/s other than <u>for temporary construction dewatering which shall not exceed 40 l/s</u> and aquifer testing for which the rate of take is not restricted.	Reject	15.6
203.24	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend the activity status of TANK 12 from prohibited to non-complying.	Reject	15.6
203.32	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Allocation limit for Groundwater - retain definition as notified	Reject	15.6
204.11	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
204.17	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	15.6
207.19	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 7 condition (f) to read: <u>(i) domestic or community take, which existed prior to commencement of the take.</u>	Accept in part	15.6
207.20	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 8 Condition d) to read: <u>(i) domestic or community take, which existed prior to commencement of the take.</u>	Reject	15.6
207.21	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	No specific decision requested but states that sub-headings above the conditions also confuse the understanding of the rule framework and are not necessary.	Reject	15.6
207.22	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Activity Description in Rule 9 to read; "Replacement of an existing Resource Consent to take of water from the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit where Section 124 of the RMA applies (applies to existing consents) "	Reject	15.6
207.23	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Condition (g) of TANK 9 to read; " <u>(g) Any take authorised under clause (d) is not subject to conditions (f) but instead the water permit holder will comply with a Water Conservation Strategy approved as part of the application. in respect of that part of the total-allocated amount used for essential human health</u>	Reject	15.6

207.24	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Matter for Control/Discretion 5 in TANK 9 to read: "Where the take is in a Source protection Zone or Source Protection Extent"	Accept	15.6
207.25	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Matter of Control/Discretion 6 in TANK 9 to read: " a) provisions for demand management over time so that water use is at reasonable and justifiable levels including whether an infrastructure Leakage Index of 4 or better will be achieved b) Rate and volumes of take limited to the projected demand for the urban area provided in HPUDS 2017, or successive versions c) water demand based on residential and nonresidential use including for schools, rest homes, hospitals, <u>commercial, industrial, recreational, social, cultural and religious</u> demands within the planned reticulated area."	Accept in part	15.6
207.26	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Activity description in Rule 10 to read: Replacement of an existing Resource Consent to take of water from the Heretaunga Plains Water Management Unit where Section 124 of the RMA applies (applies to existing consents)	Reject	15.6
207.27	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Matter of Discretion 4 in TANK 10 to read: "Where the take is in a Source protection Zone or Source Protection Extent"	Accept	15.6
207.28	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Matter of Discretion 5 in TANK 10 to read: •provisions for demand management over time so that water use is at reasonable and justifiable levels including whether an infrastructure Leakage Index of 4 or better will be achieved	Accept in part	15.6
207.29	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Rule 11 to EITHER: (a) avoid new takes within the existing allocation as at the date of the plan becoming operative falling to Prohibited, OR (b) consider the introduction of a new Noncomplying activity 'in-between' and clarify the effect of the interim limit/target and the long term limit set in line with Policy 42 in relation to this rule.	Reject	15.6
207.30	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Change 9 so that only takes where the existing allocation (as at the date of the Plan becoming operative) will be exceeded or the limit set pursuant to Policy 42, fall to prohibited under Rule 12.	Reject	15.6
207.31	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Activity Status of Rule 12 to Non-Complying (Subject to the outcome of relief sought in relation to Rule TANK 11 - see submission points 27 and 28)	Reject	15.6
207.32	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Rule 62a, Condition (j) to read: "The transfer enable efficient delivery of water supply to meet the communities' human health needs."	Reject	15.6
207.33	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Add the following advice note to Rule 62a: "For the purpose of (i), the transfer of water from a municipal supply to a point of take servicing industrial uses with a demand of greater than 15m3 per day is not considered to be a change of use. "	Reject	15.6
207.34	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 15 to add "That does not comply with the conditions of TANK Rule 7" to the Activity Description and delete Condition (a).	Accept	15.6
207.35	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 16 to Add "That does not comply with the conditions of TANK Rules 13-15" to the Activity Description and delete "The activity does not comply with the conditions of TANK Rules 13-15" in the Conditions/Standards and Terms.	Reject	15.6
207.36	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 18 to Delete condition (a) and refer to Schedule 36 in the right hand column as an Assessment Criteria (not a matter of control/restriction).	Accept in part	15.6
208.10	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent beingreplaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
208.17	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Rule 62a - Delete this requirement if Bore is in the same Zone – i.e. not located within Zone 1 if original consent is also not in Zone 1.	Accept	15.6

210.88	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 7: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM, in particular by amending condition c to set an appropriate limit that protects ecosystem health and ecological values. Amend to clearly address the potential for cumulative adverse effects of small takes. Include a condition requiring notification of the take, location, volume and rate to be provided to council within 1 month or the take commencing or this plan becoming operative	Reject	15.6
210.89	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 8: Remove "(iii) The taking of water for aquifer testing is not restricted" and replace with a restriction on how much water can be taken for aquifer testing. Amend to give effect to the NPSFM and to clearly address the potential for cumulative adverse effects of small takes. Include a condition requiring notification of the take, location, volume and rate to be provided to council within 1 month or the take commencing or this plan becoming operative.	Reject	15.6
210.90.	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 9: Delete and replace with a policy on groundwater takes that gives effect to the NPS-FM.	Reject	15.6
210.91	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 10: Amend to give effect to the NPS and address issues of clarity.	Accept in part	15.6
210.92	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 11: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM.	Reject	15.6
210.93	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 12: Retain (noting amendments to rule 11 needed).	Accept in part	15.6
210.94	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 13: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM, including by adding ecological considerations in the conditions and a standard for maintaining the natural character / habitat quality of the river using the Natural Character / Habitat Quality Index.	Accept in part	15.6
210.95	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 14: Amend to prohibited status, except where that dam is constructed 'offline'. Address ecological effects of offline dams by adding ecological considerations in the conditions and a standard for maintaining the natural character / habitat quality of the river water is taken from using the Natural Character / Habitat Quality Index. We also suggest an acknowledgement within the plan of the potential impact of dams on riverine ecosystems.	Reject	15.6
210.96	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 15: Amend to give effect to the NPSFM and RMA.	Accept in part	15.6
210.97	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 16: Strengthen to prohibited status	Reject	15.6
210.98	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 17: Amend the list to include all water bodies in the region.	Reject	15.6
210.105	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 7: Retain (f) Amend to increase setback distances to minimum of 10m and state that no cultivation should occur in critical source areas (e.g. swales where runoff will easily enter nearby waterways). Include as a matter for control where water quality targets are not being met. Clarify how cultivation can lead to improvements in riparian condition (clause i). Is it referring to cultivation of permanent native plants?	Reject	15.6
210.107	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 62a: Amend to give effect to NPSFM I.e. Amend as: "for transfers that enable the operation of a flow enhancement scheme (ref Policy 38)"	Reject	15.6
210.149	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Allocation limit: Amend to clarify	Reject	15.6
210.150.	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Allocation limit for Groundwater : amend to clarify	Reject	15.6

210.151	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Allocation limit for high flow takes : amend to clarify	Reject	15.6
210.152	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Applicable stream flow maintenance scheme : Delete	Reject	15.6
211.8	Brian	McLay		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
211.12	Brian	McLay		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
211.13	Brian	McLay		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
211.14	Brian	McLay		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
211.20	Brian	McLay		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
211.21	Brian	McLay		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
211.22	Brian	McLay		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
211.28	Brian	McLay		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
211.29	Brian	McLay		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
211.30	Brian	McLay		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
211.34	Brian	McLay		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
211.35	Brian	McLay		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
211.38	Brian	McLay		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
211.39	Brian	McLay		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
211.40	Brian	McLay		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
211.41	Brian	McLay		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
211.52	Brian	McLay		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
211.55	Brian	McLay		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
213.7	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Accept in part	15.6
213.11	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6

213.14	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Policy 59 needs significant re-write to address the above inconsistencies between the policy as it now stands, and the framework agreed in TANK. It should distinguish clearly between water for environmental enhancement and water for Maori development, reduce the proposed Maori development reservation for the Ngaruroro River from 1600L/s to 1200L/s in line with the 20% new-water allocation agreed at TANK and remove the presumption that the private sector will fund the infrastructure costs in relation to exercise of the Maori development portion of the high flow allocation.	Accept in part	15.6
213.17	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Accept in part	15.6
214.8	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or	Reject	15.6
214.12	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
214.13	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
214.14	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable".	Reject	15.6
214.18	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific relief sought but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Accept	15.6
214.20	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
214.21	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
214.22	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
214.28	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
214.29	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
214.30	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
214.34	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
214.35	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
214.38	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
214.39	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
214.40	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
214.41	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
214.48	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
214.52	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
214.55	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6

215.2	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Accept in part	15.6
215.8	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
215.12	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
215.13	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
215.14	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
215.15	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read ' actual and reasonable '.	Reject	15.6
215.20	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
215.21	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
215.22	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
215.28	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
215.29	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
215.30	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
215.34	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
215.35	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
215.38	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
215.39	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
215.40	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
215.41	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
215.48	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
215.52	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
215.55	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
215.62	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 so that all provisions that relate to industry schemes to better align requirements with existing and established industry programs such as GAP schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
215.74	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6

217.7	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
217.11	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
217.12	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
217.13	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
217.19	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
217.20	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
217.21	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
217.27	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
217.28	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
217.29	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
217.30	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
217.33	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
217.34	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
217.37	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
217.38	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
217.39	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
217.40	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
217.47	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
217.51	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
217.54	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
218.8	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
218.12	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
218.13	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
218.14	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
218.20	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6

218.21	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
218.22	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
218.28	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
218.29	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
218.30	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
218.34	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
218.35	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
218.38	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
218.39	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
218.40	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
218.41	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
218.48	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
218.52	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
218.55	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
218.74	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
219.2	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 to ensure that sufficient water is available to provide for the critically important role of horticulture to the future sustainability of the TANK Catchments.	Accept in part	15.6
219.8	Michael & Julie	Russell		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
219.12	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
219.13	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
219.14	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
219.20	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
219.21	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
219.22	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
219.28	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6

219.29	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
219.30	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
219.34	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
219.35	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
219.38	Michael & Julie	Russell		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
219.39	Michael & Julie	Russell		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
219.40	Michael & Julie	Russell		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
219.41	Michael & Julie	Russell		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
219.48	Michael & Julie	Russell		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
219.52	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
219.55	Michael & Julie	Russell		TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
219.77	Michael & Julie	Russell		"Actual and reasonable" allocations do not consider the least of option for the 10 years preceding 2017, because those records do not exist, and our operations have changed frequently over that period.	Reject	15.6
219.79	Michael & Julie	Russell		Rule TANK 15 and 16 - Rules around the harvesting, storage, reticulation, and utilization of stored water that is fair and equitable for all water users going forward	Accept in part	15.6
220.8	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
220.12	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
220.13	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
220.14	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
220.17	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	No specific decision sought but state that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Accept	15.6
220.20	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
220.21	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
220.22	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
220.28	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
220.29	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
220.30	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6

220.34	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
220.35	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
220.38	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
220.39	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
220.40	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
220.41	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
220.48	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6
220.52	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
220.55	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	TANK18: Amend Change 9 to remove the presumption that the mainstem of the Ngaruroro River will be augmented in whole or in part and reflect the TANK collaborative group's position that augmentation should be investigated.	Accept in part	15.6
221.8	WT	Scott		Actual and Reasonable - amend to just refer to 'reasonable' and in relation to applications to take and use water is the lesser of: a) the quantity specified on the permit due for renewal or any lesser amount applied for; or b) for irrigation takes, the quantity required to meet the modelled crop water demand for the irrigated area with an efficiency of application of no less than 80% as specified by the IRRICALC water demand model (if it is available for the crop and otherwise an equivalent method) and to a 95% reliability of supply.	Reject	15.6
221.12	WT	Scott		TANK 9: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
221.13	WT	Scott		TANK 10: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
221.14	WT	Scott		TANK 11: Amend every reference to 'actual and reasonable' to read " actual and reasonable ".	Reject	15.6
221.20	WT	Scott		TANK 13: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
221.21	WT	Scott		TANK 14: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
221.22	WT	Scott		TANK 15: No specific decision requested but states that the allocation limit for high flow takes should be revisited.	Reject	15.6
221.28	WT	Scott		TANK 13: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
221.29	WT	Scott		TANK 14: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
221.30	WT	Scott		TANK 15: Amend Change 9 so that high flow allocations are specified for the Karamu, and Ahuriri Catchments (if storage is physically feasible within the Ahuriri Catchment).	Reject	15.6
221.34	WT	Scott		Amend TANK 7 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
221.35	WT	Scott		Amend TANK 8 to provide a specific exemption to allow up to 20m3 to continue to be taken per day to assist the survival of permanent horticultural crops.	Accept in part	15.6
221.38	WT	Scott		RRMP 61: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
221.39	WT	Scott		RRMP 62: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
221.40	WT	Scott		RRMP 62a: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
221.41	WT	Scott		RRMP 62b: Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	15.6
221.48	WT	Scott		Amend Change 9 so that Schemes can be developed by the regional council in a progressive manner based on when water permits expire, in an equitable manner over a reasonable timeframe that apportions the cost equally and concomitantly across all takes affecting groundwater levels rather than relying on consent applicants to develop schemes.	Accept in part	15.6

221.52	WT	Scott		TANK 18: Amend Change 9 to ensure that flow maintenance requirements only apply to lowland streams where it is feasible.	Accept in part	15.6
222.5	Owen Jerry	Hāpuku		TANK 9: Stream flow enhancement schemes given a non-complying activity status rather than restricted discretionary	Reject	15.6
222.6	Owen Jerry	Hāpuku		I want the ceasing of stream diversion and abstraction from Poukawa, and the restoration and future protection of Poukawa.	Accept in part	15.6
231.11	Peter	Kay		Rule TANK 7 - seek that the take limit is kept at 20 cubic meters per day. Stock and domestic drinking water is the top priority. This rule does not address water sources serving more than one property.	Accept in part	15.6
236.3	Kenneth	Mark		Rule TANK 7 Opposes new 5 cubic metre/day permitted activity limit. The current Regional Resource Management Plan limit of 20 cubic metres per day should remain in place in the TANK plan.	Accept in part	15.6
236.5	Kenneth	Mark		I oppose the requirements for limiting the transfer of takes in water permits to "actual and reasonable" take. If you manage to save water... you should be able to share that with other irrigators who need the water	Reject	15.6
237.6	Kev	England	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Prohibit damming on the mainstem of the Ngaruroro and in all tributaries above Whanawhana.	Reject	15.6
238.10.	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend the Glossary definition of "Actual and Reasonable to provide that the volume allocated at consent renewals is the lesser of: -the amount calculated by a Hawke's Bay-specific IRRICALC model at 95% security of supply; -the volume of the expiring consent being replaced.", or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission	Reject	15.6
238.20.	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Rule 62a - Delete this requirement if Bore is in the same Zone – i.e. not located within Zone 1 if original consent is also not in Zone 1.	Accept	15.6
239.7	Mangaone	Catchment Group	Mangaone Catchment Group Incorporated	Rule TANK 7 - Maintain current 20m3 allowance for new water takes.	Accept in part	15.6
240.25	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Consent application, amendments or renewals are subject to the most recent rules and regulations, existing or historic conditions associated with the consent are null and void. needs to; (a) consider the extent and nature of current effects (including cumulative effects), (b) avoidance or mitigation of effects that are more than minor (c) promotion of sustainable management as defined by the RMA. (d) allocation within clearly defined and sustainable limits (e) articulation of sub-catchment boundaries and limits (f) protection and upholding of key values (g) Restrict irrigation outside of irrigation season (h) sensitive catchments acknowledged TANK, nor restrictions on N, effluent applications, intensive farming activities (Upper Ngaruroro, Upper Tutaekuri, Unconfined aquifer (i) Water short areas and restrictions for water use in these, where they lie within the TANK catchments – Poukawa	Accept in part	15.6
241.9	Penny and John	Reynolds		Rule TANK 6 - oppose that the TANK plan does not appropriately provide for either stock drinking water or domestic water as a permitted activity and a priority take.	Reject	15.6
241.13	Penny and John	Reynolds		Rule TANK 7 and 8 - In support.	Accept in part	15.6
241.14	Penny and John	Reynolds		Rule TANK 9 - disagree with this as its important for us to maintain flexibility to rotate and change crop type over the longer term to meet changing circumstances. As water meters were not compulsory until 2016, the water use data is incomplete.	Reject	15.6
Table 16: Stormwater management						
2.2	Angus	Wall		Support	Accept	16
7.1	Neil	Eagles		The Ahuriri Estuary wetland proposal to polish the Storm Water coming from Napier City & surrounding areas should be progressed as soon as possible. This is the only way to reduce future pollution. The Storm Water Working group has ground to a halt at present. Government support for funding to progress the wetland is available if action taken soon.	Accept	16

10.2	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 20 - Add to Sediment Management 20 'measuring the phosphorus and nitrogen mg/kg (dry basis) load in the sediments (mud) in the river systems will provide a better understanding of what is happening' Add to Sediment Management 20 'Targets of reducing phosphorus and nitrogen mg/kg levels in river sediments to at least ANZECC recommended levels by 2030'	Out of scope	16
10.4	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 28 At 28(b) after available Add "and it is within 200 metres of that property Boundary" Delete the words "Urban Infrastructure" because many catchment are in rural catchments At 28(k) Delete high and after contamination delete. After contamination add in 'in the TANK catchments' Add 28(L) "Developing measures to monitor and introduce target figures with a time frame for captured stormwater pipe direct discharges into drains, streams and rivers where there is no reticulated stormwater network within 200 metres". Add 28(m) "That local authorities must seek to identify all reasonable practicable options when making a decision on discharging stormwater and road runoff" See s77 & 79 LGA 2002	Accept in part	16
10.5	David	Renouf		Amend Policy 30 Delete (a)(i) and (a)(ii)	Accept in part	16
10.15	David	Renouf		Amend TANK Rule 19 - Delete TANK 19(a) (vi) i, ii, iii, iv	Accept in part	16
10.16	David	Renouf		Amend TANK Rule 21 - Delete TANK 21 (vi) i, ii, iii, iv	Reject	16
10.17	David	Renouf		Amend TANK Rule 22 - Delete TANK 22 (c)(vi) (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) Add to TANK 22(c) 'shall meet Proposed Plan Change 9 Schedules 26 and 27 Freshwater Quality Objectives as Freshwater Standards to be met at point of discharge by 2025. <u>and that</u> – 'The discharge shall meet HB Regional Resource Management Plan 5.4 Surface Water Quality Tables 7 and 8 after 200m distance (a distance set out in RRMP Pol72) of reasonable mixing when PC9 becomes operative'. Delete TANK 22 (e) . Add to TANK 22(e) 'All properties shall connect to the current or planned reticulated stormwater or wastewater network that is within 200 metres of their property boundary' Seek that the concerns over the following 3 issues be addressed please •There are stormwater discharges where reticulated stormwater networks are within 200 metres of their property boundary's •There are stormwater discharges where there are no reticulated stormwater networks within 200 metres of their property boundary's •The issue of 'after reasonable mixing' Delete TANK 22 (c) after occur. Add at TANK 22 (c) after occur – 'at the point of discharge'	Accept in part	16
13.12	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend Policy 51 - ... the Council will establish and consult with an emergency water management group that shall have representatives from Napier City and Hastings District Councils, NZ Fire Service Fire and Emergency New Zealand, DHB, iwi and MPI, to make decisions about providing for water uses in the following priority order; a) water for the maintenance of public health; b) water necessary for the maintenance of animal welfare; c) water essential for community safety, well-being and health; ...	Accept in part	16
43.37	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Amend Change 9 so that transfers of all water permits that have been exercised should be enabled.	Reject	16
46.8	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States accomplishments of PC9: New standards and controls will be in place for managing stormwater.	Accept	16
58.20.	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend the policy to define the discharge zone as the zone of reasonable mixing or equivalent spatial area.	Reject	16

58.21	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Remove the reference to the Stream Ecological Valuation methodology	Reject	16
63.28	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 so that only takes where the existing allocation (as at the date of the Plan becoming operative) will be exceeded or the limit set pursuant to Policy 42, fall to prohibited under Rule 12.	Reject	16
63.35	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 28 to read: a) Local Authorities adopting an integrated catchment management approach to the management, collection, <u>treatment</u> and discharge of stormwater. b) requiring increased retention or detention of stormwater, <u>where necessary to prevent, while not exacerbating the exacerbation</u> of flood hazards. d) taking account sites specific constraints including areas of high groundwater, source protection zones <u>or extents</u> and or an outstanding water body. ... g) amending district plans, standards, codes of practice and bylaws to specify design standards for stormwater reticulation and discharge through consent conditions that will achieve freshwater objectives set out in this plan.	Accept in part	16
63.36	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 30(a) to read: “(i) the 80th percentile level of species protection in receiving waters <u>after reasonable mixing</u> by January 2025. (ii) the 95th percentile level of species <u>in receiving waters after reasonable mixing</u> protection by December 2040.”	Accept	16
63.37	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Policy 31 to read: “(b) consistent plan rules and bylaws ” c) shared information and processes for monitoring and auditing individual site management on sites at high risk of stormwater contamination, <u>including clarification of roles and responsibilities for managing stormwater.</u> ”	Reject	16
63.38	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 19 to clarify the implementation of Condition (b) in relation to what ‘planned reticulation’ is defined as.	Reject	16
63.39	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Clause 7 of Matters for Control/Discretion in TANK 20 to read: “The actual or potential effects of the activity on the quality of source water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies <u>irrespective of treatment</u> ”	Reject	16
63.40	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 20 to add the following matter of discretion: <u>“Where consent is required because TANK 19(b) cannot be met due to a planned reticulation network not being available, conditions requiring connection to the network when that network becomes available.”</u>	Accept	16
63.41	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Conditions in TANK 21 to read: “a)(ii) cause or contribute to flooding of any property <u>except where flooding occurs over a watercourse or designated secondary flow path.</u> a)vi)(v) cause to occur or continue to the destruction or degradation of any habitat, mahinga kai, plant or animal in any water body or coastal water (vi)(vi) Cause to occur or continue to the <u>exceedance of water quality targets for discharge of</u> microbiological contaminants <u>including sewerage, blackwater, greywater or animal effluent</u> “ b)(xi) Where the stormwater network (or part thereof) of discharge locations are situated within a Source Protection Zones of a registered drinking water supply, a description of measures to prevent or minimise adverse effects on the quality of the source water <u>irrespective of treatment</u> ”	Reject	16
63.42	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 22 conditions to read: a) An application for resource consent must include an Urban -Site Specific Stormwater management Plan Schedule 34. ... d)(ii) the <u>exceedance of water quality targets for discharge of</u> microbiological contaminants <u>including sewerage, blackwater, greywater or animal effluent</u>	Accept	16

63.43	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 22, Clause 1 Matters for Control/Discretion to read: 1. the efficacy of the Urban -Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan	Reject	16
63.44	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 22 Clause 3 of Matter for Control/Discretion to read: 3 The actual or potential effects of the activity on the quality of source water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies irrespective of treatment...	Reject	16
63.45	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend TANK 23 to Delete the sole Matter of Control/Discretion referring to Reviews	Reject	16
63.46	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Delete the word "Urban" in the heading to Schedule.	Accept	16
63.47	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the Site Management Plan (SMP) reference wherever it appears in the Plan Change to read: "Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan (SSSMP)"	Accept	16
63.48	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend the 3rd bullet point in (5) to read: - "Source control: methods of good site management <u>including contingency measures in event of a spill or hazardous event.</u> "	Reject	16
66.47	Anthony	Davoren	Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Incorporated	Supports Schedule 34	Accept in part	16
100.5	Stephanie	Murphy	Hawke's Bay Airport	Many Airport supporting activities exceed this footprint and therefore require resource consent under PC9 under the relevant "Stormwater Activity" rules. The rationale for this change has not be clearly articulated or evaluated in terms of <u>section 32 of the Act.</u>	Reject	16
117.6	Alison	Johnston	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Rule TANK 22 - generally supports the conditions/standards/terms of proposed rule Tank 22 to include an Urban Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan for the discharge of stormwater from any industrial or trade premises.	Accept in part	16
119.20	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 20 - Support	Accept in part	16
119.21	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 20 - Support	Accept in part	16
119.22	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rule TANK 22 - Support	Accept in part	16
120.137	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Stormwater policies must address, and achieve, the objectives and achieve the targets in Schedule 26 within the life of the Plan.	Accept	16
120.138	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Support in principle the intent of Policies 28 - 32 but require redrafting to improve clarity	Accept in part	16
120.139	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend to require an integrated catchment management for the Ahuriri (and the Waitangi) Estuary, which specifies a near future date	Reject	16
123.16	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Regulate and manage all stormwater discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 by 2040.	Accept	16
123.28	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Objective B - include as new objective. " <u>Water quality is improved so it is suitable for primary contact, Uu and immersion more often and regional targets are met</u> " or words to similar effect.	Reject	16
123.62	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	28g - "where practicable" should be deleted and "good practice" used at all times. If it is retained in some form, critical habitats (e.g., inanga spawning, wetlands) should also be taken into account at (d). Source control of contaminants in stormwater is the most efficient means by which contaminants to water can be reduced and is supported in principle.	Accept	16
123.63	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Source control of contaminants in stormwater is the most efficient means by which contaminants to water can be reduced and is supported in principle. Source control also considers the material used in new buildings to avoid production of metals and toxins which can contaminate water (e.g., no unpainted or sealed zinc alum roofing or copper downpipes). These specifications should be included as source controls. Temperature of stormwater is also an issue from hard stand areas in summer which should be controlled at source.	Reject	16

123.64	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	The policy needs to refer to maintaining objectives and achieving targets in Schedule 26. Timeframes for improvement of species protection levels by 2025 and 2040 are supported. Why does clause b) makes exception to clause a) – this is confusingly drafted. Both clauses should apply. References to the Stream Ecological Valuation should also refer to avoiding the loss of net stream length and ecosystem health as it is used to inform how much and of what quality any changes to the stream network will result in. This requires clarification.	Accept	16
123.65	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend Policy 31 as: "To ensure stormwater management supports maintaining the objectives and achieving the targets in Schedule 26 by 2040, HBRC, NCC and HDC will, by no later than 1 January 2025, implement an integrated stormwater management approach/plan through: (a-h)..." Or words to similar effect.	Accept	16
123.66	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend Policy 32 as: "HBRC will support the development of an Ahuriri Integrated Catchment Management Plan to be implemented by 1 January 2025 by..." Or words to similar effect.	Reject	16
123.114	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 19 - Include reference to significant adverse effects on aquatic life	Reject	16
123.115	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 21 - Include a condition/standard to exclude stormwater discharges into inanga spawning habitats	Reject	16
123.116	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Rule TANK 22 - Include as a matter of discretion reference to the water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 and inanga spawning habitats.	Accept in part	16
126.22	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 28 to read: The adverse effects of stormwater quality and quantity on aquatic ecosystems and community well-being arising from existing and new urban development (including infill development) industrial and trade premises and associated infrastructure, will be reduced or mitigated no later than 1 January 2025, by: a) Local Authorities adopting an integrated catchment management approach to the collection and discharge of stormwater; b) requiring stormwater to be discharged into a reticulated stormwater network where such a network is available or will be made available as part of the development; c) requiring increased retention or detention of stormwater, while not exacerbating flood hazards; d) <u>having particular regard to significant values of the receiving environment being either a TANK estuarine system, outstanding waterbody or wetland;</u> e) and taking into account site specific constraints including areas with high groundwater, source protection zones, and/or	Accept	16
126.23	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 30 to read: Aquatic ecosystem health improvements and community wellbeing and reduced stormwater contamination will be achieved by HBRC working with the Napier City and Hastings District Councils requiring <u>Require</u> discharges from stormwater networks to meet: a) water quality objectives (where they are degraded by stormwater) and the identification of measures that ensure stormwater discharges will achieve at least: i). the 80th percentile level of species protection in receiving waters by 1 January 2025; and ii). the 95th percentile level ³ of species protection by 31 December 2040. and b) except as in (a) above, the management freshwater objectives in Schedule 26 for freshwater and estuary health through resource consent conditions, including requirements...	Accept	16
126.24	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 32 to read: The Council will support the development of an Ahuriri Estuary Integrated Catchment Management Plan by; a) improving the quality of freshwater entering the Ahuriri Estuary <u>Te Whanganui-a-Orotu (Ahuriri estuary)</u> through the measures included in this plan; and b) carrying out investigations to help better understand processes and functions occurring within the estuary and its connected freshwater bodies, including estuary hydrology functioning and environmental stressors	Reject	16

126.26	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Amend Policy 35 to read: The Council will monitor and report on the effectiveness of the TANK water quality management policies and rules and to assist in making decisions about reviewing or changing this management framework, the Council will: a) continue to monitor instream water quality and review and report on the progress towards and to achieving <u>achievement of the freshwater quality objectives in Schedule 26 and shortterm numerical attribute targets in new Schedule 26AA according to Objectives 2 and 3 of this Plan in its</u> as part of regular State of the Environment monitoring; b) monitor and report...	Accept	16
127.22	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Regulate and manage all stormwater discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 by 2040. Regulate and manage all point source discharges and require them to meet water quality objectives and targets in Schedule 26 by 2040.	Accept	16
129.20.	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 19 - Provide definition for rural buildings	Reject	16
129.21	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 19 - Provide more guidance for what small scale means, by including a threshold for impervious area or a maximum number of lots in a new subdivision	Accept	16
129.22	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 19 - Provide definition for what a planned reticulated stormwater network in clause (b) means and criteria to establish how compliance with the condition can be assessed or delete reference to planned reticulation.	Accept in part	16
129.23	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 20 - Delete reference to industrial areas in activity description.	Reject	16
129.24	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 21 - Amend condition (b) so it states an Integrated Catchment Plan must be prepared and delete following clauses(i) – (xii). Insert a new definition for Integrated Catchment Plan as follows: Integrated Catchment Plan with respect to stormwater management in local authority stormwater networks means a plan that includes: a) Maps showing the spatial extent of the stormwater network b) Identification of the priority streams or catchments where stormwater discharges currently result in receiving water quality below the standards specified in Schedule 26 and the programme of mitigation measures including timeframes and milestones for the enhancement of streams c) A monitoring programme to assess existing stormwater discharge quality and level of impact on receiving water quality standards d) Identification of any industrial or trade sites, that use, store, or produce the discharge of any contaminant of concern (as defined in Table 3.1 of Hawke's Bay Waterway Guidelines Industrial Stormwater Design) and the programme for ensuring Urban Site-Specific Stormwater Management Plans are prepared and implemented so that stormwater quality risks are managed. (Schedule 34) e) Identification of sites within catchments that have a high risk of contaminants entering the stormwater network or land where it might enter surface or groundwater, including areas subject to new urban development and a description of measures to reduce the risks to water quality. f) Identification of areas at risk of flooding, and where levels of service to protect communities from flooding are not being met and a description of how these risks are to be managed, including as a result of climate change or land use change. g) Any measures necessary to ensure discharges do not cause scouring or erosion of land or any water course beyond the point of discharge Maps showing locations of any Source Protection Zone and any additional measures needed to protect source water quality.	Accept	16
129.25	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 21 - Amend Matters 1 so it reads:1. The content and efficacy of the Integrated Catchment Management Plan including, but not limited to: a) Its contribution to achieving water quality objectives b) its implementation programme and milestones, The programme of work and mitigation measures necessary, for preparation of Site-Specific Stormwater Management Plans, aquatic ecosystem improvement, water contamination reduction and flood management including milestones and timeframes. c) The comprehensiveness and reliability of the monitoring regime d) The use of low impact stormwater design methods	Reject	16
129.26	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	TANK 23 - Delete matter	Reject	16

132.122	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Rule TANK 21 - Elevate the activity status for stormwater discharges in the TANK catchments, to restricted discretionary where they are from an urban reticulated stormwater system or discretionary where they discharge to a site, river, or <u>area of cultural significance.</u>	Reject	16
132.123	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add new stormwater Rule 21A- to manage stormwater discharges from tile drainage, Novaflow drainage systems (or similar), and farm drainage systems in the rural areas of the TANK catchments, and stormwater discharges from roadside drains into land or water, as a restricted discretionary activity. Specific wording provided.	Reject	16
132.192	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Restrict use of 90th and 95th percentile measurements for water quality parameters, within and through the implementation of PC9, as some exceedances and therefore their adverse effects can only be noted after they have <u>occurred i.e., after 12 months has expired, when they can no longer be avoided or remedied.</u>	Accept	16
132.196	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add Table 3AA: New minimum flow sites or merge with Table 3A. Table provided.	Reject	16
135.33	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 28 as follows: The adverse effects of stormwater quality and quantity on aquatic ecosystems and community well-being arising from existing and new urban <i>development (including infill development), industrial and trade premises and associated infrastructure, will be reduced or mitigated no later than 1 January 2025, by:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) Local Authorities, in conjunction with HBRC, adopting a <u>consistent and</u> integrated catchment management approach to the collection and discharge of stormwater; • b) requiring stormwater to be discharged into a reticulated stormwater network where such a network is available or will be made available as part of the development; • c) requiring, <u>where feasible</u>, increased retention or detention of stormwater, while not exacerbating <u>ensuring that flood hazards are not exacerbated;</u> • d) taking into account site specific constraints including areas with high groundwater, source protection zones, and/or an outstanding water body; • e) taking into account the collaborative approach of HBRC, Napier City and Hastings District councils in managing urban <i>growth on</i> the Heretaunga Plains as it relates to stormwater management; • f) taking into account the effects of climate change when providing for new and upgrading existing infrastructure; • g) adopting, where practicable, a good practice approach to stormwater management including adoption of Low Impact Design for stormwater systems; h) amending district plans, standards, codes of practice and bylaws to specify design standards for stormwater reticulation and discharge facilities through consent conditions, that will achieve the freshwater quality objectives set out in this plan; i) developing and making available to the public advice about good stormwater management options (including through HBRC's guidelines); j) encouraging, through education and public awareness programmes, greater uptake and installation of measures that reduce risk of stormwater contamination; k) requiring, no later than 1 January 2025 <u>through resource consents</u>, the preparation and implementation of a site management plan and good site management practices on industrial and trade premises with a high risk of stormwater contamination and those in the high priority areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (i) of the Ahuriri catchment; • (ii) of the Karamu River and its tributaries; • (iii) of land over the unconfined aquifer; and 	Reject	16
135.34	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Delete Policy 29 in its entirety. Sources of stormwater contamination and contaminated stormwater will be reduced by:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a) specifying requirements for the design and installation of stormwater control facilities on sites where there is a high risk of freshwater contamination arising from either the direct discharge of stormwater to freshwater, the discharge of stormwater to land where it might enter water or the discharge to a stormwater or drainage network; • b) requiring the implementation of good site management practices on all sites where there is a risk of stormwater contamination arising from the use, or storage of contaminants; • c) controlling, and if necessary avoiding, activities that will result in water quality standards not being able to be met. 	Reject	16

135.35	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Policy 30 as follows: <i>Aquatic ecosystem health improvements and community wellbeing and reduced stormwater contamination will be achieved by HBRC working with the Napier City and Hastings District Councils requiring discharges from stormwater networks to meet:</i> a) water quality objectives (where they are degraded by stormwater) and the identification of measures that ensure stormwater discharges will achieve at least: (i) the 80th percentile level of species protection in receiving waters by 1 January 2025; and (ii) the 95th percentile level3 of species protection by 31 December 2040. and b) except as in (a) above, the management freshwater quality objectives in Schedule 26 for freshwater and estuary health	Accept	16
135.36	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 32 as notified.	Accept in part	16
135.56	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend controlled activity Rule TANK 21 as follows: <i>Conditions/Standards/Terms</i> a) The diversion and discharge shall not: (iv) contain hazardous substances or, be from a site used for the storage, use or transfer of hazardous substances	Reject	16
135.57	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain restricted discretionary activity Rule TANK 22 as notified.	Accept in part	16
135.58	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend discretionary activity Rule TANK 23 as follows: <i>Conditions/Standards/Terms</i> The activity does not comply with Rules TANK 19 to TANK 22 Matters for Control/Discretion The Council may at any time, by written notice to the owner or occupier (following a reasonable period of consultation), review a consent in light of new information that has become available or any change in circumstances that has occurred, and vary any condition of consent as a consequence.	Accept	16
135.66	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Schedule 34 by amending references to all 'Urban Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan' as follows: Urban Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan	Accept	16
180.36	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend as follows: 'The Council will support the development of an Ahuriri Estuary Integrated Catchment Management Plan by <u>a representative group of stakeholders, that includes (but is not limited to) representatives from the primary sector;</u>	Reject	16
180.65	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule TANK 19, 20, 22 & 23 - The term rural building is too broad, and not defined therefore it is very difficult to understand what the impact of these rules will be on horticultural growers, who own many buildings in rural areas. With regards to the wording of Condition b) in TANK 19, unless a reticulated stormwater network is available, then an onsite stormwater discharge must occur – even until a planned network is constructed. Condition b) needs to be amended to reflect this	Reject	16
195.52	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 28 be retained as notified	Accept in part	16

195.53	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 29 be amended as follows: 29. Sources of stormwater contamination and contaminated stormwater <u>discharged into publicly managed stormwater networks in urban and rural residential areas will be reduced, where these are reduceable</u> , by: ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	16
195.54	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 30 be retained as notified	Accept in part	16
195.55	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 31 be retained as notified	Accept	16
195.56	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 32 be retained as notified	Accept	16
195.61	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Policy 39 be deleted: ... b) assess the relative the contribution to stream depletion from groundwater takes and require stream depletion to be off set equitably by consent holders while providing for exceptions for the use of water for essential human health ; and And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	16
195.97	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 19 be retained as notified	Accept in part	16
195.99	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 21 be retained as notified	Accept in part	16
195.100.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 22 be retained as notified	Accept in part	16
195.101	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Rule TANK 23 be retained as notified	Accept in part	16
199.17	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Rule TANK 13 - Supported, subject to amendments to POL 59 & 60 to address concerns about drafting details relating to the 20% Maori/environment reservation.	Reject	16
203.13	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend clauses g, j and k as follows: <i>g. adopting, where practicable, a good practice approach to stormwater management including adoption of Low Impact Design for stormwater systems and <u>suitable mitigation where hazardous substances are stored and used</u>;</i> • <i>encouraging, through education and public awareness programmes, greater uptake and installation of measures that reduce risk of stormwater contamination, <u>including source control</u>;</i> • <i>requiring, no later than 1 January 2025, the preparation and implementation of a site management plan and good site management practices on industrial and or trade premises with a high risk of stormwater contamination and those in the high priority areas:...</i> Clarify that MfE Guideline compliant petroleum industry sites are not high risk, for instance in the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Accept in part	16
203.14	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Recognise the important role of reducing contaminants through source control. This could be achieved by a new clause as follows: <i>d) <u>Council working with the agencies which have roles and responsibility for the management of stormwater and through multi-agency collaboration to lobby central government seeking national measures and industry standards to reduce the discharge of contaminants in stormwater, including zinc and copper from metal roofs, car tyres and brake linings.</u></i>	Reject	16

203.15	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend Policy 30 as follows: <i>30. Aquatic ecosystem health improvements and community wellbeing and reduced stormwater contamination will be achieved by HBRC working with the Napier City and Hastings District Councils requiring discharges from stormwater networks to meet <u>(after reasonable mixing)</u>: ...</i>	Accept in part	16
203.16	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain as notified.	Accept	16
203.25	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend TANK 19(c) as follows to refer to the area used for industrial or trade activity: <i>(c) industrial or trade premise with less than 1,000 m2 of impervious areas used <u>for the storage, use or transfer of hazardous substances</u>;</i> <i>or</i> <i>(c) industrial or trade premise with <u>an industrial or trade activity area</u> less than 1,000 m2 of impervious areas;</i> The Auckland Unitary Plan provides a comprehensive definition of ITA area which could be adopted to provide further explanation of how such an area is calculated. That definition is as follows: <i>The area of land or coastal marine area where a particular industrial or trade activity is being undertaken, which may result in the discharge of environmentally hazardous substances associated with that activity onto or into land or water. The calculation of the industrial or trade activity area must be based upon the following areas:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • all roof areas onto which environmentally hazardous substances generated by the activity are deposited; • all outdoor storage, handling or processing areas of materials and/or products that may contribute to the quality or quantity of environmentally hazardous substance discharges (including occasional or temporary use of areas); • the area at risk from failure of the largest unbanded container used for the activity that may contribute to the quality or quantity of environmentally hazardous substance discharges; and • all areas (including roofs) that contribute runoff to the Industrial or trade activity area. <i>The calculation of the industrial or trade activity area excludes the following areas:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • all areas that discharge lawfully into an authorised trade waste system; • areas that are not used for or affected by the industrial or trade activity; • all indoor or roofed areas which do not discharge onto or into land or water; and • areas used for the storage of inert materials, provided that if suspended solids are generated by the materials and entrained in stormwater, the stormwater from such storage areas is treated in accordance with the best practicable option or is otherwise lawfully authorised. In the alternative, differentiate the pathway for industrial or trade premises by preparation of a risk matrix for the range of industrial or trade activities, reflecting that MfE Guideline compliant sites are not high risk. Amend standard a(iv) so that it does not exclude all hazardous substances and provides for activities that are appropriately regulated, for instance stormwater discharges from petroleum industry sites managed in accordance with the MfE Guidelines, at least for existing lawfully established activities.	Reject	16

203.26	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	<p>Provide a restricted discretionary activity pathway for MfE Guideline compliant discharges that do not comply with TANK 19. This could be achieved by: Amending TANK 20(c) as follows to refer to the area used for industrial or trade activity and reference compliance with codes of practice and guidelines as a matter of discretion: <i>(c) industrial or trade premise with less than 1,000 m2 of impervious areas used for the storage, use or transfer of hazardous substances;</i> <i>or</i> <i>(c) industrial or trade premise with an <u>industrial or trade activity area</u> less than 1,000 m2 of impervious areas;</i></p> <p>The Auckland Unitary Plan provides a comprehensive definition of ITA area which could be adopted to provide further explanation of how such an area is calculated (see above). In the alternative, differentiate the pathway for industrial or trade premises by preparation of a risk matrix for the range of industrial or trade activities, reflecting that MfE Guideline compliant sites are not high risk and should be considered as permitted (via TANK 19) or restricted discretionary (via TANK 20) activities. Add an additional matter for control/discretion as follows: <u>Compliance with relevant codes of practice or guidelines</u></p>	Accept in part	16
203.27	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	TANK 21 - Recognise that stormwater network discharges will almost invariably contain hazardous substances and should be considered on that basis.	Reject	16
203.28	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	TANK 22 - Retain as notified, subject to the amendments sought to TANK 20.	Reject	16
203.29	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	TANK 23 - Retain as notified.	Reject	16
203.30	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Recognise that standardized stormwater management plans for operations which are part of nationwide networks may appropriately manage risk.	Reject	16
207.54	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 30(a) to read: “(i) the 80th percentile level of species protection in receiving waters <u>after reasonable mixing</u> by January 2025. (ii) the 95th percentile level of species <u>in receiving waters after reasonable mixing</u> protection by December 2040.”	Accept	16
207.55	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 31 to read: “b) consistent plan rules and bylaws” c) shared information and processes for monitoring and auditing individual site management on sites at high risk of stormwater contamination, <u>including clarification of roles and responsibilities for managing stormwater.</u> ” ... e) an integrated stormwater catchment management approach, <u>which determines roles and responsibilities for managing stormwater</u> ”	Reject	16

207.56	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 19 to clarify the implementation of Condition (b) in relation to what 'planned reticulation' is defined as.	Reject	16
207.57	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Clause 7 of Matters for Control/Discretion in TANK 20 to read: "The actual or potential effects of the activity on the quality of source water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies irrespective of treatment "	Reject	16
207.58	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 20 to add the following matter of discretion: " Where consent is required because TANK 19(b) cannot be met due to a planned reticulation network not being available, conditions requiring connection to the network when that network becomes available. "	Accept	16
207.59	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Conditions in TANK 21 to read: "a)(ii) cause or contribute to flooding of any property <u>except where flooding occurs over a watercourse or designated secondary flow path.</u> a)(vi)(v) cause to occur or continue to the destruction or degradation of any habitat, mahinga kai, plant or animal in any water body or coastal water (vi)(vi) Cause to occur or continue to the <u>exceedance of water quality targets for discharge of</u> microbiological contaminants <u>including sewerage, blackwater, greywater or animal effluent "</u> b)(xi) Where the stormwater network (or part thereof) of discharge locations are situated within a Source Protection Zones of a registered drinking water supply, a description of measures to prevent or minimise adverse effects on the quality of the source water irrespective of treatment "	Reject	16
207.60	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 22 conditions to read: a) An application for resource consent must include an Urban -Site Specific Stormwater management Plan Schedule 34. ... d)(ii) the <u>exceedance of water quality targets for discharge of</u> microbiological contaminants <u>including sewerage, blackwater, greywater or animal effluent</u>	Accept	16
207.61	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 22, Clause 1 Matters for Control/Discretion to read: 1. the efficacy of the Urban -Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan	Accept	16
207.62	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 22 Clause 3 of Matter for Control/Discretion to read: 3 The actual or potential effects of the activity on the quality of source water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies	Reject	16
207.63	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend TANK 23 to Delete the sole Matter of Control/Discretion referring to Reviews	Reject	16
207.64	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Delete the word "Urban" in the heading to Schedule.	Accept	16
207.65	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the Site Management Plan (SMP) reference wherever it appears in the Plan Change to read: "Site Specific Stormwater Management Plan (SSSMP)"	Accept	16
207.66	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend the 3rd bullet point in (5) to read: - "Source control: methods of good site management <u>including contingency measures in event of a spill or hazardous event.</u> "	Reject	16
207.78	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	The HDC supports the direction towards alignment between District, City and Regional Councils to achieve integrated management for stormwater management, but seeks confirmation around roles and responsibilities, particularly with	Accept in part	16
207.79	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Further refinement of the risk matrix for industrial and trade premises is also sought to appropriately define low, medium and high risk sites. In addition, confirmation of the rule status for medium risk sites is required.	Reject	16
210.49	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to refer to schedule 26 targets.	Accept	16
210.51	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Amend to make it clear that schedule 26 targets apply in all cases (i.e. clause b should not provide exception to clause a), that meeting targets is a requirement, and to refer to avoiding the loss of net stream length and ecosystem health.	Accept	16
210.52	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Consider moving to a 'methods' section. Reword to: "To assist in achieveing the freshwater quality objectives in Schedule 26 of this Plan by 2040, HBRC, with the Napier City and Hastings District Councils will, no later than 1 January 2025... g) undertaking completing a programme of mapping the stormwater networks and recording their capacity "	Accept	16

210.53	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Rework to remove circular nature. Consider moving to a 'methods' section. <u>Amend to include a timeframe.</u>	Reject	16
210.100.	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 19: Amend to include limits and restrictions to address te mana o te wai, and ensure that any adverse effects are no more than minor on ecosystem health, and to refer to schedule 26 objectives/targets	Reject	16
210.101	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 20: Amend the rule for consistence with changes sought to Rule 19.	Reject	16
210.102	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 21: Make restricted discretionary. Include current matters of control as matters of discretion and add impacts on native fish spawning areas.	Reject	16
210.103	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 22: Amend to include reference to schedule 26 and associated timeframes.	Accept in part	16
210.104	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	TANK 23: Amend the rule for consistence with changes sought to Rule 19 to 22.	Reject	16
225.9	Mary	Tukiwaho		This schedule is a joke and needs to be re-address with stronger monitoring, maintenance and penalties to be put in place due to the monitoring system is undermanned for competent and regular maintenance to be of any proven validity. There needs to be a penalty to be put into place as well as the HDC to take full responsibility of the downfalls that have been <u>happening far too long.</u>	Reject	16
229.10.	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	Who is accountable for achieving outcomes in the Ahuriri catchment needs to be addressed and standards ought to be enforceable. We believe that standards should go so far as to prescribe that any discharge into the estuary shouldn't be required to just avoid adverse effects, but should instead be required to have a positive effect.	Reject	16
233.14	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Manage the impact of solid contaminant and debris discharge in stormwater	Reject	16
233.16	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Amend Policy 28 (L) : <u>L) Requiring the management of solid contaminants and debris entering into the Stormwater network, and implementing measures to remove it once instream.</u>	Reject	16
233.17	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Amend Policy 29(c) to: controlling, and if necessary avoiding, activities that will result in water quality standards not being able to be met, <u>or that lead to solid contaminants and debris entering the stormwater network.</u>	Accept	16
233.18	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Add wording to Policy 30 (b): <u>b)(vi) to implement measures that manage solid contaminants and physical debris entering into the stormwater network, and apply measures to remove solid contaminants and physical debris once entered into the stormwater network.</u>	Accept	16
233.19	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	TANK 19 - include the additional wording: Conditions/Standards/Terms a)The diversion and discharge shall not; <u>contain solid contaminants and debris</u>	Reject	16
233.20.	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	TANK 20: include the additional wording: Matters for Control/Discretion <u>12.The management of solid contaminants and debris entering into the Stormwater network, and implementing measures to remove it once instream.</u>	Reject	16
233.21	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	TANK 21: include the additional wording: Conditions/Standards/Terms a)The diversion and discharge shall not; <u>contain solid contaminants and debris</u>	Reject	16

233.22	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	TANK 22: include the additional wording: a)The diversion and discharge shall not; <u>contain solid contaminants and debris</u>	Reject	16
Table 17: Source Protection Zones						
10.13	David	Renouf		Amend Schedule 35 - That the alignment of the Heretaunga Plains Unconfined Aquifer boundary be updated. That the Hawke's Bay Regional Council updates the Schedule maps and includes the <u>full extent</u> of the Heretaunga Plains Unconfined Aquifer in all 'Hawke's Bay Regional Resource Management Plan' Maps – example Schedule IV, Va, Vlb <u>Delay PC9</u> if required so that Sky TEM Aquifer Mapping Project data can be included into PC9 so that there is no need for a separate time wasting and costly process at some latter date.	Reject	17
10.14	David	Renouf		That HBRC and Hastings District Council jointly form up Source Protection Zone 3 for all HDC registered drinking water wells and Source Protection Conjunctive zone, which will comply with the ("Technical Guidelines") Amend Schedule 35 – Add the wording "That 'Registered" drinking water wells that provide small communities with less than 501 people shall have Source Protection Zones." Add to HBRC PC9 – SPZ Map Hastings District Council registered drinking water wells 542, 1658, 16671 at Clive, 473 at Whakatu, 10334 at Omahu	Reject	17
10.22	David	Renouf		That the HBRC and that the Hastings District Council Amend TANK PC9 SPZ Map 1 and Hastings District Council SPZ - 3 Map areas and form up Source Protection Conjunctive Zones.	Reject	17
12.11	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support Policy 6 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	17
12.12	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support Policy 7 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	17
12.13	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support Policy 8 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	17
12.14	Alec	Duncan	Ministry of Education	Support Policy 9 - retain as proposed.	Accept in part	17
14.16	Ryan	Fraser		Rule 1 - Provide that replacement of existing bores within a Source Protection Zone is a Controlled activity.	Reject	17
15.15	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiam Trust	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Provide that replacement of existing bores within a Source Protection Zone is a Controlled activity.	Reject	17
18.7	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
18.18	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in <u>this submission</u> .	Reject	17
20.15	Bruce	Nimon		Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Provide that replacement of existing bores within a Source Protection Zone is a Controlled activity.	Reject	17
26.7	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
26.18	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in <u>this submission</u> .	Reject	17
28.7	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
28.18	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in <u>this submission</u> .	Reject	17

29.10.	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
29.39	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10.	Reject	17
29.44	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	TANK Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
29.56	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Delete clause POL 9.g as is a duplication with a)	Accept	17
34.7	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
34.18	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
39.7	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
39.18	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
41.7	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
41.18	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
46.7	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	States the accomplishments of PC9: A new "source protection scheme" will better protect both Hastings and Napier drinking water from contamination.	Accept	17
48.7	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17

48.18	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
53.7	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
53.18	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
58.41	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Amend to include Fish and Game	Reject	17
63.61	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	No specific decision requested but state support for the spatial definition of Source Protection Zones around the Napier water supply bores	Accept	17
68.7	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
68.18	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
75.7	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
75.18	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
76.7	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
76.18	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
81.7	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
81.18	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
84.7	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
84.18	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17

87.7	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
87.17	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
88.7	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
88.17	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
94.7	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
94.18	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
102.7	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment	Reject	17
102.18	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in	Reject	17
107.7	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment	Reject	17
107.18	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
119.1	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Supports the drinking water source protection provisions.	Accept	17
119.4	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support, noting that the risk of contamination arises from a number of activities, including: (a) on-site sewage disposal (particularly septic tanks) (b) the use, transport and storage of hazardous substances, including hydrocarbon fuels and agrichemicals (c) industrial discharges (d) intensive horticultural and agricultural land uses (e) stormwater discharges (f) landfills and offal holes, and (g) mining and quarrying	Accept	17
119.7	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support	Accept in part	17
119.8	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support, noting that the definition of Registered Drinking Water Supply will be a focus of the Taumata Arowai Establishment Unit and in particular for them to consider how the regulatory arrangements might apply to small suppliers (such as marae and rural/agricultural drinking water suppliers) and ensure these are proportionate to the scale, complexity, and risk profile of supplies.	Accept in part	17
119.9	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support	Accept in part	17
119.10	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support in part - support intent of this policy while suggesting that the wording is amended by removing reference to all agencies by name, given the prospective changes to roles and responsibilities under the upcoming three waters reforms.	Accept in part	17
119.11	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Support, noting that the findings from the HBRC SkyTem Study may better inform the methodology options once they are received. See also our commentary on the source protection zone maps	Accept in part	17

119.12	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	Rules relating to installation or decommissioning of bores (Rules 1-4) - Support in part Where the bore is in a Source Protection Zone we seek that the provision of evidence of compliance criteria should be provided as a requirement and not just "on request".	Reject	17
119.23	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	The Taumata Arowai - Water Services Regulator Bill has had its third reading in Parliament and is awaiting Royal Assent for enactment. The definition of drinking water supplier in the legislation includes a person who supplies drinking water to consumers through a drinking water supply. In other words anyone other than a domestic self-supplier will be a registered drinking water supplier and will need to be considered in the application of the source protection rules.	Accept in part	17
119.24	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	We support: •The proposition of mapping source protection zones •The notification of the Hawke's Bay Regional Council model •The ability to update the zones during the Hearings process should additional information become available	Accept in part	17
120.9	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include directive provisions that introduce (over the 10 year life of the Plan) a new system of allocation of water in the TANK catchments that does not rely exclusively on "first in, first served" and "grandparenting"; and that enables allocation of water in a way that provides for tikanga, whakapapa, recognition of rangatiratanga and Ngati Kahungunu's native title and proprietary interests in the TANK catchments and wider sustainable management.	Accept in part	17
120.140.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to include the SPZ maps	Accept	17
120.141	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	That a precautionary approach is taken with regard to SPZs including use of the risk based modelling approach for the identification of any future SPZs and inclusion of accurate and comprehensive SPZ maps for the Napier and Hastings Urban Supplies.	Reject	17
123.31	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Retain as notified.	Accept	17
123.45	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Policy 6 - Source protection zones need to be clearly identified in Schedule 28.	Reject	17
129.1	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	Delete clause (g)	Accept	17
129.28	Ceri	Edmonds	Hawke's Bay Regional Council	RRMP 2 - Amend matter (f) to clarify that notification is a consent holder advising a water supply manager (not notification of the consent application).	Accept	17
132.100.	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide an updated schedule or map for Drinking Water Source Protection Zones, and append a separate table and map identifying the townships/settlements of Omahu, Bridge Pa, Whakatu, Waipatu, Clive and Haumoana. Include elevated protection provisions in PC9 for domestic water supplies from groundwater in these communities. Extend the boundaries for Zone 3 to include substantially more area of the unconfined aquifer, and conjunctive and recharge zones.	Reject	17
132.133	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Expand the area of the Drinking Water Source Protection Zone (Zone 3) so it encompasses a larger area. Boundaries provided.	Reject	17
135.8	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain OBJ TANK 9 as notified.	Accept	17
135.21	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Policy 6 as notified.	Accept in part	17
135.67	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Retain Schedule 35 as notified, including the reference to the "Registered Drinking Water Supply Protection Zone map layers on the HBRC website", And, amend this 'map layer' reference, and all associated references, by clearly stating that the maps are 'not planning	Reject	17
135.69	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Amend Map 2 to reflect the extent of the Awototo Water Source Protection Zone required to manage the potential risks to the Napier drinking water supply.	Reject	17
165.7	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17

165.18	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in	Reject	17
179.7	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
179.18	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in <u>this submission.</u>	Reject	17
180.23	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding as subsection (b) ' <u>requiring Registered Drinking Water Suppliers to quantify the vulnerability of the registered drinking water supply to contamination, and then undertake an assessment of options to relocate existing drinking water supplies to less vulnerable locations.</u> '	Reject	17
180.24	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding subsection e) as follows: <u>require applications to include an assessment of the vulnerability of the location to contaminants from existing activities, and sites that are vulnerable are avoided where possible.</u>	Reject	17
180.25	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Amend by adding an additional subsection to b) as follows: <u>nature of existing land and water use within Source Protection Zone, existing investment in those activities, and the specific locational needs of those activities.</u>	Accept	17
188.1	Donna	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Provide for purified drinking water in all households of Bridge Pa	Reject	17
191.2	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Drinking water to be free of pollution, chlorine, fluorine and is safe to drink that is restored in every household of Bridge Pa	Reject	17
194.16	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PC9 may also need to be amended to reflect the Water Services Bill released 29 July 2020, which may alter the approach of PC9 in relation to Registered Drinking Water Supply and Source Protection Zones.	Accept	17
194.22	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	OBJ TANK 9 should be revised so that it is expressed as an outcome statement that responds to an identified resource management issue.	Accept	17
194.111	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Clarification on how activities in SPZ's are to be regulated given that the SPZ area are subject to change. Clarification on how/if PC9 would incorporate a potential change to the National Environmental Standard for Sources of Human Drinking Water. Paragraph 2 of Schedule 35 should be amended to remove reference to 'the maps showing the spatial extent of these areas are shown below' as it is understood that these SPZ maps excluded from PC9.	Accept	17
195.7	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend to remove references to assessment of 'actual or potential effects' of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies in Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10	Reject	17
195.25	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That OBJ TANK 9 be retained as notified	Accept	17
195.34	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 6 to read: ... b) regulating activities within Source Protection Zones that may actually or potentially affect the quality of the source water or present a risk to the supply of safe drinking water <u>taking account of the proximity and intensity of other water abstraction activities and discharges to the Drinking Water Supply abstraction point</u> because of; c) <u>recognising existing lawfully established water supply sources and lawfully established land uses located within areas that are subject to applications for source protection for small scale drinking water supplies or Source Protection Zones</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17

195.35	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 7 to read: ... d) (iii) the level of consultation with land owners <u>and existing water permit holders and discharge consent holders in the Source Protection Zone (or proposed Source Protection Zone).</u> d) (iv) <u>the proximity and intensity of other water abstraction activities and discharges when determining the level of risk to the Drinking Water Supply</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.36	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 8 to read: The Council will, when considering <u>proposals to discharge contaminants or carry out land or water use activities in resource consent applications, or applicable Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collective Plans or Industry Programmes</u> to discharge contaminants or carry out land or water use activities within: ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept	17
195.102	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 1 - Bore Drilling, be amended as follows: Conditions/Standards/Terms ... b. The <u>proposed new bore</u> is not located within a Source Protection Zone ... <u>Advice note:</u> <u>This rule does not apply to existing lawfully established bores and water supplies that are situated within an area subject to application for small scale drinking water supplies or Source Protection Zones</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns	Reject	17
195.103	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 2 - Bore drilling that does not comply with Rule 1, be amended as follows: Matters for Control/Discretion ... f. In the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments, the actual or potential effects of the <u>proposed new bore</u> and bore drilling on the quality of source water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies and any measures to reduce the risk to the water quality including notification requirements to the Registered Drinking Water supplier, the maintenance of the bore and the well head, including decommissioning the bore where necessary. ... And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.104	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the proposed amendment (clause f.) to RRMP Rule 4 - Decommissioning of bores, be deleted as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms ... f. Where the bore is in a Source Protection Zone, information to confirm compliance with conditions (a) to (d) shall be provided to the Council upon request And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.105	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 5 - Feed lots & feedpads, be amended as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms ... e. The Any new feedpad or feedlot is not located in a Source Protection Zone And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17

195.107	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendment (new Clause h.) to RRMP Rule 12 – Stock feed, be deleted as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms ... h. Where the activity is in a Source Protection Zone, information to confirm compliance with conditions (a) to (g) shall be provided to the Council upon request. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.109	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 14 – Animal effluent, be amended as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms ... h. The activity <u>The discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into production land, which is associated with any new conversion to a new type of farming, that is arising from the management of liquid animal effluent, including dairy shed effluent, piggery effluent, and poultry farm effluent, including associated sludges (except as provided for by Rules 13 & 15)</u> is not in a Source Protection Zone And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.110.	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RRMP Rule 15 – Discharge of animal effluent in sensitive catchments, be amended as follows: ... <u>Or any discharge of animal effluent resulting from any new conversion of farm to a different type of farming in any Source Protection Zone</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.115	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That proposed amendments to RULE 37 – New sewage systems, be amended as follows: ... Conditions/Standards/Terms ... s. <u>The activity is not located in a Source Protection Zone, unless it is for a sewage system that is replacing an existing system with the same (or worse) sewage treatment and disposal characteristics (in which case such replacement sewage treatment system shall be permitted)</u> And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
195.149	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Schedule 35 be amended so that: Provisions for drinking water source protection be amended to recognise that the risk of contamination of drinking water supplies is not uniform across the entire area of each provisional Water Source Protection Zone, and that factors such as: •the distance/proximity of other land use activities to each drinking water supply abstraction point; and •specific characteristics of various potential contaminant pathways entering the source water may reduce contaminants in source water (such as subsoil nitrification and denitrification processes) that, can reduce the level of risk of contamination of source water. And that the associated maps for provisional source water protection zones be re-drawn accordingly. And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Reject	17
199.7	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
199.18	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: “c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned.” or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17

203.4	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend to clarify that the objective is to protect source water <i>Activities in source protection areas for Registered Drinking Water Supplies are managed to ensure that they do not cause <u>source</u> water in these zones to become unsuitable for human consumption, and that risks to the supply of safe drinking water are appropriately managed.</i>	Accept	17
203.8	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	17
203.9	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend 7d)iii) as follows: d)iii) the level of consultation with land owners and occupiers in the Source Protection Zone.	Accept	17
203.10	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Amend b)vi) to specifically reference codes of practice and guidelines: <i>vi. the effectiveness of any mitigation measures to avoid or mitigate risk of contaminants entering the source water and the extent to which the effectiveness of the mitigation measure can be verified, <u>including with regard to relevant codes of practice and guidelines</u>;</i>	Accept	17
203.11	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Require consideration of source control at 9a and delete clause 9g which appears to effectively be a duplication of 9a. <i>a. implement a multi-barrier approach to the delivery of safe drinking water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies, through the consideration of <u>source control</u>, source protection measures, water treatment and supply distribution standards;</i> <i>g. implement a multi-barrier approach to the delivery of safe drinking water for Registered Drinking Water Supplies, through the consideration of source protection measures, and water treatment and supply standards.</i>	Accept in part	17
203.31	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Retain as notified.	Accept	17

204.7	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
204.18	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
207.38	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Supports OBJ 9	Accept	17
207.39	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 6(b) to read: (i) Direct or indirect discharge of a contaminant to the source water including by overland flow <u>and/or</u> percolation to groundwater (iv) Shortening or quickening the connection between contaminants and the source water, including damage to a confirming layer <u>of the aquifer</u> "	Accept	17
207.40	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Include SPZs as part of the Regional Plan or provide confirmation as to the ability to implement the regulatory provisions of the TANK Plan change.	Reject	17
207.41	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 8 to read: (v) any risks to the proposed landuse, <u>water takes</u> or discharge activity has either on its own or in combination with other existing activities as a result of non-routine event. (vi) <u>any risks ensuring the water supplier is aware</u> of any abstraction of groundwater where abstraction has the potential to have more than a minor impact on flow direction and speed and/or hydrostatic pressure (viii) <u>outcomes of consultation with the Registered Drinking Water Supplier with respect to the risks to source water from the activity, including measures to minimise risk and protocols for notification to the Registered Drinking Water Supplier in the event of an event which would present a risk to source water.</u> "	Accept	17
207.42	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Support but delete clause (g).	Accept	17
207.46	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Support subject to amending the rules to fully incorporate the recommendations of the JWG, specifically: •Amend activity description of Rule 1 and Rule 2 to include bore use and maintenance •Delete "upon request" for Rule 4f •Add "Measures to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the quality of the source water used for a Registered Drinking Water Supply, irrespective of any treatment process for the Registered Drinking Water Supply" as a matter of discretion to Rule 7 and Rule 40 •Delete "upon request" for Rule 12h Amend Rules 16, 48, and 49 to exclude activities within SPZs Not all of the recommended amendments have been incorporated into the notified TANK Plan Change. HDC supports the recommendations of the JWG for amendments to the Regional Plan Rules and seeks that the	Out of scope	17
207.49	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend paragraph 5 of Schedule 35 by adding the words in bold italics as follows: "The location <u>and spatial extent</u> of a Source Protection Zone around a Registered Drinking Water Supply are to be determined <u>using appropriate technical guidance provided via any relevant National Environmental Standard, National Policy Statement or technical guidance document endorsed by the Ministry for the Environment, or</u> using site specific information listed in Table 2 below and according to the minimum requirements for the relevant population in Table 3."	Accept	17
207.50	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Add to Schedule 35 the following or similar: "For avoidance of doubt, the term "Source Protection Zone" or "SPZ" in this Plan includes provisional SPZs and SPZs defined in accordance with this Schedule"	Accept	17
207.51	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Matters of Consideration in relevant rules to include <i>Source Protection Extents</i> (i.e. to make these considerations explicit for activities which already require a consent, but are located in the source protection area for smaller supplies)	Accept	17

207.52	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	<p>Include SPZs Maps as part of the Regional Plan or provide confirmation as to the ability to implement the regulatory provisions of the TANK Plan change.</p> <p>Add all SPZs Maps as attached to this submission for the Hastings supplies as part of the Regional Plan. Specifically,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Hastings Urban (Eastbourne, Frimley, Wilson & Portsmouth Road); •Brookvale (noting that this is to be removed as a primary supply once upgrade works are complete, however HDC is currently reviewing whether or not it needs to be maintained for a backup supply); •Omahu •Whakatu •Waipatu •Haumoana (Palomino Road) •Clive (Tuckers Lane & Ferry Road) 	Reject	17
207.67	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	TANK Plan Change needs to ensure that it is not inconsistent with the legislative requirements and regulatory framework for source water protection. The specific wording and provisions may need to be amended as the Water Services Bill process progresses.	Accept	17
207.77	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	The HDC supports the intent of Policy 7 and Schedule 35 relating to the spatial extent of the source protection areas for Registered Drinking Water Supplies but seeks to ensure that these are legally robust, provide certainty for water suppliers and plan users alike, and provides adequate protection of source water from the time the provisions become operative.	Accept	17
208.7	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17
208.14	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
208.16	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10.	Reject	17
210.30	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Retain. Consider mapping source protection zones in Schedule 28.	Accept in part	17
213.18	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in	Reject	17
225.10	Mary	Tukiwaho		This schedule is also a joke especially when HDC and HBRC does not take full responsibility of their bad management ?this is putting it nicely? for the despicable way the drinking water supply now has bleach in it to mask the quality of bad water.	Reject	17
233.7	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Reword as follows: Activities in source protection zones (and extents) for Registered Drinking Water Supplies are managed to ensure that they do not cause water in these zones to become unsuitable for human consumption with existing treatment levels, and that risks to the secure supply of safe drinking water are appropriately managed.	Reject	17
233.11	Dr Nicholas	Jones	Hawke's Bay District Health Board (HBDHB)	Extend the definition of Water Source Protection Zone to all registered water supplies serving 25 persons or more.	Reject	17
238.7	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend Policies 6, 7 and 8 - Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10. Address risks via Farm Environment Plans, Catchment Collectives and Industry Programmes.	Reject	17

238.14	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Amend RRMP Rule 1 - Add a Condition to 6.3.1 Rule 1 reading: "c. The bore is located within a Source Protection Zone but is a replacement for an existing bore that will be decommissioned." or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission.	Reject	17
238.19	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	Remove the references to assessment of actual or potential effects of activities in the SPZs on Registered Drinking Water Supplies from Rules TANK 4/5/6/9/10.	Reject	17

Table 18.1: Support others submission

15.3	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
18.3	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
19.1	John	Palmer		Support the Ngaruroro Irrigation Society's view that there should be no reduction in the rates of take.	Accept in part	18.1
20.3	Bruce	Nimon		SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
23.6	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
26.3	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
28.3	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
32.6	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
34.3	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
35.6	Colin	Campbell		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission or Beef and Lamb and Federated Farmers submission, where they align with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
37.6	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
38.6	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
39.3	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
41.3	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
42.6	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
43.6	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
44.6	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
48.3	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
49.6	John	Parsons		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
52.1	Laura	Kamau	Ngāti Poporo - Korongatā Marae	Support the submissions made by Te Runanga o Heretaunga, Te Mana Taiao, Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga, Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust and Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated in relation to this plan.	Accept in part	18.1
53.3	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
54.6	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1

55.1	Delia	Ropiha	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te upokoiri	Support the submission of the whanau and Haapu and organisations of Ngati Hinemanu Ngati Te Upokoiri ona Piringa Haapu authority, TeTaiwhenua O Heretaunga, Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Inc, Ngati Hinemanu Iwi.	Accept in part	18.1
57.1	Melanie	Nuku		Support the submissions of the whanau hapu, organisations of Ngati Hinemanu iwi me Ngati Kahungunu ink me ona piringa hapu. Mauri ora.	Accept in part	18.1
61.6	Greg	Simpson		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
62.6	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
63.54	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Supports the submission by HDC seeking a softening of the approach for new water consent requests by adding in an 'exceptional circumstances' policy	Accept in part	18.1
64.3	Owen	Tiopira	Hinemanu, Ngai Tuhoe	Supports the submissions of the whanau and hapu and organisations of Ngati Hinemanu	Accept in part	18.1
65.3	Nadia	Staples	Mahuika, Ngati Here, Hinemanu	Supports the submissions of all whanau hapu/iwi organisations within Kahungunu regarding awa/waterways	Accept in part	18.1
68.3	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
69.6	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
71.6	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
72.6	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
73.6	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
75.3	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
76.3	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
77.6	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
78.1	Ben & Georgia	Humphrey		Support the submission filed by Beef and Lamb NZ.	Accept in part	18.1
78.2	Ben & Georgia	Humphrey		Support the Horticulture NZ submission.	Accept in part	18.1
79.6	Richard	Penreath		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
81.3	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
83.12	Jim	Galloway		Support Federated Farmers' submission in other matters.	Accept in part	18.1
84.3	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
85.9	Matthew	Truebridge		Support sheep and beef submission.	Accept in part	18.1
86.6	Peter	Scott		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
87.3	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
88.3	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
91.5	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
94.3	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
95.6	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1

96.6	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
97.6	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand and New Zealand Apples and Pears submissions	Accept in part	18.1
98.2	David	France	Caitbridge Trust	Supports the Ngaruroro Irrigation Society Inc submission.	Accept in part	18.1
99.32	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Where this submission aligns with Horticulture New Zealand's submission, we support that submission.	Accept in part	18.1
102.3	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
103.2	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
104.6	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
105.6	Scott	Lawson		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
106.1	Kane	Koko	Taraia Marae	We support in their entirety the Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust, Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga and Ngati Kahungunu Iwi submissions	Accept in part	18.1
107.3	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
110.6	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
111.20.	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Intention to fully support my fellow hapuu members submissions	Accept in part	18.1
122.6	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
137.2	Ngatai	Huata		Summary of evidence submitted, and proposed resolutions of that summary seques across from other submissions: Pa Waipatu Marae, Te Manaaki Taiao, Te Runanganui o Heretaunga, Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga, Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Inc.	Accept in part	18.1
143.3	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
144.1	Jamie	Wheeler		Fully support Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated submission and the submissions from the people of Heretaunga marae and their recommendations to make the TANK plan a more sustainable way forward. Their values, recommendations and view of things should be included because they are the kaitiaki of this rohe.	Accept in part	18.1
147.1	Serene	Morrell	Mihiroa Marae	Support in their entirety the Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust, Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga and Ngati Kahungunu Iwi submissions.	Accept in part	18.1
165.3	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
179.3	Wim	Barendsen	Otawahao Farms Ltd	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
181.2	Derek	Huata	Takitimu Māori Council	Supports submissions of Hira Huata, Adele White & Ngaio Teuka, Jenny Mauer, Marei Nepe-Apatu, and Robert NcDonald	Accept in part	18.1
183.1	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Acknowledges the submissions of Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust, Heretaunga Taiwhenua, and Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Accept in part	18.1
184.2	Cordry	Tawa Huata	Mangaroa Marae Trustees and Mangaroa Marae Committee	Supports submissions of Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust, the Heretaunga Taiwhenua and Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Accept in part	18.1
185.6	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
186.6	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
193.14	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Where this submission aligns with submissions made by Winegrowers and Horticulture New Zealand, Heinz Wattie's supports those submissions.	Accept in part	18.1
196.6	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand and New Zealand Apples and Pears submissions	Accept in part	18.1

199.3	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
202.5	Donna	Awatere Huata	Māori Climate Commission	Supports the recommendations made by the Heretaunga Settlement Trust and urges staff and Councillors to set aside the Pakeha lens that currently provides an advantage to those who have water over those who do not and to those who pollute over those who suffer from that pollution.	Accept in part	18.1
204.3	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
206.2	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Supports submissions made by Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated and Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Accept in part	18.1
207.53	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Amend Policy 28 to read: a) Local Authorities adopting an integrated catchment management approach to the management, collection, <u>treatment</u> and discharge of stormwater. b) requiring increased retention or detention of stormwater, <u>where necessary to prevent, while not exacerbating the exacerbation</u> of flood hazards. d) taking account sites specific constraints including areas of high groundwater, source protection zones <u>or extents</u> and or an outstanding water body. ... g) amending district plans , standards, codes of practice and bylaws to specify design standards for stormwater reticulation and discharge through consent conditions that will achieve freshwater objectives set out in this plan.	Accept in part	18.1
208.3	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
211.6	Brian	McLay		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
213.3	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
214.6	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
215.6	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
217.5	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
218.6	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
219.6	Michael & Julie	Russell		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
220.6	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
221.6	WT	Scott		No specific decisions requested but states support for the Horticulture New Zealand submission, where it aligns with this submission.	Accept in part	18.1
223.8	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	We support the submissions of the whanau, hap u, organisations of Ngati Hinemanu me Ngai Te Upokoiri me nga Piringa Hapu Authority, Te Taiwheuna o Heretaunga, Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Inc and associated whanau / hapu.	Accept in part	18.1
224.1	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	We strongly support the Hawke's Bay Winegrowers submission.	Accept in part	18.1
224.2	Peter	Holley	Mission Estate Winery	Supports the Hawke's Bay Wine Growers and the overall intent of PC9.	Accept in part	18.1
225.12	Mary	Tukiwaho		Support ALL the submissions submitted by whanau, tangata whenua o Ngati Kahungunu, hapu, Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga and Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Inc.	Accept in part	18.1
228.1	Tania	Huata Kupa		I tautoko the previous korero from our chairman Peter MacGregor and the submission from the writer Marei Apatu of Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga on behalf of Te Manaaki Taiao and Te Runanganui	Accept in part	18.1
238.3	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS proposed by Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc. in their submission dated 14 August 2020.	Accept in part	18.1
241.1	Penny and John	Reynolds		Support the proposals in the Ngaruroro Irrigation Society and endorse submissions by Horticulture NZ and Beef + Lamb NZ.	Accept in part	18.1

Table 18.2: Consequential amendments

3.12	Gavin	Yort	Limestone Properties Limited	Any consequential amendments required to other parts of PC 9 as a result of submission points 3.1 - 3.11.	Accept in part	18.2
8.29	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Any consequential amendments required to other parts of PC9 as a result of the relief relating to submission points 8.1-8.28.	Accept in part	18.2
8.52	Rengasamy	Balasubramaniam	Delegat Limited	Any consequential amendments to other parts of PC9 as a result of the specified relief sought in submission points 8.30 - 8.51.	Accept in part	18.2
13.1	Alec	Duncan	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Amend the provisions of PC9 by making the changes set out in submission points 13.2 - 13.14, including any further or consequential relief that may be necessary to address the matters raised in this submission.	Accept in part	18.2
23.7	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
32.7	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
35.7	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
36.3	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	In respect of both the general, and particular submissions, all associated, consequential or other relief relevant to the matters raised is sought.	Accept in part	18.2
37.7	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
38.7	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
42.7	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
43.7	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
44.7	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
49.7	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
54.7	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
58.2	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Seeks amendments to Plan Change 9 or any such similar, other, further, and /or consequential relief to give effect to this submission	Accept in part	18.2
61.7	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
62.7	Jonty	Moffett		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
69.7	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
71.7	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
72.7	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
73.7	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
77.7	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
79.7	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
82.15	Trevor	Robinson	Lowe Corporation Limited	Seeks such further, more refined, additional, other or alternative relief that might give effect to this submission and/or better serve the overall objectives of the regional plan and the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991.	Accept in part	18.2
86.7	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2

91.6	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
95.7	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
96.7	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
97.7	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
99.33	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	There are likely to be consequential amendments arising from the submission points that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
100.6	Stephanie	Murphy	Hawke's Bay Airport	Any consequential amendments required to other parts of PC9 as a result of the above relief.	Accept in part	18.2
104.7	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
105.7	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
110.7	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
119.2	Liz	Lambert	Hawke's Bay Drinking Water Governance Joint Committee	The Regional Policy Statement includes an objective of <i>no degradation of existing groundwater quality in the Heretaunga Plains and Ruataniwha Plains aquifers</i> (OBJ 21) and that this objective remains unchanged by Plan Change 9. Any amendments to the relevant objectives and policies in Plan Change 9 must not be inconsistent with this overarching objective.	Accept in part	18.2
120.1	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Plan Change 9 to address all of the issues, effects, general and specific relief, and consequential relief Identified by our submission and appendices	Accept in part	18.2
120.77	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Undertake thorough editing and legal vetting of the provisions in order that grammatical, syntax, scope and intent/responsibility issues are reduced and consistent across the plan and to ensure that all consequential amendments are implemented including those from Plan Change 5 which appear to be excluded.	Accept in part	18.2
122.7	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
124.33	Bridget	Margerison	Brownrigg Agriculture Group Ltd	Any consequential amendments required to other parts of PC9 as a result of the relief sought in this submission.	Accept in part	18.2
126.38	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Such further or other consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to the relief sought in this submission.	Accept in part	18.2
132.194	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Make any other consequential amendments to proposed PC9, to ensure consistency and coherency with the relief sought through Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga's submissions, and to give effect to the NPS-FM, and the operative RPS.	Accept in part	18.2
138.7	Marei	Apatu		Make any consequential changes to PC9 to give effect to the relief sought through my submission.	Accept in part	18.2
141.11	Danny	Angland	Kereru Station	In regards to all other submissions, we support the proposed plan change as notified, and seek that those provisions be retained as notified. That any consequential amendments be made to give effect to the relief sought in this submission, or as otherwise necessary to address our concerns.	Accept in part	18.2
142.2	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Relief sought includes consequential amendments to other parts of the plan change arising out of the specific relief requested.	Accept in part	18.2
180.13	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Likely to be consequential amendments arising from the specific amendments that are being sought that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
185.7	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
186.7	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
193.1	Bruce	Mackay	Heinz Wattie's Limited	Seeks any consequential amendments arising out of the relief as sought.	Accept in part	18.2
194.17	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Such other, further, consequential or alternative amendments as may be appropriate to address concerns.	Accept in part	18.2
196.7	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
210.1	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Forest & Birds relief sought includes all similar and consequential relief to address these submissions.	Accept in part	18.2

211.7	Brian	McLay		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
214.7	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
215.7	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
217.6	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
218.7	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
219.7	Michael & Julie	Russell		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
220.7	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
221.7	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but notes that there are likely to be consequential amendments arising from other decision requests that may affect the whole plan.	Accept in part	18.2
222.7	Owen Jerry	Häpuku		Make any consequential changes to Plan Change 9 to give effect to the relief sought through my submission.	Accept in part	18.2
Table 18.3: Operative RRMP						
10.11	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Rule 37 - At k delete after 2008)) After 2008)) Add 'at the point of discharge' Delete O. Add to O. 'The discharge of wastewater shall not exceed – at the outlet – discharge point •BOD ₅ 8mg/L •Total suspended Solids 10mg/L* See reference from RRMP POL 18 •Total Nitrogen 25 mg/L' Add 'Where the discharge of wastewater is likely to enter any water the discharge of wastewater shall not exceed 200 Faecal coliforms per 100mL at the outlet.	Out of scope	18.3
10.18	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Policy 72A(1) - Add (c) That when a discharge of stormwater and road run off is into freshwater or groundwater there needs to be all the fundamental freshwater parameters with maximum limits in the discharge permit.	Out of scope	18.3
10.19	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Policy 76A(1) Add (c) That when a discharge of stormwater and road run off is into freshwater or groundwater there needs to be all the fundamental freshwater parameters with maximum limits in the discharge permit.	Out of scope	18.3
10.20.	David	Renouf		Amend RRMP Policy 78A(1)(b) - Add (c) 'Where possible positive artesian be maintained under abstraction conditions'	Reject	18.3
50.21	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	RRMP Rule 67- Strongly oppose the limits set on permitted dams. Consider the parameters to be unnecessarily constraining. If we comply with maintenance of minimum average flows in these areas such that downstream is	Out of scope	18.3
51.9	Ivan	Knauf	Wairua Dairies Ltd	Amend RRMP Rule 67 - We suggest a change of wording: Where the volume of water to be stored or retained by the structure to spill levels exceeds 20,000 m3.	Out of scope	18.3
58.34	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game	Opposes Rule 70 in its entirety, and wishes to see such works fall to the default discretionary activity standard.	Out of scope	18.3
116.6	Alexander John	Macphee		RRMP Rule 67 (c) - This rule needs revisiting, with a suggestion that the rule is changed to read "upstream bed to the crest shall be no greater than 4m"	Out of scope	18.3
120.62	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend RRMP Policy 77 (c) to remove the word "efficient" and the footnote reference is warranted.	Out of scope	18.3
120.89	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	There is a need for Council to outline any necessary changes to the Regional Coastal Environment Plan (RCEP) in order to ensure integration and alignment between PC9 and the RCEP ki uta ki tai.	Out of scope	18.3
120.90.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Council should outline and commit to a comprehensive review of the RRMP and RCEP, and consider adjourning Change 9 pending the wider review	Out of scope	18.3
120.142	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	There are numerous provisions within the RRMP that are better than the proposed policies., and Ngati Kahungunu wish to retain these. Clarity needs to be provided to ensure the best possible provision prevails.	Reject	18.3
120.177	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule VI to: Delete RPS Policy 46 and Rule 49	Out of scope	18.3

120.178	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule VIa to: Retain RPS Policy 57 Delete Rule 50 Add RPS Policy 35 and RPS Policy 43	Out of scope	18.3
120.179	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Schedule VIb to: Retain RPS Policy 20 Add Policies 8, 17, 19, and 47 Retain Rule 15.	Out of scope	18.3
120.180.	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Include overlays of Schedules Va, VI, VIa and VIb in proposed maps	Out of scope	18.3
123.119	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	RRMP Rule 62 - Change to: e) The transfer shall not cause any reduction in the flow or level of a surface water body connected to groundwater Add to the list of adverse effects that a transfer shall not cause: • Seawater intrusion • Adverse effects on groundwater dependent ecosystems • Adverse effects on structures as a result of subsidence groundwater abstraction and uplift / liquefaction from groundwater injection / recharge.	Out of scope	18.3
123.120.	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	RRMP Rule 67 - Include provisions to maintain and/or improve fish passage as conditions/standards/terms 67h - clarification	Out of scope	18.3
132.9	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Reinstate Objectives 42 and 43 as being applicable to the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System and any other aquifers (groundwater) OR Include an alternative objective with like meaning and intent.	Out of scope	18.3
132.21	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Reference or integrate RRMP Schedules V, VI, VIa and VIb more with Change 9	Out of scope	18.3
132.32	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend references in operative Schedules VI, VIa, VIb. Specific changes provided.	Out of scope	18.3
132.34	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure operative RPS policies 35 and 43, are given effect to in PC9.	Accept in part	18.3
132.35	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Corrections/amendments to operative Schedules Va, VI and VIa, and VIb and their inclusion in, and appropriate consideration for their content and intent, in proposed PC9 Schedules	Out of scope	18.3
132.36	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include overlays of the mapped areas from the above schedules in the PC9 schedules where relevant.	Out of scope	18.3
132.69	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delete any reference to "productive" in relation to the HPAS and other aquifers in the TANK catchments.	Accept in part	18.3
132.88	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend Schedule Va in the RRMP so that water quality rules and policies, and decision making processes for groundwater takes and land use activities in PC9 that apply to the unconfined aquifer and land above it, are referenced in this schedule, and ensure that operative provisions in the RPS and RRMP that are not being amended by PC9 but that also apply to the unconfined part of the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System, are integrated with provisions in PC9.	Out of scope	18.3
132.89	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure objectives, policies, methods and schedules in proposed PC9 that inform management, or control of the taking of groundwater and the effects of such taking from within the areas outlined in operative Schedule VI, are referenced in, or cognisant of, this schedule and associated rules/policies, and superimpose these water-short areas on the map in proposed Schedule 31-D Karamu Freshwater Management Unit - Quantity.	Out of scope	18.3
132.92	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Acknowledge the water-short areas in Schedule VI in PC9 water allocation regimes, policies and methods for the Karamu catchment, and the lower Maraekakaho subcatchment	Out of scope	18.3
132.94	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Amend the rule and policy references in Schedules VI and VIa in the operative RRMP as requested through these submissions so that the correct plan provisions are referenced in these schedules. Add new rules and policies from PC9 that apply a management function to these mapped areas, to these schedules.	Out of scope	18.3
132.95	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add Tutaekuri headwaters to a new version of Schedule VIb. Provide spatial definition of the Tutaekuri River headwaters as referred to in operative Rule 15 and New Rule 11A and specify where these are in proposed Schedule 26-A - Tutaekuri Surface Water Management Unit.	Out of scope	18.3

132.96	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include new version of Schedule VIb in proposed PC9, with reference to PC9 objectives, policies and methods that manage nutrients, fertilisers, nitrogen (and nitrogen leaching), animal effluent and intensive grazing activities (including <u>breakfeeding and winter grazing</u>) in sensitive catchments.	Out of scope	18.3
132.97	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Superimpose outlines of "sensitive catchment" areas from operative Schedule VIb, and of the Tutaekuri headwaters (where relevant) onto proposed Schedules 26-C Ngaruroro, 26-D Karamu, 31-A Tutaekuri, 318 - Ahuriri, 31-C Ngaruroro, <u>31-D Karamu, and 31-E Heretaunga Plains Groundwater MU - Quantity.</u>	Out of scope	18.3
132.102	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add references to proposed policies and rules that affect sensitive catchments, to each of the relevant schedules/maps	Out of scope	18.3
132.137	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add new Rule 11A (restricted discretionary activity) to restrict fertiliser and nitrogen applications, nitrate-N leaching, intensive grazing, production landuse, landuse change (intensification), irrigation, and discharges of nutrients within sensitive catchments and those catchments, management zones or FM Us where water quality objectives are not being met. Apply a total limit of 80 kg/ha/year for nitrogen applications from all sources. Matters for discretion provided.	Out of scope	18.3
132.148	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Transfer content of Explanation and Reasons section (5.5.2 to 5.5.6) from the operative RRMP to PC9 so it applies in TANK catchments.	Reject	18.3
132.186	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Ensure RPS policy 57 is given effect through water quantity and water quality objectives and policies for TANK catchments.	Accept in part	18.3
180.62	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	RRMP 7 - Add exclusions to rule that allow the clearance of indigenous vegetation where it is required for biosecurity purposes, and also allow cultivation within setbacks where it is intermittently required for soil health and operational needs.	Reject	18.3
180.63	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	RRMP 13 - Amend by adding 'at any one time' to end of (j).	Reject	18.3
210.21	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	We oppose these changes to the RRMP which weaken original rules and seek that the original RRMP provisions apply where they are stronger than proposed TANK provisions.	Reject	18.3
210.108	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 67: Amend to have a higher activity status threshold. Amend to state that the dam must be solid and have no capacity to kill fish migrating downstream (or words to that effect).	Out of scope	18.3
210.109	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 68: Amend to include provision for fish passage.	Out of scope	18.3
210.110	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	RRMP 70: Amend to require consent for river works.	Reject	18.3
231.12	Peter	Kay		Rule 67 - agree in part. there is not enough information on how stored water may be used. A downstream bank height of 6 meters would be more practical. It would also encourage farmers to fence these dams off and reticulate water for stock to lower areas.	Out of scope	18.3

Table 18.4: Outstanding Water Bodies, Plan Change 7

31.2	Bernie	Kelly	Hawke's Bay Canoe Club	When talking about Adverse Effects the term other users is too broad. To uphold the outstanding values for the purpose of Whitewater Kayaking, we need to have absolute surety that these values are protected for the benefit of kayaking.	Out of scope	18.4
120.86	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend the RPS to give effect to the NPSFM 2020	Accept in part	18.4
120.88	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Heretaunga Aquifer Muriwaihou should be recognised as Taonga and an Outstanding Water Body.	Out of scope	18.4
123.10	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Include a schedule of outstanding waterbodies and wetlands and their significant values for protection.	Out of scope	18.4
127.13	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Include a schedule of outstanding waterbodies and wetlands and their significant values for protection.	Out of scope	18.4
132.71	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Include table of Outstanding Waterbodies and their values in Change 9	Out of scope	18.4
132.72	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for the protection of Outstanding Waterbodies water quality and their inherent significant values, in particular, the water quality in the Heretaunga Plains Aquifer System and its recharge zones, and recharge quantities	Out of scope	18.4

Table 18.5: Other points

1.2	Ben	Goodwin		I think that a provision needs to be made for farms on the boundary of two catchments, such that the rules of the catchment in which the majority of a farming enterprise is in, should apply to the whole farm and the rules of the minor catchment shouldn't apply. This would reduce the confusion and cost if rules differ from catchment to catchment.	Reject	18.5
11.10.	Matt	Edwards		The future of Water Bottling. A contentious topic. This activity is OK if there is plenty of water but if not it should be phased out as it doesn't have to be located locally. There's plenty of good water elsewhere, it will just cost more to make use of alternative sources for this activity.	Reject	18.5
24.7	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	The Plan Change makes no reference to head-water areas and management policies which protect these areas in good hydrologic condition. The ash soils of the headwaters of the Ngaruroro and Tutaekuri are fundamental in their ability to sustain low base flows in dry periods. Is there an understanding between DoC and HBRC on headwater responsibility?	Accept in part	18.5
31.1	Bernie	Kelly	Hawke's Bay Canoe Club	There is very little account of any regulation that would protect any of the proven attributes for recreational users of the Upper Ngaruroro River. The plan doesn't even delineate that particular part of the river, rather treating the entire length from source to sea as one river.	Accept in part	18.5
31.5	Bernie	Kelly	Hawke's Bay Canoe Club	View that upholding the validity of a Water Conservation Order over the Ngaruroro River would ultimately give the river higher protection status which has proven to be of National significance of which Whitewater NZ as one of the 5 applicants we the Hawke's Bay Canoe Club support.	Out of scope	18.5
67.10.	Helen	Liddle	Focus Maraekakaho	Suggests solution for commercial or industrial vehicle access to the Ngaruroro River at Maraekakaho	Out of scope	18.5
100.1	Stephanie	Murphy	Hawke's Bay Airport	Strategic Infrastructure - PC9 does not appear to afford such strategic infrastructure with an alternative of different consenting pathway. Strategic infrastructure is defined in the Regional Resource Management Plan as including all necessary facilities, services and installations which are of greater than local significance and can include infrastructure that is nationally significance.	Accept in part	18.5
111.14	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Investigation on the unpaid royalties to our hapuu of the extraction of gravel (will present evidence)	Reject	18.5
111.16	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Protect all natural and native flora and fauna on and around our awa ngaruroro and its tributaries	Accept in part	18.5
120.132	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Amend Change 9 to enable a specific management plan in partnership with tangata whenua and Maori landowners for Lake Poukawa	Reject	18.5
132.39	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Delineate the coastal environment boundary on Schedule maps or draft separate maps to be included in PC9 showing the coastal environment boundary where PC9 regulation ends.	Reject	18.5
132.46	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Provide for 2 million m3 annual groundwater allocation total, for use in the coastal environment water a/locations.	Out of scope	18.5
132.64	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Superimpose above water short areas on HPWM Unit Schedule, and include water-short areas from Schedule VI as crosshatching.	Out of scope	18.5
132.93	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Acknowledge in PC9 the engineering works that have occurred at the confluence of the Maraekakaho River with the Ngaruroro River, the gravel raking and changes to braided reaches of the Ngaruroro through modifications to the riverbed, and their subsequent effects on HPAS recharge rates/quantities, in the setting of allocation limits for the HPAS.	Reject	18.5
139.3	Charmaine	Pene		I highly recommend that the resource consent application for the man-made lake in Maraekakaho and the one between Matapiro and Whanawhana be reviewed.	Out of scope	18.5
139.4	Charmaine	Pene		Resource Consent applications for lifestyle Blocks be restricted.	Out of scope	18.5
142.3	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Support the acknowledgement that there are water bodies whose actual state is the desired state.	Accept in part	18.5
212.2	Lyn	Pohe	Omahu School	Seek to ensure the impact of dredging on the Ngaruroro River is inclusive in the plan. The dredging frequency and access and effects on the river.	Reject	18.5
212.3	Lyn	Pohe	Omahu School	The review of Ngaruroro River Flood protection and drainage scheme in relation to the TANK objectives.	Reject	18.5
240.22	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Ensuring that hydraulic fracturing does not occur in the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri (Te Whanganui a Orotu) catchments, and neighbouring catchments that share underground aquifers.	Reject	18.5
240.23	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		Unsustainable extraction of gravel, not reaching the Waitangi estuary and coastal area.	Reject	18.5

29.43	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend Rule TANK 22 to include the following new Condition: " <u>The activity does not comply with the conditions of Rule TANK 20</u> " or similar wording to achieve the outcome sought in this submission. Amend Schedule 34 title and site plan terminology (and provisions, if appropriate) to remove inconsistencies and allow for rural locations.	Reject	18.6
Table 12.1.1: Submission points supporting PPC9						
14.1	Ryan	Fraser		SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
15.1	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
17.1	Richard	Riddell	Olrig Limited	Support the purpose of PC9 to give effect to the HBRC Policy Statement as well as the NPS FM.	Accept in part	12.1.1
18.1	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
20.1	Bruce	Nimon		I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
21.1	Robert & Helen	Patullo	Newstead Farm Ltd	Support the purpose of PC9 to give effect to the HBRC Policy Statement as well as the NPS FM.	Accept in part	12.1.1
23.1	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
23.4	Kerry	Sixtus	Pattullo's Nurseries Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
24.1	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Provide an over-arching and appreciated account of HBRC's proposals for protecting and improving freshwater QUALITY, healthy ecosystems, and the management of water QUANTITY. But they also provide a level of bureaucratic detail (Rules and Schedules) that is beyond lay comprehension. We take the latter as subordinate to the former and trust in its professional and exacting detail.	Accept in part	12.1.1
24.4	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Establish a solid framework, thanks to an early and a necessarily committed involvement with the COMMUNITY over some 6 years.	Accept in part	12.1.1
24.5	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Culminate in a COMPLEX of policies, rules, and schedules that make up the proposed PlanChange 9 of the Regional Resource Management Plan. - but all difficult to comment on without detailed and professional study.	Accept in part	12.1.1
26.1	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated	Accept in part	12.1.1
28.1	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated	Accept in part	12.1.1
29.1	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	HBWG supports the overall intent of PC9, as a collaborative community approach to implementing the National Policy Statement - Freshwater Management 2014, (Amended 2017) (NPS-FM).	Accept in part	12.1.1
29.3	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	HBWG supports PC9 in broad principle, as the product of a 7-year community collaborative process (TANK)	Accept in part	12.1.1
32.1	Kent	Griffiths		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
32.4	Kent	Griffiths		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
34.1	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
35.1	Colin	Campbell		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1

35.4	Colin	Campbell		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
36.2	Karen	Morrish	Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd	Generally support PC9 and its provisions. However there may be a range of general refinements to its provisions that are required to: (a) achieve sustainable management purpose of the RMA and Part 2 of the Act, including those relating to social and economic well-being and the efficient use of resources (b) give effect to or be more consistent with the relevant objectives, policies and other provisions of the NPS FM 202, RPS and the RRMP (c) better reflect the cost-benefit requirements and considerations under s32 of the Act.	Accept in part	12.1.1
37.1	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
37.4	Greg	Evans	Dartmoor Estate Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
38.1	Roger	Brownlie		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
38.4	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
38.76	Roger	Brownlie		No specific decision requested but implies support for a practical approach to the Proposed Plan Change to the Hawke's Bay Regional Resource Management: Plan Change 9 – Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu Catchments that is sustainable for all stakeholder.	Accept	12.1.1
39.1	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
40.1	Jeremy	White	J and S White Contracting Ltd	Support the purpose of PC9 to give effect to the HBRC Policy Statement as well as the NPS FM.	Accept in part	12.1.1
41.1	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
42.1	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
42.4	Glenn	Riddell	Glenmore Orchard	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
43.1	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
43.4	CA & GW	Wilson	Meiros Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
44.1	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
44.4	Brian	Fulford	Omahuri Orchards (2019) Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
45.1	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Agrees with a lot of the future proposed regulations to maintain a healthy environment and waterways for our future generations that may one day also have the enjoyment of farming this land.	Accept in part	12.1.1
45.3	Sydney	Parks	Westbrook Farm Ltd	Support the purpose of PC9 to give effect to the HBRC Policy Statement as well as the NPS FM.	Accept in part	12.1.1
46.1	Tom	Belford	Peter Beaven & Tom Belford	Support PC9 as recommended by the Hawke's Bay Regional Council.	Accept in part	12.1.1
48.1	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
49.1	John	Parsons		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
49.4	John	Parsons		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1

50.1	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Applaud the collaborative and inclusive nature of the plan development process, including the involvement of tangata whenua whose aspirations and values with regard to water provide valuable guidance and insight, for all of us.	Accept in part	12.1.1
50.9	Alastair & Jo	Lawrence	Olrig Limited	Support the proposed self-governing framework of catchment collectives. Devolving responsibility to stakeholder groups to operate within defined guidelines is an excellent initiative. Each catchment will have it's own characteristics and can tailor a plan to meet those characteristics (rather than one size fitting all). We are confident that self-governance, including peer pressure, will produce superior outcomes in a more cost effective manner for each farmer.	Accept in part	12.1.1
53.1	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
54.1	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept	12.1.1
54.4	Mark	Apatu	Apatu Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept	12.1.1
58.1	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Supports PC9 provisions except as in table provided.	Accept in part	12.1.1
61.1	Greg	Simpson		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
61.4	Greg	Simpson		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
62.1	Jonty	Moffett		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
63.49	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Generally supports the overall direction of Change 9 that seeks to ensure the sustainable management of water resources in the TANK catchments	Accept in part	12.1.1
68.1	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
69.1	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
69.4	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
71.1	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
71.4	Carl	Knapp	Bellingham Orchard Ltd.	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
72.1	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
72.4	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
72.76	Justin	Addis	Armada Orchard Ltd	No specific decision sought but states support for protection and sustainable use of the resources we all require.	Accept in part	12.1.1
73.1	Bevan	Davidson		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
73.4	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
73.76	Bevan	Davidson		No specific decision sought but states support for protection and sustainable use of the resources we all require.	Accept in part	12.1.1
75.1	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
76.1	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1

77.1	David & Sheryl	Mackie		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
77.4	David & Sheryl	Mackie		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
79.1	Richard	Penreath		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
79.4	Richard	Penreath		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
81.1	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated	Accept in part	12.1.1
84.1	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated	Accept in part	12.1.1
85.1	Matthew	Truebridge		Supports the general purpose of plan change 9.	Accept in part	12.1.1
86.1	Peter	Scott		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
86.4	Peter	Scott		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
87.1	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
88.1	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
91.3	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
91.79	Johnny	Milmine	Berry Farms NZ	No specific decision requested but states support for the overall framework of Plan Change 9, to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
94.1	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
95.1	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
95.4	Johnny	Milmine	Prime Limes	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
96.1	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
96.4	Mike	Davis	Davis Orchards Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
97.1	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
97.4	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
99.2	Jerf	van Beek	Twyford Water	Fundamentally supports the general approach of the TANK Plan Change, and believe that it strikes a reasonable balance between seeking to improve the quality and quantity of the TANK catchments freshwater resources through a range of different regulatory requirements, and ensuring that those who rely on water can continue to use it. The plan allows me for practice changes to be made, and the impact of those monitored and understood, before decisions about further restrictions are made.	Accept in part	12.1.1
102.1	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
103.1	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated	Accept in part	12.1.1
103.14	Ian	Quinn	Two Terraces Vineyard	No specific relief requested, but supports the consensus driven approach of the TANK process, and support HBRC's overall environmental objectives.	Accept in part	12.1.1

104.1	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
104.4	John	Loughlin	Rockit Global Limited	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
105.1	Scott	Lawson		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
105.4	Scott	Lawson		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
107.1	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
109.1	Stuart	Macintyre	Turamoe Farm	Support the overall idea of PC9 to give effect to HBRC Policy Statement as well as the NPS FM.	Accept in part	12.1.1
110.1	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
110.4	Edward	Whyte	Whyte & Co	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
112.1	Ainsley	Harte		Support the purpose of PC9 to give effect to the HBRC Policy Statement as well as the NPS FM.	Accept in part	12.1.1
116.1	Alexander John	Macphee		Generally support PC9 but do wish to make some comments and feel change is required in some areas.	Accept in part	12.1.1
117.1	Alison	Johnston	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Generally supportive of PC9 and the proposition to introduce total water allocation limits for the relevant water management units.	Accept in part	12.1.1
122.1	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
122.4	Richard	Penreath	Ngai Tukairangi Trust	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
131.1	Dominic	Adams	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Supports the intent of Change 9	Accept in part	12.1.1
135.2	Anna	Wilkes	Ravensdown Limited	Ravensdown generally supports PPC9, subject to the amendments requested to address the concerns raised within its submission.	Accept in part	12.1.1
142.1	Bill	Glazebrook	Big Hill Station Limited	Is neutral or supports provisions not referred to in submission	Accept in part	12.1.1
143.1	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
165.1	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
179.1	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
180.3	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Fundamentally supports the general approach of the TANK Plan Change, and believe that it strikes a reasonable balance between seeking to improve the quality and quantity of the TANK catchments freshwater resources through a range of different regulatory requirements, and ensuring that those who rely on water can continue to use it.	Accept in part	12.1.1
185.1	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
185.4	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
186.1	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
186.4	Stewart	Horn	Berrilea Orchards Ltd, Waitohi Trust and SP&GC Horn	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
192.1	Rebecca	Blunden	T&G Global Limited and ENZIL	Generally support the overall framework of Plan Change 9.	Accept in part	12.1.1

194.1	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Generally supports (with some amendments) the proposed objectives, policies, and rules of PC9 as appropriately recognising and providing for primary production activities (and viticulture in particular).	Accept in part	12.1.1
196.1	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
196.4	Julian	Odering	Oderings Nurseries	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
199.1	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
204.1	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
208.1	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
211.1	Brian	McLay		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
211.4	Brian	McLay		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
213.1	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
214.1	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
214.4	Scott	Lawson	Hawkes Bay Vegetable Growers Association	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
215.1	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
215.4	Peter	Dooney	Dooney Partnership	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
216.1	Phillipa	McVeagh	New Zealand Apples & Pears	Supports the overall focus of maintain and/or improving water quality and acknowledges that it is important to plan how we address and manage this into the future. Industry supports the general principles of what PC9 has set out to achieve.	Accept in part	12.1.1
217.1	Paul	Paynter	Johnny Appleseed Holdings Ltd	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
218.1	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
218.4	Adrian	Mannering	Irrigation Services	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
219.1	Michael & Julie	Russell		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
219.4	Michael & Julie	Russell		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
220.1	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
220.4	Steve	Gillum	Gillum Springfield Trust	No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
221.1	WT	Scott		Support the overall framework of Plan Change 9 to the degree that it reflects a staged approach to improving the management of the TANK Catchments freshwater resources.	Accept in part	12.1.1
221.4	WT	Scott		No specific decision requested but states support for the ability for a group of landowners to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water issues.	Accept in part	12.1.1
238.1	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	I SUPPORT the overall framework of PC9, to the degree that it reflects agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives, developed over more than 6 years of intensive dialogue and providing an integrated catchment solution that best balances the values and interests of the Hawke's Bay community.	Accept in part	12.1.1
Table 12.1.2: Submission points opposing PPC9						
4.6	Des	Ratima	Takitimu District Maori Council	The Plan Change 9 does not proceed.	Reject	12.1.2

14.15	Ryan	Fraser		I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
15.2	Andrea and Phil	Cranswick	Meridiem Trust	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
16.8	Bernadette	Hamlin		No specific decision requested but states that Change 9 does not promote the sustainable management of freshwater resources.	Reject	12.1.2
18.2	Mark	Cairns	MD Cairns & AR Wright Partnership	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
20.2	Bruce	Nimon		I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
26.2	Robin	Back	Dunvegan Estate	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
28.2	Hamish	Clark	Saint Clair Family Estate Ltd	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
29.2	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	HBWG opposes a number of matters of detail in the notified version of PC9. Summaries of key areas of concern provided.	Reject	12.1.2
34.2	Jonathan	Hamlet	Craggy Range Vineyards Limited	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
39.2	Bridget	Wilton	MbandSons	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
41.2	Jonathan	Milmine	Milmine Holdings Limited	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
47.2	John	Bostock	John Bostock & Eddie Crasborn	All policies, rules, restrictions and regulation to achieve the TANK objectives must be based on sound science and evidence. Adverse effects identified through science requiring regulation or restrictions must be more than minor and given the opportunity for mitigation. This is a fundamental principle of the RMA. Concerned the science is far from complete.	Reject	12.1.2
48.2	Paul	Ham	Alpha Domus	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
53.2	Chris	Howell	CD & CM Howell Partnership	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
68.2	Geoffrey	Smith	Vine Nursery New Zealand and Waikahu Vineyard	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
69.74	Jos	Dames	Dames Limited	No specific decision sought but implies a need for certainty.	Reject	12.1.2
75.2	Andria	Monin	Stonecroft Wines Limited	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
76.2	Larry	Morgan	Te Mata Estate Winery Ltd	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
81.2	Tony	Smith	Babich Wines	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
84.2	Grant	Edmonds	Redmetal Vineyards Ltd	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
87.2	Peter	Scott	Kereru Road Vineyard	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
88.2	Jacqui	Cormack	Constellation Brands NZ Limited (CBNZ)	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
94.2	Deane	Caughey	Indevin Group Ltd	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
102.2	Ritchie	Garnham	Booster Wine Group	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
107.2	Christopher	Harrison	Beach House Wines Ltd	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
111.21	Lisa	Tuhi	Ngati Hinemanu, Ngai Te Upokoiri	Oppose the proposed plan change 9.	Reject	12.1.2

120.2	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	If all of our primary relief is not granted, then decline or withdraw Plan Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
123.1	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Include clear objectives and policies in PC9 to address and phase out over-allocation of surface and groundwater, safeguard life-supporting capacity and ecosystem health, protect the significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies and wetlands (including lakes) and provide for other instream freshwater values including tangata whenua values.	Reject	12.1.2
127.1	Tania	Eden	Te Taiwhenua o Te Whanganui a Orotu	Oppose the TANK Plan Change. We are supporting Ngati Kahungunu, Te Taiwhenua o HERETAUNGA and Iwi, Hapu, Marae whanau in Hawkes Bay to oppose the TANK Plan Change.	Reject	12.1.2
132.78	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Opposes many of the proposed provisions, particularly where it fails to give effect to the NPS-FM and to the Regional Policy Statement, does not promote sustainable management as prescribed in the Act, or fails to address adverse effects of activities in the TANK catchments in an efficient and comprehensive manner.	Reject	12.1.2
134.1	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	While agreeing with many of the goals, thrust and themes of PC9, we think the plan should be rewritten in its entirety. PC9 is contradictory and does not allow a pathway for the kupa family to transition into lower environmental impact permanent crops.	Reject	12.1.2
134.2	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	The plan is premature when results from the most expenditure and sophisticated scientific work to date including 3D helicopter mapping has not been analysed and published for public scrutiny. The family believe the plan will have the effect of alienation from their ancestral lands and as a result has treaty of Waitangi implications.	Reject	12.1.2
134.8	Willem	Kupa	Patoka Trust	The family opposes all the rules and policies and believe the plan should be redrafted.	Reject	12.1.2
136.1	Te Hira	Henderson		Opposes the provisions in PC9.	Reject	12.1.2
137.1	Ngatai	Huata		Opposes the provisos in PC9 relating to these following kaupapa putake: water quality, water quantity, water pollution, water discharge.	Reject	12.1.2
138.1	Marei	Apatu		Oppose provisions in PC9 relating to these matters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Water quality in the Karamu •Weed in the Karamu •Storm-water discharge in the Karamu •Water quantity ground water use •Water quality source protection zones for small communities (papakaiinga) 	Reject	12.1.2
139.1	Charmaine	Pene		In general, this submission opposes the 'Proposed Plan Change 9'	Reject	12.1.2
143.2	Peter	Hyslop	Strathallan Trust	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
148.1	Rahina	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
149.1	Eddie	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
150.1	Nathan	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
151.1	Riki	Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
152.1	Rosemary and Ihaka	Smith / Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Oppose Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
153.1	Huia Te Rina Ripeka	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
154.1	Jetson	Craig	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
155.1	Lesley	Reid	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
156.1	Olly	Craig	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
157.1	Furness Keriana	Armstrong	Managroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
158.2	Camilla	Shultz	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
159.1	Reid	Craig	Managroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
160.1	Ngawai	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
161.2	Harata	Rapaea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2

162.1	Letitia	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
163.2	Sonna	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
163.3	Sonna	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
165.2	Caleb	Dennis	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates LP	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
166.1	Rihimoana	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
167.1	Thomas	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
168.1	Harata	Waerea	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
170.1	Raewyn	Morrell Turner	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
170.2	Raewyn	Morrell Turner	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to cultural values, water quality, water quantity, stock exclusion, pollution, and allocation.	Reject	12.1.2
171.1	Henrietta	Dzilic	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
171.2	Henrietta	Dzilic	Mangaroa Marae	No specific relief requested but raises concerns relating to recreational values, cultural values, water quantity and water quality.	Reject	12.1.2
172.1	Rawiri	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
173.1	Parewānui	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
174.1	Rangi	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
175.1	Katarina	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
177.1	Raewyn	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
178.1	Jack	Morrell	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
179.2	Wim	Barendsen	Otawhao Farms Ltd	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
180.7	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	The regional and national importance of production and processing of beverages, food and fibre (covered under Obj LW1 of the RPS) has not been sufficiently acknowledged, given the great difficulty any producer of beverages, food and fibre would have in accessing any additional water under the proposed plan, and potentially even maintaining the water that they need to support their existing operations.	Reject	12.1.2
182.1	Hira	Huata	Mangaroa Maori Committee and Nga Marae o Heretaunga	Opposes Change 9 on behalf of Mangaroa Maori Commtee and Nga Marae o Heretaunga	Reject	12.1.2
183.2	Huia Libya	Huata Huata	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
184.1	Cordry	Tawa Huata	Mangaroa Marae Trustees and Mangaroa Marae Committee	Opposes Change 9 on behalf of the people he represents.	Reject	12.1.2
185.78	Allen	Kittow	Tremaine Farms Ltd	No specific decision requested but states that participation in higher value horticulture activities will likely be more restricted under the plan in its current format.	Reject	12.1.2
187.1	Aberielle	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
188.2	Donna	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
189.1	Qreenie	Cooke	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
190.2	Randle	Cooke	Mangaroa Marae	No specific decision requested but raises concerns related to water quantity, recreational use, cultural values and ecosystem health	Reject	12.1.2
191.1	Rangiwhiua	Robin	Mangaroa Marae	Opposes Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
194.10.	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	PC9 would result in a substantial reduction in the water available to PRWM's operations and will result in less water than has been required in recent years. The viability and economic costs and benefits on primary production have not been adequately addressed through the analysis and reporting process.	Reject	12.1.2
199.2	Peter	Robertson	Brookfields Vineyards/Ohiti Estate	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
201.14	Liz	Munroe	Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust	Intent of the Plan - Risk in achieving the outcomes promoted by PC9 with non-regulatory methods. PC9 takes a "hands off" approach and does not address with urgency the changes required to meet the governments short term objectives for improved water quality within 5 years, or the longer term outcomes sought by 2040. Regulation is needed to provided legal tools to ensure accountability.	Reject	12.1.2

204.2	Juliet	Gray	Peter Lyons Trust (Lyons Vineyard)	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
206.1	Waiariki	Davis	Waipatu Marae	Opposes the proposed plan change	Reject	12.1.2
208.2	Emma	Taylor	Villa Maria Estate Limited	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
209.1	Waiariki	Davis		I oppose the provisions in PC9.	Reject	12.1.2
212.1	Lyn	Pohe	Omahu School	Oppose TANK Plan Change.	Reject	12.1.2
213.2	Peter	Scott	The Wine Portfolio	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2
222.1	Owen Jerry	Hāpuku		Opposes provisions relating to water quantity issues, water quality issues and water source protection for communities less than 500 (papakainga)	Reject	12.1.2
223.1	Jenny	Winipere Mauger	Ngā Kaitiaki o te Awa a Ngaruroro	Opposes in parts the provisions in Plan Change 9	Reject	12.1.2
225.1	Mary	Tukiwaho		Oppose the current TANK plan as it needs be readdressed and re-written due to it been deliberately vague around current management, implementation and monitoring systems as it is being mismanaged by current bodies.	Reject	12.1.2
226.1	Jane	Morrell		Oppose HBRC's Water Plan.	Reject	12.1.2
226.2	Jane	Morrell		No relief stated but raises issues around Karewarewa drying up from farmers and vineyards taking the water from the aquifer, making man made dams, polluting the quality of drinking water, leeches in the ponds/dams, no flounders in Ngaruroro and gating and padlocking the area to the river.	Reject	12.1.2
227.1	Peter	MacGregor	Owhaoko C Trust	Oppose the current approach you are and or have developed to advance TANK it is form and the changes you wish to seek.	Reject	12.1.2
229.5	Angie	Denby	Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society INC	For issues (stormwater quality, Te Mana o Te Wai, climate change, water quality, invasive species) we need measurable outcomes and strong enforceable standards.	Reject	12.1.2
232.1	Levi	Walford	Matahiwi Marae	Oppose provisions in Change 9 relating to water quality, water quantity, and impact of land use.	Reject	12.1.2
235.2	Graeme	Wedd	Rotoma Station Trust	No interest in plan change 6 solving problems. Any cropping is done for sustainability and welfare of the land not to pollute the waterways.	Reject	12.1.2
235.3	Graeme	Wedd	Rotoma Station Trust	Cannot exist under plan change 7. We have 10,000 stock units needing average of 20 lts per day. We have 3 families in houses on the property.	Reject	12.1.2
238.2	Emma	Taylor	Gimblett Gravels Winegrowers Association	I OPPOSE elements of PC9 that do not reflect those agreements reached by the TANK Group community representatives.	Reject	12.1.2

Table 12.10: Glossary

24.3	Jim	Watt	Saint Columba's Havelock North Environment Group (SCHNEG)	Include an excellent Glossary of Terms that, even on their own defines the SCOPE of the proposals.	Accept in part	12.10.
58.3	Peter	Wilson	Hawkes Bay Fish and Game Council	Create a definition of local authority	Reject	12.10.
82.1	Trevor	Robinson	Low Corporation Limited	Define Regionally Significant Industry for the purposes of PC9 as meaning "an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region and which has social, economic or cultural benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale". or words to similar effect.	Reject	12.10.
97.81	Lesley	Wilson	DN & LR Wilson Ltd	Include a glossary of te reo terms.	Reject	12.10.
120.143	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	Align Maori terminology with that used in the Regional Policy Statement, and other Regional Planning documents, and reflect appropriate and accurate language as identified and used by tangata whenua. Some specific examples provided.	Accept in part	12.10.
120.144	Ngahiwi	Tomoana	Ngati Kahungunu Iwi Incorporated	We see seek that changes are made to the phrasing within PC9, through review and improvement of terms and definitions and added to the glossary. Te reo Maori should be defined by tangata whenua.	Accept in part	12.10.

123.162	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Suggested wording of new and existing terms in PC 9: Groundwater dependent ecosystem (GDE): groundwater dependent ecosystems that occur above and below the ground, including stygofauna, groundwater interconnected surface water features such as springs, streams, rivers, drains, lakes and wetlands.	Reject	12.10.
123.163	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Suggested wording of new and existing terms in PC 9: Maintained: Water quality, quantity and ecosystem health maintained at its current state and not degraded any further as at (specify a date)	Reject	12.10.
123.164	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Suggested wording of new and existing terms in PC 9: Enhanced: Improvement on current state but not restored to its original unaffected state.	Reject	12.10.
123.165	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Suggested wording of new and existing terms in PC 9: Restored: Restored to its original unaffected state or better.	Reject	12.10.
126.34	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Insert new definition of "aquatic ecosystem" to read: <u>Aquatic ecosystems – means an ecosystem in a body of water and includes all TANK freshwater bodies and TANK estuarine systems.</u>	Reject	12.10.
126.35	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Insert new definition of "TANK estuarine systems" to read: <u>TANK estuarine systems – means the following estuarine systems located within the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments:</u> • <u>Waitangi estuary;</u> • <u>Te Whanganui-a-Orotu (Ahuriri estuary);"</u>	Reject	12.10.
126.36	James	Lyver	Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust	Insert new definition of "TANK waterbodies" to read: <u>TANK waterbodies – means any surface or ground waterbody that is located within the Tutaekuri, Ahuriri, Ngaruroro and Karamu catchments.</u>	Reject	12.10.
132.139	Marei	Apatu	Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga	Add definition of hazardous substances to PC9 glossary, that includes the potential for nutrient concentrations in fresh water to have toxicity effects on aquatic life and on human health.	Reject	12.10.
180.76	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'baseline commercial vegetable growing rotation' - Insert definition as follows: ' is a sub-set of horticultural land use, and means a crop rotation where the predominate purpose is growing, for the purpose of commercial gain, vegetable crops for human consumption, on one or more parcels of land held in single or multiple ownership (whether or not held in common ownership) that constitutes a single operating unit but excludes vegetable crops grown under cover, and includes the full sequence of crops and pasture used as part of that rotation.	Reject	12.10.
180.77	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'farm' - Insert definition as follows: 'a landholding whose activities include agriculture'.	Reject	12.10.
180.78	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Definition of 'Farming enterprise' - Delete and replace with term 'farm as defined in submission poin 180.77.	Reject	12.10.
180.79	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'land holding' - Insert definition as follows: 'one or more parcels of land (whether or not they are contiguous) that are managed as a single operation'.	Reject	12.10.
180.80	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'nitrogen losses from production land' - Insert definition as follows: ' <u>The modelled estimate of average annual nitrogen load, calculated for each farm. For a commercial vegetable growing rotation, the nitrogen loss estimate must include the full sequence of crops and pasture used as part of that rotation</u> '.	Reject	12.10.
180.81	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'production land' - Insert definition as follows: ' <u>A farm where all or part of the farm is (a) arable land use; or (b) horticultural land use; or (c) pastoral land use; or (d) other agricultural land use prescribed in regulations made under section 217M(1)(b); or (e) any combination of the above</u> '.	Reject	12.10.
180.82	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	New definition added for 'production land use change' - Insert definition as follows: 'Any change from or to, arable, horticulture, pastoral or other agricultural land use, that is greater than 10ha, compared with the area of the farming activity at May 2020. Land use change does not include a change in the location of crop rotation where the baseline growing area is not exceeded within a Freshwater Quality Management Unit'.	Reject	12.10.
180.83	Charlotte	Drury	Horticulture New Zealand	Definition of 'TANK Industry Programme or TANK Catchment Collective' - Amend by separating definitions and aligning iwth redrafted Schedule 30.	Reject	12.10.

194.114	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Allocation limit AND Allocation limit for Groundwater - Amend the definitions of 'allocation limit' and 'allocation limit for groundwater' to more clearly set out that water taken and used for frost protection is excluded from allocation limits.	Reject	12.10.
194.115	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Farm Environment Plan - Consistent use of the defined term 'Farm Environment Plan'. Further define key terms of relevance to Farm Environment Plans in the Glossary.	Reject	12.10.
194.116	Ezekiel	Hudspith	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	Add definition of: 'Catchment Collective Programme', 'Industry Programme', 'Change of use' or 'land use change', 'Drain', 'Modified water course', 'Re-allocation', 'Versatile Soils', AND 'Zone 1'	Reject	12.10.
203.33	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Registered Drinking Water Supply (or Supplies) - Retain definition as notified	Accept	12.10.
203.34	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil	Source Protection Zone (SPZ) - Retain definition as notified	Accept	12.10.
203.35	Mark	Laurenson	The Oil Companies (Z Energy Limited, BP Oil Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited)	Source Protection Extent - Retain definition as notified	Accept	12.10.
207.37	Mark	Clews	Hastings District Council	Add to glossary: "Registered Drinking Water Supply" means any water supply listed on the Drinking Water Register maintained in accordance with section 69J of the Health Act and any water supply operated by a water supplier as defined in the Taumata Arowai – the Water Services Regulator Act."	Reject	12.10.
210.154	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Indigenous vegetation : Delete and replace with: "Indigenous vegetation means vegetation containing plant species that are indigenous or endemic to the area/site"	Reject	12.10.
Table 14.10: Phormidium Management						
29.58	Xan	Harding	Hawke's Bay Winegrowers' Association Inc.	Amend POL 16.e to read "...maintaining flushing flow;"	Reject	14.10.
123.51	Jenny	Nelson-Smith	Department of Conservation	Amend as: To meet benthic cyanobacteria objectives and targets by 2040 and to support the values in Schedule X Delete all references to Phormidium and replace with potentially toxic benthic cyanobacteria as this is no longer the correct name for this genus.	Reject	14.10.
195.41	Peter	Matich	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 16 to read: d) reducing <u>reduceable</u> nutrient and sediment inputs in accordance with Policies 17 and 20; And any consequential amendments needed to give effect to the above relief or to otherwise satisfy our concerns.	Accept in part	14.10.
210.37	Tom	Kay	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand (Forest & Bird)	Consider what might be better placed in a 'methods' section. Amend to read: "The Council will address the risks to human health and dogs from <u>potentially toxic benthic cyanobacteria</u> phormidium by; ... (e) <u>maintaining flushing flows</u> " (g) <u>regulating land use activities and diffuse discharges to assist in preventing the occurrence of blooms</u> " Refer to Schedule 26 targets and timeframes for achievement.	Reject	14.10.
63.60.	Keith	Marshall	Napier City Council	Amend Change 9 to further refine the refine the risk matrix for industrial and trade premises in consultation with TLA officers to <u>appropriately define low, medium and high risk sites.</u>	Reject	16.10.
240.13	Te Kaha	Hawaikirangi		New stormwater consents hold a maximum duration of 10 years as systems are due for renewal/replacement (Three waters).	Reject	16.10.